

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
Petitioner

v.

GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00268
Patent No. 8,315,367

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,315,367

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Statement of Precise Relief Requested for Each Claim Challenged.....	2
	A. Claims for Which Review Is Requested	2
	B. Statutory Ground of Challenge	2
III.	Overview of the '367 Patent.....	4
IV.	The Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	6
V.	Claim Construction.....	7
	A. “Non-Removable Item”	7
VI.	<i>Polozola</i> Anticipates Claims 1-7, 9-17, 19, and 20 of the '367 Patent	9
	A. Overview of <i>Polozola</i>	9
	B. <i>Polozola</i> Teaches Each and Every Feature of Claims 1-7, 9-17, 19, and 20	10
VII.	<i>Polozola</i> in View of <i>Csabai</i> Renders Obvious Claims 3 and 13	26
	A. Overview of <i>Csabai</i>	27
	B. <i>Polozola</i> and <i>Csabai</i> Collectively Teach Each and Every Feature of Claims 3 and 13	28
	C. Rationale to Combine <i>Polozola</i> and <i>Csabai</i>	29
VIII.	<i>Polozola</i> in View of <i>Hansen</i> Renders Obvious Claims 4, 8, 14, and 18.....	30
	A. Overview of <i>Hansen</i>	30
	B. <i>Polozola</i> and <i>Hansen</i> Collectively Teach Each and Every Feature of Claims 4, 8, 14, and 18	31
	C. Rationale to Combine <i>Polozola</i> and <i>Hansen</i>	33
IX.	<i>Reinhold</i> in View of <i>Polozola</i> and <i>Csabai</i> Renders Obvious Claims 1- 3, 5-7, 9-13, 15-17, 19, and 20	34

A.	Overview of <i>Reinhold</i>	35
B.	<i>Reinhold, Polozola, and Csabai</i> Collectively Teach Each and Every Feature of Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-13, 15-17, 19, and 20	36
C.	Rationale to Combine <i>Reinhold, Csabai, and Polozola</i>	52
X.	<i>Reinhold</i> in View of <i>Csabai, Polozola, and Hansen</i> Renders Obvious Claims 4, 8, 14, and 18	54
A.	<i>Reinhold, Csabai, Polozola, and Hansen</i> Collectively Teach Each and Every Feature of Claims 4, 8, 14, and 18.....	54
B.	Rationale to Combine <i>Reinhold, Polozola, Csabai, and Hansen</i>	56
XI.	Petitioner Presents New Grounds of Rejection That Are Not Redundant	58
XII.	Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	59
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	59
B.	Related Matters.....	59
C.	Lead and Backup Counsel.....	59
D.	Service Information.....	59
XIII.	Grounds for Standing.....	60
XIV.	Fee Payments	60
XV.	Conclusion	60

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,315,367 to Profanchik (“the ’367 patent”)
Ex. 1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,315,367
Ex. 1003	Declaration of Michael Caloyannides, Ph.D.
Ex. 1004	Curriculum Vitae of Michael Caloyannides, Ph.D.
Ex. 1005	U.S. Patent No. 8,031,052 to Polozola (“ <i>Polozola</i> ”)
Ex. 1006	U.S. Patent No. 7,494,061 to Reinhold (“ <i>Reinhold</i> ”)
Ex. 1007	International Publication No. WO2006/097775 to Csabai et al. (“ <i>Csabai</i> ”)
Ex. 1008	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0180647 to Hansen (“ <i>Hansen</i> ”)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Arkema France v. Honeywell Int’l Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00916, Paper 16 (Oct. 21, 2015)	59
<i>In re Leshin</i> , 277 F.2d 197 (C.C.P.A. 1960)	33, 56
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	7
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102	3, 59
35 U.S.C. § 102(a)	3
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	2
35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	2, 3, 9
35 U.S.C. § 103	3, 33, 56, 59
35 U.S.C. § 311	2
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319	1
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(b)(1)	60
37 C.F.R. § 42.100 <i>et seq.</i>	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.101	60

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.