

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

IGT,
Patent Owner,

Case IPR2016-00491
Patent 6,932,701

Before JOSIAH C. COCKS, MICHAEL W. KIM, and RICHARD E. RICE,
Administrative Patent Judges.

KIM, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER
Conduct of Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

IPR2016-00491
Patent 6,932,701

As authorized by our Order of August 11, 2016 (Paper 12), on August 17, 2016, Patent Owner filed a Motion to Modify Trial Due Dates 1–7. Paper 13; “Motion.” In the Motion, Patent Owner proposed new Due Dates 1–7, along with accompanying facts and reasons in support of the Motion. Motion 1–3. Patent Owner represents that they have conferred with Petitioner, and that “[t]he parties agree on the proposed changes to Due Dates 1–7.” Motion 1. The Board appreciates the parties’ cooperation on this matter.

For the facts and reasons set forth in the Motion, it is ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion is *granted*.

For PETITIONER:

Andrea Reister
areister@cov.com

Jay Alexander
jalexander@cov.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Holby Abern
habern@ngelaw.com

Robert Sterne
rsterne@skgf.com

Kevin Cukierski
kcukierski@ngelaw.com

Adam Masia
amasia@ngelaw.com

Michelle Holoubek
holoubek@skgf.com