

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TWILIO INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

TELESIGN CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00451
Patent 8,687,038 B2

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, JUSTIN T. ARBES, and
KIMBERLY McGRAW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McGRAW, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

Petitioner submitted a Request for Rehearing (Paper 18) asserting, *inter alia*, that the Board's Decision denying institution of *inter partes* review (Paper 17) overlooked arguments and evidence presented in connection with Petitioner's arguments that dependent claims 11 and 21 are

unpatentable. *See* Paper 18, 1–10. Petitioner asserts that because “Claims 11 and 21 use the term ‘notification event’ in nearly the same way that the Board construed the term for claim 1,” “Petition[er]’s arguments for claims 11 and 21 are highly relevant to the ‘notification event’” limitations recited in the independent claims from which claims 11 and 21 depend. *Id.* at 6–7. Petitioner also asserts that because claims 11 and 21 depend from claims 1 and 13, respectively, Petitioner’s arguments for claims 11 and 21 apply equally to claims 1 and 13. *Id.* at 8.

IT IS

ORDERED that Patent Owner is authorized to file an opposition to Petitioner’s Request for Rehearing;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner’s opposition shall not exceed twelve pages and is due no later than October 6, 2016; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is authorized to file a reply to Patent Owner’s opposition, where Petitioner’s reply shall not exceed three pages and is due no later than October 11, 2016.

IPR2016-00451
Patent 8,687,038 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Wayne Stacy
Mikaela Stone
Britton Davis
zTwilioIPR@cooley.com
bdavis@cooley.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Tawni L. Wilhelm
Jesse J. Camacho
Elena S.K. McFarland
Amy Foust
telesignipr@shb.com
jcamacho@shb.com
emcfarland@shb.com
afoust@shb.com