

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS, INC., ZIMMER, INC., AND BIOMET, INC.

Petitioner,

v.

ADVANCED ORTHOPAEDIC SOLUTIONS, INC.

Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00311  
Patent No. 8,092,454 B2

---

**PATENT OWNER ADVANCED ORTHOPAEDIC SOLUTIONS, INC.'S  
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

Table of Contents

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....iv

I. Introduction ..... 1

II. Claim Construction ..... 1

    A. Overview of U.S. Patent No. 8,092,454 ..... 1

    B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....3

    C. The Broadest Reasonable Interpretation Must Consider the Specification.....3

    D. Zimmer Biomet’s Proposed Claim Construction Is Flawed and Should Be Rejected. ....4

        i. Longitudinally Extending Grooves .....4

        ii. Zimmer Biomet’s Proposed Constructions Are Unreasonable Based on the Ordinary Meaning of the Term “Groove.” .....5

        iii. Cap .....10

II. Ground 1 – Claims 6, 7, 9, 10, and 13 Are Not Anticipated by Shavit under 35 U.S.C. §102. ....12

    A. The Same or Substantially the Same Art Was Previously Considered by the Patent Office.....12

    B. Overview of Shavit. ....13

    C. Shavit Does Not Disclose a Lower Surface Operative to Contact a Bone Screw....15

        i. Claim 6 Is Not Anticipated by Shavit.....15

        ii. Claim 13 Is Not Anticipated by Shavit.....19

IV. Ground 2 – The Combination of Shavit in View of Kilpela Does Not Render Obvious Claim 8. ....20

    A. Additional Disclosure of Shavit.....21

B. Overview of Kilpela.....	24
C. Shavit Teaches Away from the Combination Advocated by Petitioner.....	24
IV. Ground 3 – The Combination of Shavit in View of Kilpela Does Not Render Obvious Claims 14, 15, 19, and 20.....	28
A. Claim 14 Is Not Rendered Obvious by Shavit in View of Kilpela .....	29
B. Claim 19 Is Not Rendered Obvious by Shavit in View of Kilpela .....	30
IV. Ground 4 – The Combination of Shavit in View of Kilpela and Bramlet Does Not Render Obvious Claims 14, 15, 19, and 20.....	31
A. Overview of Bramlet .....	31
B. Claim 14 Is Not Rendered Obvious by Shavit in View of Kilpela and Bramlet.....	31
C. Claim 19 Is Not Rendered Obvious by Shavit in View of Kilpela and Bramlet.....	35
IV. Ground 5 – The Combination of Shavit in View of Bramlet Does Not Render Obvious Claim 11. ....	38
V. Conclusion .....	39

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>Hoganas AB v Dresser Indus., Inc.</i> , 9 F.3d 948, 950 (Fed. Cir. 1993).....	6, 11
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , 793 F.3d 1268, 1278 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	3
<i>In re Etter</i> , 756 F.2d 852, 859 (Fed. Cir. 1985) .....	27
<i>In re Gurley</i> , 27 F.3d 551, 553 (Fed.Cir.1994).....	21
<i>In re Mouttet</i> , 686 F.3d 1322, 1333–34 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	21
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279, 1288 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	4
<i>In re Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> , 603 F.3d 1255, 1260 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	3
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249, 1257 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	4
<i>KSR Intern.l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398, 418 (2007).....	26, 27
<i>KSR Internat'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398, 416, 127 S.Ct. 1727, 167 L.Ed.2d 705 (2007). .....	21
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d, 1292, 1298 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	3
<i>Microsoft</i> , 789 F.3d at 1298 (quoting <i>Suitco</i> , 603 F.3d at 1260).....	4
<i>Nystrom v. TREX Co., Inc.</i> , 424 F.3d 1136, 1149 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	16
<i>Perricone v. Medicis Pharm. Corp.</i> , 432 F.3d 1368, 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	15
<i>Renishaw PLC v. Marposs Societa' per Azioni</i> , 158 F.3d 1243, 1249 (Fed. Cir. 1998)6, 11	
<i>Symantec Corp. v. Computer Assocs. Int'l, Inc.</i> , 522 F.3d 1279, 1289 n. 3 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	7, 11
<i>Unilever, Inc. v. The Procter &amp; Gamble Co.</i> , IPR2015-00506, Paper 17 at 6 (PTAB July 7, 2014).....	12

### STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 314 ..... 1

35 U.S.C. § 325(d)..... 12

37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a) ..... 1

37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c) ..... 1

37 C.F.R. §42.65(a) ..... 7, 11, 17, 25, 30

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

*Oxford Dictionary* ..... 6, 10

*Random House College Dictionary* ..... 5, 10

*Webster’s New Universal Unabridged Dictionary* ..... 4, 10

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.