IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ENDOHEART AG)
Plaintiff,))
v.))
EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION,)))
Defendant.)

C.A. No. 14-1473 (LPS)(CJB)

ENDOHEART AG'S RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

OF COUNSEL:

Mark H. Bloomberg Zuber Lawler and Del Duca LLP 1325 Avenue of the Americas 28th Floor New York, New York 10019 (212) 763-8610 mbloomberg@zuberlaw.com Kenneth L. Dorsney (#3726) MORRIS JAMES LLP 500 Delaware Ave., Suite 1500 Wilmington, DE 19801-1494 (302) 888-6800 kdorsney@morrisjames.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Endoheart AG

Dated: November 20, 2015

DOCKET

ALARM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
I.	INTRO	DDUCTION	1
II.	ENDO	HEART'S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS SHOULD BE ADOPTED	1
	1.	"Elongated wire configured" and "Elongated wire having a length along which the wire is configured"	1
	2.	"Configured to conform to a direction of blood flow"	3
	3.	"Installing"	7
	4.	"Access device having means for preventing bleeding through the access device"	9
	5.	"The feeding directed by the blood flow"	12
III.	CON	NCLUSION	14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

FEDERAL CASES

DOCKET

Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., 786 F.3d 983 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 7
Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Ben Venue Labs., Inc., 246 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2001)
DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com, L.P., 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014)
<i>Deere & Co. v. Bush Hog. LLC</i> , 703 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2012)
<i>Dragon Intellectual Prop., LLC v. Apple, Inc.,</i> No. CV 13-2058-RGA, 2015 WL 5298938, at *5 (D. Del. Sept. 9, 2015)
<i>Hoganas AB v. Dresser Indus., Inc.,</i> 9 F.3d 948 (Fed. Cir. 1993)
<i>Invitrogen Corp. v. Biocrest Mfg., L.P.,</i> 424 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2005)
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.,</i> U.S, 134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014)7
Nystrom v. TREX Co., 424 F.3d 1136 (Fed. Cir. 2005)
Philips v. AWN Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)
<i>S3 Inc. v. NVIDIA Corp.</i> , 259 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2001)
Sarif Biomedical LLC v. Brainlab, Inc., No. CV 13-846-LPS, 2015 WL 5072085, at *2 (D. Del. Aug. 26, 2015)
<i>Seachange Int'l, Inc. v. C-COR, Inc.</i> , 413 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2005)
<i>Tarkus Imaging, Inc. v. Adobe Sys., Inc.,</i> No. CA 10-63-LPS, 2012 WL 16669598, at *5 (D. Del. May 1 2012)

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Telcordia Techs., Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.,	
612 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	11

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. §112(f)10,	, 1	1	1
----------------------	-----	---	---

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Endoheart AG ("Endoheart") submits this Responsive Claim Construction Brief in accordance with the March 27, 2015 Scheduling Order (D.I. 19). Endoheart's opening brief demonstrates that its proposed claim constructions are fully supported by the proper legal analysis and by the intrinsic record. They should therefore be adopted.

In contrast, for the reasons set forth below, Defendant Edwards Lifesciences Corporation's ("Edwards") proposed constructions should be rejected because they impermissibly add limitations to the claims, while ignoring and mischaracterizing the intrinsic evidence.

II. ENDOHEART'S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS SHOULD BE ADOPTED

1. "Elongated wire configured" and "Elongated wire having a length along which the wire is configured"

The parties have proposed that the phrase "elongated wire configured," which

appears in claim 1 of the '530 patent, should be construed as follows:

Endoheart Proposed Construction	Edwards Proposed Construction
a long and thin guidewire having a property or structure for achieving something	a guidewire having its entire length configured

The parties have proposed that the phrase "elongated wire having a length along which the wire

is configured," which appears in claim 6, be construed as follows:

Endoheart Proposed Construction	Edwards Proposed Construction
a long and thin guidewire having a length along which the guidewire has a property or structure for achieving something	a guidewire having a portion of its length configured

The basic dispute between the parties is that Edwards improperly attempts to read

limitations into the claims to require that the entire length of the guidewire be configured to

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.