

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

ADVANCED SILICON TECHNOLOGIES LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2016-TBA  
Patent 6,630,935 B1

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	3
	A. Real parties-in-interest .....	3
	B. Notice of related matters .....	3
	C. Lead and back-up counsel with service information .....	4
III.	GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	4
IV.	STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED .....	5
V.	TECHNICAL BACKGROUND .....	5
	A. A graphics processor includes specially-designed hardware for graphics-processing tasks. ....	5
	B. Matsushita designed a multithreaded processor for computer graphics that improved the utilization of functional units. ....	8
	C. The challenged claims recite the functionality of a conventional multithreaded processor that was predicted by industry experts years before the '935 patent was filed.....	11
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	15
VII.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE.....	16
	A. <u>Ground 1</u> : Claims 1, 2, and 7 are obvious over Hirata in view of Kimura.....	20
	1. Claim 1—Hirata and Kimura teach or suggest all the features of this claim. ....	20
	2. Claim 2—Hirata and Kimura teach or suggest all the features of this claim. ....	30
	3. Claim 7—Hirata and Kimura teach or suggest all the features of this claim. ....	31

;

B.	<u>Ground 2</u> : Claim 3 is obvious over Hirata in view of Kimura and further in view of Hennessy. ....	32
C.	<u>Ground 3</u> : Claims 4 and 5 are obvious over Hirata in view of Kimura and further in view of Watkins. ....	38
	1. Claim 4—Hirata, Kimura, and Watkins teach or suggest all the features of this claim. ....	38
	2. Claim 5—Hirata, Kimura, and Watkins teach or suggest all the features of this claim. ....	39
D.	<u>Ground 4</u> : Claims 6 and 8 are obvious over Kimura in view of Hirata and further in view of Rentschler. ....	40
	1. Claim 6—Kimura, Hirata, and Rentschler teach or suggest all the features of this claim. ....	41
	2. Claim 8—Kimura, Hirata, and Rentschler teach or suggest all the features of this claim. ....	43
E.	<u>Ground 5</u> : Claims 9-18 are obvious over Hirata in view of Kimura, Hennessy, Watkins, and Rentschler. ....	44
	1. Claim 9—Kimura, Hirata, Hennessy, Watkins, and Rentschler teach or suggest all the features of this claim. ....	45
	2. Claim 10—Kimura, Hirata, Hennessy, Watkins, and Rentschler teach or suggest all the features of this claim. ....	48
	3. Claim 11—Kimura, Hirata, Hennessy, Watkins, and Rentschler teach or suggest all the features of this claim. ....	49
	4. Claim 12—Kimura, Hirata, Hennessy, Watkins, and Rentschler teach or suggest all the features of this claim. ....	50
	5. Claim 13—Kimura, Hirata, Hennessy, Watkins, and Rentschler teach or suggest all the features of this claim. ....	51

6.	Claim 14—Kimura, Hirata, Hennessy, Watkins, and Rentschler teach or suggest all the features of this claim.....	51
7.	Claim 15—Kimura, Hirata, Hennessy, Watkins, and Rentschler teach or suggest all the features of this claim.....	52
8.	Claim 16—Kimura, Hirata, Hennessy, Watkins, and Rentschler teach or suggest all the features of this claim.....	53
9.	Claim 17—Kimura, Hirata, Hennessy, Watkins, and Rentschler teach or suggest all the features of this claim.....	54
10.	Claim 18—Kimura, Hirata, Hennessy, Watkins, and Rentschler teach or suggest all the features of this claim.....	55

VIII. MOTIVATION TO COMBINE: THE REFERENCES THEMSELVES SUGGEST THE PROPOSED COMBINATIONS.....55

A.	A POSA would have been motivated to combine Hirata and Kimura and would have had a reasonable expectation of success in doing so. ....	56
B.	A POSA would have been motivated to combine Hirata and Kimura with Hennessy and would have had a reasonable expectation of success in doing so. ....	57
C.	A POSA would have been motivated to combine Hirata and Kimura with either Watkins and would have had a reasonable expectation of success in doing so. ....	57
D.	A POSA would have been motivated to combine Hirata and Kimura with Rentschler and would have had a reasonable expectation of success in doing so. ....	58

IX. CONCLUSION.....60

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.