

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BATTERY-BIZ, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

COMARCO WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00630 (Patent 7,863,770 B2)
Case IPR2016-00632 (Patent 7,460,381 B2)¹

Before LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Patent Owner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice*
Admission of Mr. Charles Quinn
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Patent Owner, Comarco Wireless Technologies, Inc., filed a revised motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Charles Quinn. Paper 10 ("Mot.")² Patent Owner also filed a declaration from Mr. Quinn in support

¹ We use this caption in this paper to indicate that this Order applies to, and is entered in, both cases. The parties are not authorized to use this caption.

² Papers filed thus far in IPR2016-00630 and IPR2016-00632 have identical paper numbers.

Case IPR2016-00630 (Patent 7,863,770 B2)

Case IPR2016-00632 (Patent 7,460,381 B2)

of its motion. Mot., Ex. A.³ Patent Owner states that the parties have conferred and Petitioner, Battery-Biz, Inc., does not oppose Patent Owner's revised motion. Mot. 2.

Having reviewed the Motion and the declaration of Mr. Quinn, we conclude that Mr. Quinn has sufficient qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding and that Patent Owner has shown good cause for Mr. Quinn's *pro hac vice* admission. See *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (setting forth the requirements for *pro hac vice* admission) (Paper 7). Mr. Quinn will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* in this proceeding as back-up counsel only. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

ORDER

ORDERED that Patent Owner's motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Charles Quinn is *granted*, and Mr. Quinn is authorized to represent Patent Owner only as back-up counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Quinn is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

³ In the future, all exhibits in these proceedings must be filed as numbered exhibits in the PTAB's Patent Review Process System ("PRPS"). Information regarding the use of PRPS, including contact information for questions, may be found at <http://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/appealing-patent-decisions/trials/patent-review-processing-system-prps-0>.

Case IPR2016-00630 (Patent 7,863,770 B2)

Case IPR2016-00632 (Patent 7,460,381 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Quinn is subject to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth at 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901.

FOR PETITIONER:

David A. Dillard

Sami I. Schilly

LEWIS ROCA ROTHBERGER CHRISTIE, LLP

ddillard@lrrc.com

sschilly@lrrc.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Harris A. Wolin

GRAHAM CURTIN, PA

hwolin@grahamcurtin.com