

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION, EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES LLC, EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES (U.S.) INC., AND EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES PVT, INC.,

Counterclaim-Plaintiffs,

v.

MEDTRONIC COREVALVE LLC, MEDTRONIC CV LUXEMBOURG S.A.R.L., MEDTRONIC VASCULAR GALWAY LTD., MEDTRONIC, INC., AND MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.

Counterclaim-Defendants

Snell & Wilmer
LLP.
LAW OFFICES
600 Anton Bouleard. Suite 1400
Costa Mesa. California 25256-7889
(714) 427.7000

Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs Edwards Lifesciences Corporation, Edwards Lifesciences LLC ("Edwards LLC") and Edwards Lifesciences (U.S.) Inc. (collectively, "Edwards"), file this Answer and Counterclaims Counts I and II in response to Plaintiffs Medtronic CoreValve LLC, Medtronic CV Luxembourg S.a.r.l. and Medtronic Vascular Galway Ltd. (collectively, "Medtronic Plaintiffs") Complaint for Patent Infringement (hereinafter, "the Complaint"), and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs Edwards LLC and Edwards Lifesciences PVT, Inc. ("Edwards PVT") file Counterclaim Count III for infringement of United States Patent No. 8,002,825 by the Medtronic entities as hereinafter stated.

22

23

24

25

26

27

ANSWER

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Edwards admits only that the Complaint purports to bring an action for patent infringement.
- 2. Edwards is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the Complaint, and

- 2 -

28

Answer and Counterclaims Case No. SACV11-00961

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

accordingly those allegations are denied.

- 3. Edwards is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 3 of the Complaint, and accordingly those allegations are denied.
- 4. Edwards is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the Complaint, and accordingly those allegations are denied.
 - 5. Edwards admits the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the Complaint.
 - 6. Edwards admits the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the Complaint.
 - 7. Edwards admits the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. Edwards admits only that the Medtronic Plaintiffs purport to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
 - 9. Edwards admits only that venue in this District is proper.
- 10. Edwards admits only that this Court has personal jurisdiction over each of the named Defendants. Edwards denies every other allegation of Paragraph 10.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 11. Edwards admits that United States Patent No. 7,892,281 ("the Seguin '281 patent") was issued on February 22, 2011, is entitled "Prosthetic Valve for Transluminal Delivery," and that a copy of the Seguin '281 patent is attached to the Complaint as "Exhibit 1." Edwards denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 11 of the Complaint.
- 12. Edwards is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 12 of the Complaint, and accordingly those allegations are denied.
 - 13. Edwards is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a

Answer and Counterclaims Case No. SACV11-00961

- 3 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 13 of the Complaint, and accordingly those allegations are denied.

- 14. Edwards is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 14 of the Complaint, and accordingly those allegations are denied.
- 15. Edwards is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, and accordingly those allegations are denied.

COUNT 1

- 16. Edwards restates, realleges and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 15.
 - 17. Edwards denies the allegations of Paragraph 17 of the Complaint.
 - 18. Edwards denies the allegations of Paragraph 18 of the Complaint.
 - 19. Edwards denies the allegations of Paragraph 19 of the Complaint.
 - 20. Edwards denies the allegations of Paragraph 20 of the Complaint.
 - 21. Edwards denies the allegations of Paragraph 21 of the Complaint.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense (Failure to State a Claim)

22. Medtronic Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Second Affirmative Defense (Noninfringement)

23. Edwards has not infringed, contributorily infringed or actively induced the infringement of any valid claim of the Seguin '281 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and does not do so now.

Third Affirmative Defense (Invalidity)

24. Edwards is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that the Seguin '281 patent is invalid for failure to meet one or more of the requirements of

Answer and Counterclaims Case No. SACV11-00961

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

patentability under United States Code Title 35, including, without limitation, one or more of the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and/or 112.

Additional Affirmative Defenses

25. Edwards expressly reserves the right to assert and pursue additional defenses resulting from discovery and/or Edwards' ongoing investigations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Edwards prays for relief as follows:

- A. That the Court enter judgment in favor of Edwards, and against Medtronic Plaintiffs;
- B. That the Court enter judgment that Edwards has not infringed the Seguin '281 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents;
 - C. That the Court enter judgment that the Seguin '281 patent is invalid;
- D. That the Court deny any and all of Medtronic Plaintiffs' requests for injunctive relief;
- E. That the Court dismiss Medtronic Plaintiffs' Complaint in its entirety, with prejudice;
- F. That the Court find this case exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and award Edwards its reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements; and
- G. That the Court grant Edwards such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Edwards demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in the Complaint.

Answer and Counterclaims Case No. SACV11-00961

- 5 -



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

