Trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822 Paper 48 Entered: August 4, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS, Petitioner,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2016-00615 Patent 8,510,045 B2

Before JUSTIN T. ARBES, THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, and ROBERT L. KINDER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KINDER, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKET

Δ

FINAL WRITTEN DECISION 35 U.S.C. § 318(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.73

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO AMEND 37 C.F.R. § 42.121

IPR2016-00615 Patent 8,510,045 B2

Texas Association of REALTORS ("Petitioner") filed a Petition pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319 to institute an *inter partes* review of claims 1–28 (all claims) of U.S. Patent No. 8,510,045 B2, issued on August 13, 2013 (Ex. 1001, "the '045 patent"). Paper 1 ("Pet."). POI Search Solutions LLC filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 9 ("Prelim. Resp."). Applying the standard set forth in 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), we instituted an *inter partes* review of all challenged claims. Paper 10 ("Dec. on Inst.").

On September 9, 2016, counsel for POI Search Solutions LLC, informed the Board that IP3, Series 100 of Allied Security Trust I ("IP3") had acquired the '045 patent. Paper 14, 2. IP3 filed updated mandatory notices reflecting the change of ownership and designating new lead and backup counsel. Paper 15, 2–3.

During the trial, IP3 filed a Patent Owner Response (Paper 26, "PO Resp."), and Petitioner filed a Reply to the Patent Owner Response (Paper 34, "Pet. Reply"). IP3's fully briefed Motion to Amend also is pending. Paper 25 ("Mot. to Amend"); Paper 33 ("Opp. to Mot. to Amend"); Paper 36 ("Reply to Mot. to Amend"). An oral hearing was held on April 4, 2017, and a copy of the transcript has been made part of the record. Paper 42 ("Tr.").

Subsequently, on July 20, 2017, counsel for Uber Technologies, Inc. informed the Board that Uber had acquired the '045 patent through an assignment executed on June 16, 2017 and recorded with the Office on July

4, 2017. *See* Paper 46 (Decision Granting Motion to Substitute Counsel), Paper 47 (Mandatory Notices).¹

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(b). This Decision is a Final Written Decision under 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) as to the patentability of the claims on which we instituted trial. Based on the record before us, we determine that Petitioner has shown, by a preponderance of the evidence, that claims 1–28 of the '045 patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). We also *deny* Patent Owner's Motion to Amend.

I. BACKGROUND

A. The '045 Patent

The '045 patent describes a method for "displaying points-of-interest ('POIs') on a digital map." Ex. 1001, Abstract. The '045 patent discloses a user selecting an arbitrary region on a digital map (displayed on an electronic device, such as a smart phone) and displaying POIs on the digital map that are within the geographic confines of the arbitrary region. *Id.* at 1:15–19. POIs may include businesses, such as coffee shops, gas stations, or other attractions. *Id.* at 1:58–65. Along with selecting an arbitrary region, the user can enter a search query to allow retrieval of various POIs by the mapping application. *Id.* at 1:63–66. The '045 patent describes retrieving POIs based on the search query, dimensions of the map, and any other logic used by the mapping application. *Id.* at 1:66–2:1. In one embodiment, a

¹ Because ownership of the '045 patent changed over the course of this proceeding, we use the generic designation "Patent Owner" throughout this Decision for ease of reference.

IPR2016-00615 Patent 8,510,045 B2

filtered subset of POIs may be displayed within the geographic confines of the user's selected region. *Id.* at 2:1–5.

B. Illustrative Claim

Claim 1 of the '045 patent recites:

1. A method of displaying points-of-interest ("POI"s) on a digital map, comprising:

displaying a digital map within a given view and at a given scale, on a graphical display of an electronic device;

receiving user input containing a search query;

providing one or more search results associated with the search query, the search results containing geographic coordinates;

receiving user input defining a geographic region within the digital map, wherein the geographic region is defined from within the current view and current scale of the digital map, and wherein the geographic region is represented by a polygon;

determining the one or more search results whose geographic coordinates are within the user defined geographic region; and

displaying the determined one or more search results as one or more graphics on the digital map; wherein the one or more graphics represent one or more POIs.

Ex. 1001, 11:64–12:16.

RM

C. Related Proceedings

Petitioner and Patent Owner identify two related, but dismissed,

litigations in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas involving the '045 patent:

POI Search Solutions LLC v. Keller Williams Realty, Inc., 2-15-cv-00144 (E.D. Tex.); and POI Search Solutions LLC v. Fathom Realty, LLC, 2-15-cv-00143 (E.D. Tex.). Pet. 59; Paper 8, 2.

D. Real Party-in-Interest

In accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1), Petitioner identifies Texas Association of REALTORS ("TAR") as the real party-in-interest in this proceeding. Pet. 59.

Patent Owner argues that the Petition fails to identify all real parties-in-interest, but does not include substantive arguments in its Patent Owner Response. PO Resp. 15–16. Rather, pursuant to our authorization, Patent Owner filed a Motion to Terminate *Inter Partes* Review for failure of Petitioner to name all real parties-in-interest pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2). Paper 30. TAR filed an Opposition to Patent Owner's Motion (Paper 28), and Patent Owner filed a Reply to TAR's Opposition (Paper 31). After consideration of the party's positions, we issued a Decision on January 17, 2017, denying Patent Owner's Motion to Terminate. Paper 32. Patent Owner has not produced any new evidence or argument that would impact our Decision denying Patent Owner's Motion to Terminate. *See* PO Resp. 15–16. Therefore, we maintain our previous determination, for the reasons set forth in the Decision (Paper 32).

E. Prior Art

The pending grounds of unpatentability in the instant *inter partes* review are based on the following prior art:

U.S. Patent No. 7,373,246 B2, issued May 13, 2008 (Ex. 1003, "O'Clair");

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2010/0094548 A1, filed July 9, 2009, published April 15, 2010 (Ex. 1004, "Tadman");

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2009/0153492 A1, filed December 13, 2007, published June 18, 2009 (Ex. 1005, "Popp");

5

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.