

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.
and MYLAN LABORATORIES LIMITED,
Petitioner,

v.

UCB PHARMA GMBH,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00510^{1,2} (Patent 6,858,650 B1)
Case IPR2016-00512 (Patent 7,384,980 B2)
Case IPR2016-00514 (Patent 7,855,230 B2)
Case IPR2016-00516 (Patent 8,338,478 B2)
Case IPR2016-00517 (Patent 7,985,772 B2)

Before KRISTINA M. KALAN, ROBERT A. POLLOCK, and
MICHELLE N. ANKENBRAND, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ANKENBRAND, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

¹ Petitioners Alembic Pharmaceuticals Limited from IPR2016-01596, Torrent Pharmaceuticals Limited from IPR2016-01636, and Amerigen Pharmaceuticals Limited from IPR2016-01665 have been joined as Petitioners to this proceeding.

² We exercise our discretion to issue one order to be entered in all five cases. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for subsequent papers without Board preapproval.

IPR2016-00510 (Patent 6,858,650 B1)
IPR2016-00512 (Patent 7,384,980 B2)
IPR2016-00514 (Patent 7,855,230 B2)
IPR2016-00516 (Patent 8,338,478 B2)
IPR2016-00517 (Patent 7,985,772 B2)

ORDER
Trial Hearing
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

Petitioner and Patent Owner requested oral hearing pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 in each of the above-referenced proceedings. Papers 35, 36.³ The parties' requests for oral hearing are *granted*.

The proceedings will be conducted as a single, consolidated hearing, to commence at 1:00 PM EST on April 5, 2017, on the ninth floor of the Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing.

The hearing room can accommodate the lead counsel and a back-up counsel for each party. Other members of the parties will be accommodated, based on space availability, on a first-come, first-served basis. The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance that also will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis.

Each party will have forty-five (45) minutes of total oral argument time. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that the patent claims at issue in this review are unpatentable. Petitioner, therefore, will proceed first to present its case regarding the pending grounds of unpatentability. Thereafter, Patent Owner will have the opportunity to respond to Petitioner's

³ Petitioner and Patent Owner filed substantively similar papers in all five cases. Unless otherwise noted, citations are to the papers filed in IPR2016-00510.

IPR2016-00510 (Patent 6,858,650 B1)
IPR2016-00512 (Patent 7,384,980 B2)
IPR2016-00514 (Patent 7,855,230 B2)
IPR2016-00516 (Patent 8,338,478 B2)
IPR2016-00517 (Patent 7,985,772 B2)

case. If desired, Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time to respond to arguments presented by Patent Owner. Patent Owner may not reserve rebuttal time.

At least seven (7) business days before the hearing date, each party shall serve on the other party any demonstrative exhibit(s) it intends to use during the hearing. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b). The parties also shall provide a courtesy copy of any demonstrative exhibits to the Board at least three (3) business days before the hearing by emailing them to Trials@uspto.gov. Absent prior authorization, the parties shall not file any demonstrative exhibit(s) with the Board.

Demonstrative exhibits are not evidence, but are intended to assist the parties in presenting their oral arguments to the Board. Demonstrative exhibits may not introduce new evidence or raise new argument but, instead, should cite to evidence in the record. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, IPR2013-00041 (PTAB January 27, 2014) (Paper 65), for guidance regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits.

The Board expects that the parties will meet and confer in good faith to resolve any objections to demonstrative exhibits. For any objections that cannot be resolved after conferring, the parties may file jointly a one-page list of objections at least three (3) business days before the oral hearing. The list shall identify with particularity the portions of the demonstrative exhibits that are subject to objection and include a one-sentence statement of the basis for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted.

IPR2016-00510 (Patent 6,858,650 B1)
IPR2016-00512 (Patent 7,384,980 B2)
IPR2016-00514 (Patent 7,855,230 B2)
IPR2016-00516 (Patent 8,338,478 B2)
IPR2016-00517 (Patent 7,985,772 B2)

The Board will consider any objections and schedule a conference call if deemed necessary. Otherwise, the Board will reserve ruling on the objections until the oral argument.

Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not presented timely will be considered waived. Neither party shall be permitted to interrupt their opponent's presentation to lodge objections to demonstrative exhibits during the oral hearing.

Each party shall provide a hard copy of its demonstrative exhibits to the court reporter at the hearing. The parties also should note that at least one member of the panel will be attending the hearing electronically from a remote location, and that if a demonstrative is not made fully available or visible to the judge participating in the hearing remotely, that demonstrative will not be considered. The parties are reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the court reporter's transcript and the ability of the judge participating in the hearing remotely to closely follow the presenter's arguments.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present in person at the oral hearing. However, any counsel of record may present the party's argument. If either party expects that its lead counsel will not be attending the oral argument, the parties should initiate a joint telephone conference with the Board no later than two (2) business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

IPR2016-00510 (Patent 6,858,650 B1)
IPR2016-00512 (Patent 7,384,980 B2)
IPR2016-00514 (Patent 7,855,230 B2)
IPR2016-00516 (Patent 8,338,478 B2)
IPR2016-00517 (Patent 7,985,772 B2)

Questions regarding specific audio-visual equipment shall be directed to the Board at 571-272-9797. Requests for audio-visual equipment are to be made no later than five (5) days before the oral hearing date in an email communication to Trials@uspto.gov. If a request is not received timely, the equipment may not be available on the day of the oral hearing.

It is

ORDERED that a consolidated oral hearing, conducted pursuant to the procedures outlined above, shall commence at 1:00 PM EST on April 5, 2017, on the ninth floor of the Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.