

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ENDOHEART AG, )  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
)  
v. ) C.A. No. 14-1473 (LPS) (CJB)  
)  
EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES )  
CORPORATION, )  
)  
Defendant. )

**DEFENDANT EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION'S  
OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP  
Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)  
Jeremy A. Tigan (#5239)  
1201 North Market Street  
P.O. Box 1347  
Wilmington, DE 19899  
(302) 658-9200  
jblumenfeld@mnat.com  
jtigan@mnat.com

OF COUNSEL:

*Attorneys for Defendant*

Catherine Nyarady  
Christopher Terranova  
William O'Hare  
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND,  
WHARTON & GARRISON  
1285 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10019  
(212) 373-3000

October 23, 2015

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	ii
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. BACKGROUND .....	2
III. ARGUMENT.....	3
A. The Law of Claim Construction.....	3
B. Construction of the Claims of the '530 Patent.....	4
1. “elongated wire configured” (claim 1) / “elongated wire having a length along which the wire is configured” (claim 6) .....	4
2. “configured to conform to a direction of blood flow” (claims 1 & 6).....	5
a) “configured” .....	5
b) “to conform to a direction of blood flow” .....	6
(1) Indefiniteness.....	6
(2) Edwards’ Alternative Proposed Construction .....	8
3. “installing” (claims 1 & 6) .....	11
4. “access device having means for preventing bleeding through the access device” (claims 1 & 6).....	13
5. “the feeding directed by the blood flow” (claim 6) .....	14
IV. CONCLUSION.....	15

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**CASES**

*Dragon Intellectual Prop., LLC v. Apple, Inc.*,  
No. CV 13-2058-RGA, 2015 WL 5298938 (D. Del. Sept. 9, 2015)..... 11, 13, 14

*Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. AT&T Mobility LLC*,  
No. CV 12-193-LPS, 2015 WL 1393386 (D. Del. Mar. 24, 2015) ..... 11

*Interval Licensing LLC v. AOL, Inc.*,  
766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....6

*Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.*,  
134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014) .....6

*Phillips v. AWH Corp.*,  
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... 3, 8, 12

*Sarif Biomedical LLC v. Brainlab, Inc.*,  
No. CV 13-846-LPS, 2015 WL 5072085 (D. Del. Aug. 26, 2015) .....4, 14

*Tarkus Imaging, Inc. v. Adobe Sys., Inc.*,  
No. CA 10-63-LPS, 2012 WL 1669598 (D. Del. May 11, 2012).....4, 14

*Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptoronic, Inc.*,  
90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....3

*Warner Chilcott Co. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.*,  
No. 08-CV-627-LPS, 2011 WL 7121450 (D. Del. Dec. 29, 2011) ..... 11

Defendant Edwards Lifesciences Corporation (“Edwards”) respectfully submits this brief in support of its proposed constructions of the claim terms of U.S. Patent No. 8,182,530 (“the ’530 patent”).

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Claims 1 and 6 of the ’530 patent are directed to methods of implanting transcatheter heart valves. Transcatheter heart valves allow doctors to replace a patient’s defective heart valve—the aortic valve in this case—by mounting an artificial heart valve on a stent and introducing it through a narrow catheter in the vascular system. This technology avoids the need for open-heart surgical valve replacement in patients who cannot or will not undergo such a highly invasive procedure.

Plaintiff Endoheart AG does not contend that the named inventor of the ’530 patent, Dr. Christoph Huber, invented the concept of transcatheter heart valve replacement. Nor could it, because it is Edwards that pioneered the lifesaving transcatheter heart valve technology. Rather, Endoheart claims that its ’530 patent covers the “transapical approach,” by which the transcatheter heart valve is implanted directly into the heart through a chest and heart incision, rather than through a patient’s vasculature. In the transapical approach, the transcatheter valve is delivered to the diseased heart valve through a puncture made at the ventricular apex of the heart, as opposed to, for example, a transfemoral approach (in which a valve is delivered through the femoral artery). Endoheart has sued Edwards, alleging that Edwards induces infringement of ’530 patent claims 1 and 6 by selling its SAPIEN line of transcatheter heart valve products with its Ascendra line of delivery systems. (*See* D.I. 1 at ¶ 11)

Edwards proposed six terms for construction. All remain disputed.

## II. BACKGROUND

Defendant Edwards is the global leader in the science of heart valves. Over the last 55 years, Edwards has invented and developed new ways to treat patients with heart valve disease, including the transcatheter heart valve. Previously, patients with degenerating aortic valves had to undergo open heart surgery to replace the valve, an invasive procedure with a long and difficult recovery period. (Ex. 1 at 1:29–51.)<sup>1</sup> Transcatheter heart valve technology—technology for implanting prosthetic valves using a catheter—avoids the need for this extreme procedure (*id.* at 1:10–25), allowing a prosthetic heart valve to be delivered percutaneously (through the skin), or by a minimally invasive surgical procedure.

According to Endoheart, the '530 patent claims an approach for performing transcatheter heart valve replacement, the “transapical” approach, so named because access is gained through the apex of the heart. Claims 1 and 6 are below (terms at issue are underlined):

1. A method for implanting a heart valve comprising:
  - accessing a patient’s heart by piercing a myocardium with a cannulated needle having a sharp end;
  - feeding through the cannulated needle an elongated wire configured to conform to a direction of blood flow, the feeding continuing such that the wire follows the blood flow until a length of the wire extends at least from a ventricular apex of the heart through an aortic valve of the heart;
  - installing an access device in a wall of the heart, the access device having means for preventing bleeding through the access device;
  - inserting a valve delivery device through the access device;
  - and
  - installing the heart valve.

---

<sup>1</sup> “Ex. \_\_\_” refers to exhibits to the declaration of Christopher Terranova, filed herewith.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.