UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GENERAL ELECTRIC CO., Petitioner,

v.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA PATENT FOUNDATION, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00359 Patent RE44,644 E

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, and J. JOHN LEE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

LEE, Administrative Patent Judge.

FINAL WRITTEN DECISION 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73



INTRODUCTION

On December 16, 2015, General Electric Co. ("GE") filed a Petition (Paper 1, "Pet.") requesting *inter partes* review of claims 153–156, 161, 162, 252, and 253 ("the challenged claims") of U.S. Patent No. RE44,644 E (Ex. 1001, "the '644 Patent"). Patent Owner University of Virginia Patent Foundation ("UVAPF") timely filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 8.

An *inter partes* review of all challenged claims was instituted on June 24, 2016. Paper 13 ("Inst. Dec."). After institution, UVAPF filed a Patent Owner Response (Paper 22, "PO Resp."), and GE filed a Petitioner Reply (Paper 28, "Pet. Reply" (redacted public version); Paper 26 (filed under seal)).¹ UVAPF further filed a Motion for Observations on Cross-Examination (Paper 35), and GE filed a Response to UVAPF's Observations (Paper 42). The parties also filed additional motions that remain pending, which are addressed below. An oral hearing was held on March 2, 2016. Paper 57 ("Tr.").²

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6. This Final Written Decision is issued pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73. As explained below, GE has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that the challenged claims of the '644 Patent are unpatentable.

¹ This Decision cites to the public versions of all cited documents unless otherwise specified.

² A combined hearing was held for this case as well as related *inter partes* reviews IPR2016-00357 and IPR2016-00358. Although the parties at times referred to specific claims at issue in only one of these cases, many of the substantive issues also are present in all three cases and, as such, the parties' statements at the hearing are applied to each of the cases as appropriate. Additionally, the parties raised objections to demonstrative exhibits presented at the oral hearing. Upon review, all such objections are denied.

IPR2016-00359 Patent RE44,644 E

A. Related Proceedings

GE identifies the following matters as related to its Petition: (1) *University of Virginia Patent Foundation v. General Electric Co.*, No. 3:14cv-00051-nkm (W.D. Va.); (2) two other petitions requesting *inter partes* review of other claims of the '644 Patent (IPR2016-00357 and IPR2016-00358); and (3) a petition requesting *inter partes* review of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. RE45,725 E, a related patent (IPR2017-00109). Pet. 1–2; Paper 47, 1. In addition to the above, UVAPF further identifies U.S. Patent Application No. 14/708,875 as related to the '644 Patent. Paper 9, 1.

B. The '644 Patent

The '644 Patent is a reissue of U.S. Patent No. 7,164,268 ("the '268 Patent"). Ex. 1001, at [64]. The '268 Patent was issued on January 16, 2007, from a PCT application filed on December 21, 2001. *Id.* The '268 Patent—and, thus, the '644 Patent—claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/257,182 ("the '182 Application"), which was filed on December 21, 2000. *Id.* at [60]. Dr. John P. Mugler III and Dr. James R. Brookeman are the named inventors of the '644 Patent. *Id.* at [75].

According to the specification, the '644 Patent relates to nuclear magnetic resonance imaging ("MRI") technology. Ex. 1001, 1:34–38. In particular, the '644 Patent relates to spin-echo MRI, which provides "a wide range of useful image contrast properties that highlight pathological changes and are resistant to image artifacts from a variety of sources such as radio-frequency or static-field inhomogeneities." *Id.* at 1:44–49.

In spin-echo MRI, one or more spin-echo magnetic resonance ("MR") signals are generated after an initial "excitation radio-frequency (RF) pulse." *See id.* at 1:50–2:36. Data about the imaged subject in k-space may be

collected periodically in conjunction with a series of spin echoes (i.e., a spinecho train), and gradient magnetic fields are used for spatial encoding, to produce an image of the subject. *See id*. The spin echoes are generated using RF "refocusing" pulses, which are characterized by, among other things, a "flip angle." *See id*. at 2:46–48. Conventional spin-echo techniques at the time of the invention—including, for example, "fast spinecho" or "turbo spin-echo" techniques—used high flip angle refocusing RF pulses, which limited the usable duration of the echo trains and, thus, the amount and/or quality of data obtained. *See id*. at 2:46–3:6.

Unlike most conventional spin-echo techniques, which used constant flip angles, the '644 Patent describes the use of variable flip angles for the refocusing RF pulses. *Id.* at 3:48–55. According to the '644 Patent, variable flip angle pulse sequences according to the claimed invention can extend the duration of usable spin-echo trains, which in turn can improve spatial resolution and/or reduce the time needed to acquire images. *Id.* at 3:55–60. Further, the variable flip angle sequences of the '644 Patent use flip angles that, typically, are less than the 180° flip angles common in conventional spin-echo techniques, permitting less power to be applied to human subjects and, thus, enhancing patient safety. *Id.* at 5:35–47.

C. Challenged Claims

GE challenges claims 153–156, 161, 162, 252, and 253 of the '644 Patent. Pet. 3, 21–58. Claims 153, 156, 161, and 162 are independent claims, and all other challenged claims depend, directly or indirectly, from those independent claims. Independent claim 153 is illustrative:

153. A method for generating a spin-echo pulse sequence for operating a magnetic resonance imaging apparatus for imaging an object, said method comprising:

IPR2016-00359 Patent RE44,644 E

providing a data-acquisition step based on a spin-echo-train pulse sequence, said data-acquisition step comprises:

providing an excitation radio-frequency pulse having a flip angle and phase angle;

providing at least two refocusing radio-frequency pulses, each having a flip angle and phase angle,

wherein, to permit during said data-acquisition step at least one of lengthening usable echo-train duration, reducing power deposition and incorporating desired image contrast into the signal evolutions, at least one of said angles is selected to vary among pulses to yield a signal evolution for the associated train of spin echoes for at least one substance of interest in said object, with corresponding T1 and T2 relaxation times and spin density of interest, and

wherein, for said signal evolution for said substance, the signal amplitude decreases, within the first approximately 20% of the total number of echoes, to a value that is no more than approximately two-thirds of the initial value for said signal evolution, and the signal amplitude is then substantially constant up to at least approximately 50% of the total number of echoes;

providing magnetic-field gradient pulses that perform at least one of encoding spatial information into at least one of the radio-frequency magnetic resonance signals that follow at least one of said refocusing radio-frequency pulses and dephasing transverse magnetization associated with undesired signal pathways to reduce or eliminate contribution of said transverse magnetization to sampled signals; and

providing data sampling, associated with magnetic-field gradient pulses that perform spatial encoding;

providing a magnetization-recovery step, said magnetizationrecovery step comprises at least one of a time delay and at least one magnetic-field gradient pulse; and

DOCKET



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

