

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TWILIO INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

TELESIGN CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2016-00360 (US 7,945,034 B2)  
IPR2016-00450 (US 8,462,920 B2)  
IPR2016-00451 (US 8,867,038 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, JUSTIN T. ARBES, and  
KIMBERLY McGRAW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McGRAW, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION  
*Pro Hac Vice Admission*  
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)

---

<sup>1</sup> This Decision addresses issues common to the above identified cases. Therefore, we exercise our discretion to issue one Decision to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any papers.

IPR2016-00360 (US 7,945,034 B2)  
IPR2016-00450 (US 8,462,920 B2)  
IPR2016-00451 (US 8,867,038 B2)

On May 25, 2016, in each proceeding, Petitioner filed a Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Britton F. Davis (IPR2016-00360, Paper 15<sup>2</sup>), along with a supporting Affidavit by Mr. Davis (*Id.* Exhibit A).<sup>3</sup> Patent Owner has not opposed Petitioner's Motions.

Petitioner's lead counsel, Wayne Stacy, is a registered practitioner. Paper 14, 2. Petitioner has shown by its Motion and Mr. Davis's Affidavit that Mr. Davis is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceedings. Paper 15; *see also* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

Based upon consideration of the Motion and the record before us, we grant Petitioner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. Davis. *See also Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639, Order Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission, (PTAB Oct. 15, 2003) (Paper 7) (setting forth requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).<sup>4</sup>

It is therefore ORDERED that Petitioner's unopposed Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Davis to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel in the instant proceedings is granted;

---

<sup>2</sup> For convenience, paper and exhibit numbers refer to IPR2016-00360; corresponding papers may be found in the record of IPR2016-00450 and IPR2016-00451.

<sup>3</sup> Petitioner filed each Motion and Affidavit together as a single document. The parties are reminded that affidavits must be filed as exhibits, rather than papers, and numbered sequentially in the appropriate range. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.63.

<sup>4</sup> Available at <http://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/appealing-patent-decisions/decisions-and-opinions/representative-orders>.

IPR2016-00360 (US 7,945,034 B2)

IPR2016-00450 (US 8,462,920 B2)

IPR2016-00451 (US 8,867,038 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in the instant proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Davis is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Davis is to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

IPR2016-00360 (US 7,945,034 B2)  
IPR2016-00450 (US 8,462,920 B2)  
IPR2016-00451 (US 8,867,038 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Wayne Stacy  
Mikaela Stone  
Britton Davis  
wstacy@cooley.com  
mstone@cooley.com  
bdavis@cooley.com  
zTwilioIPR@cooley.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Jesse Camacho  
Elena McFarland  
Amy Foust  
JCAMACHO@shb.com  
EMCFARLAND@shb.com  
TeleSignIPR@shb.com