

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ALARM.COM INC.
Petitioner

v.

VIVINT, INC.
Patent Owner

Case No. Unassigned
Patent 6,147,601

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
US Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....	1
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	1
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	1
C.	The instant petition does not present the same or substantially same art or arguments as prior petitions.....	3
D.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel.....	6
E.	Service Information.....	6
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.013.....	6
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104.....	7
A.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	7
B.	Prior Art Patents	7
C.	Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested	8
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE '601 PATENT	9
A.	Brief Description of the '601 Patent	9
B.	Summary of the Prosecution History of the '601 Patent	10
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	11
A.	<i>“[M]essage profile containing outgoing message routing instructions” (claims 1 and 22)</i>	12
VI.	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '601 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.	13
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-2, 22-23, and 26 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Shetty (filed 1996, issued Sept. 15, 1998) and the knowledge of a POSITA.	13
1.	Shetty disclosed a sensor determining the state of remote equipment.....	14
2.	Shetty disclosed an “interface unit” with a “message generating mechanism” that sent “exception messages” to a remote server.	14
3.	Shetty disclosed that the remote “computer server” was adapted to receive incoming exception messages from the “interface unit” and send outgoing exception messages to “remote	

	communications devices” specified in a “message profile”	17
4.	A POSITA would have understood that Shetty disclosed remote user configuration of the “message profile”.....	21
5.	It was obvious to include equipment ID codes in incoming messages.....	22
B.	Ground 2: Claims 3, 16, 24, 42, and 43 are obvious over Shetty in view of Wewalaarachchi.....	29
1.	Overview of Wewalaarachchi.....	29
2.	Wewalaarachchi disclosed “normalizing” incoming messages using a “normalization module,” storing normalized incoming messages, and basing outgoing exception messages on normalized incoming messages.....	34
3.	Wewalaarachchi disclosed the monitoring of HVAC equipment.	37
4.	Motivation to combine Shetty and Wewalaarachchi.....	37
C.	Ground 3: Claim 30 is obvious under Shetty in view of Britton (filed 1998).....	51
1.	Overview of Britton.....	52
2.	The combination of Shetty and Britton rendered obvious claims requiring transmitting and storing of “normal status messages” (claim 30).....	54
3.	Motivation to combine Britton with Shetty.....	56
D.	Ground 4: Claim 32 is obvious under Shetty in view of Wewalaarachchi and Britton.....	58
1.	Motivation to combine Britton with Shetty, as augmented by Wewalaarachchi.....	59
VII.	CONCLUSION	62

EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
1301	U.S. Patent No. 6,147,601 (“’601 Patent”)
1302	File History of U.S. Patent No. 6,147,601
1303	U.S. Patent No. 5,808,907 (“Shetty”)
1304	U.S. Patent No. 6,067,477 (“Wewalaarachchi”)
1305	U.S. Patent No. 6,040,770 (“Britton”)
1306	Declaration of V. Thomas Rhyne, III, Ph.D. in Support of Petitioner’s Request for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review
1307	Power of Attorney

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42, Petitioner Alarm.com Inc. (“Petitioner”) respectfully requests *Inter Partes* Review of claims 3, 16, 24, 32, 42, and 43 of U.S. Patent No. 6,147,601 (Ex. 1301, “the ’601 Patent”), which was filed on May 24, 1999, issued on November 14, 2000, and is currently assigned to Vivint, Inc. (“Vivint”) according to the US Patent and Trademark Office assignment records. There is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner will prevail with respect to at least one of the claims challenged in this Petition.

I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)

A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)

The Petitioner is Alarm.com Incorporated. In addition, parent company Alarm.com Holdings, Inc. is a real-party-in-interest.

B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)

Petitioner is not aware of any pending prosecution of the ’601 Patent. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2), Petitioner states that the ’601 Patent is the subject of a patent infringement lawsuit by the assignee, Vivint, which may affect, or be affected by, a decision in this proceeding: *Vivint, Inc. v. Alarm.com Inc.*, Case No. 2:15-cv-00392-CW (D. Utah, filed June 2, 2015). Vivint has asserted six patents against Petitioner in the Utah litigation, four of which are from the same family—the ’601 Patent, U.S. Patent No. 6,462,654, U. S. Patent No. 6,535,123 and U.S. Patent No. 6,717,513—and two unrelated patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 6,924,727 and 7,884,713).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.