Case 5:06-cv-03717-RMW Document 527 Filed 06/02/09 Page 1 of 6

	Debort T. Cruzon (Admitted Due Mars Vice)	
1	Robert T. Cruzen (Admitted <i>Pro Hac</i> Vice) robert.cruzen@klarquist.com	
2	Stephanie S. Irvine (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	
3	stephanie.irvine@klarquist.com Jeffrey S. Love (State Bar No. 195068)	
3	jeffrey.love@klarquist.com	
4	Garth A. Winn (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	
5	garth.winn@klarquist.com KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP	
6	121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600	
7	Portland, OR 97204-2988 Telephone: (503) 595-5300	
	Facsimile: (503) 595-5301	
8	Eric L. Wesenberg (State Bar No. 139696)	
9	ewesenberg@orrick.com	
10	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 Marsh Road	
11	Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015	
12	Telephone: (650) 614-7400 Facsimile: (650) 614-7401	
13	Attorneys for Defendants	
14	INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and I	GT
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SAN JOSE I	
16 17		
	SAN JOSE I ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and	DIVISION Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS)
17	SAN JOSE I ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	DIVISION
17 18 19	SAN JOSE I ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Plaintiffs,	DIVISION Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS) DECLARATION OF RICHARD MICHAELSON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
17 18 19 20	SAN JOSE I ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Plaintiffs, v.	DIVISION Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS) DECLARATION OF RICHARD MICHAELSON IN SUPPORT OF
17 18 19	SAN JOSE I ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Plaintiffs, v. INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and	DIVISION Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS) DECLARATION OF RICHARD MICHAELSON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON- INFRINGEMENT BECAUSE THE "INDICATING" STEP IS NEVER
17 18 19 20	SAN JOSE I ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Plaintiffs, v.	DIVISION Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS) DECLARATION OF RICHARD MICHAELSON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON- INFRINGEMENT BECAUSE THE "INDICATING" STEP IS NEVER PERFORMED DURING PLAY OF THE
17 18 19 20 21	SAN JOSE I ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Plaintiffs, v. INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT,	DIVISION Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS) DECLARATION OF RICHARD MICHAELSON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON- INFRINGEMENT BECAUSE THE "INDICATING" STEP IS NEVER
17 18 19 20 21 22	SAN JOSE I ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Plaintiffs, v. INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT,	DIVISION Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS) DECLARATION OF RICHARD MICHAELSON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON- INFRINGEMENT BECAUSE THE "INDICATING" STEP IS NEVER PERFORMED DURING PLAY OF THE
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	SAN JOSE I ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Plaintiffs, v. INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT,	DIVISION Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS) DECLARATION OF RICHARD MICHAELSON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON- INFRINGEMENT BECAUSE THE "INDICATING" STEP IS NEVER PERFORMED DURING PLAY OF THE
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	SAN JOSE I ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Plaintiffs, v. INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT,	DIVISION Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS) DECLARATION OF RICHARD MICHAELSON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON- INFRINGEMENT BECAUSE THE "INDICATING" STEP IS NEVER PERFORMED DURING PLAY OF THE
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	SAN JOSE I ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Plaintiffs, v. INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT,	DIVISION Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS) DECLARATION OF RICHARD MICHAELSON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON- INFRINGEMENT BECAUSE THE "INDICATING" STEP IS NEVER PERFORMED DURING PLAY OF THE
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	SAN JOSE I ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Plaintiffs, v. INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT,	DIVISION Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS) DECLARATION OF RICHARD MICHAELSON IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON- INFRINGEMENT BECAUSE THE "INDICATING" STEP IS NEVER PERFORMED DURING PLAY OF THE

DECLARATION OF RICHARD MICHAELSON

I, Richard Michaelson, declare as follows:

1

2

11

26

27

3 I am employed by IGT, a defendant in the above-captioned action. I have been 1. 4 employed by IGT since 2000. I have worked in the gaming industry for over three decades, and am 5 very familiar with the operation and play of slot machines. On May 28, 2009, I testified on behalf of 6 defendants in a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition noticed by the Aristocrat plaintiffs, on topics that included 7 operation of the gaming machines programmed with the Ft. Knox, Party Time!, Jackpot Hunter, and Wheelionaire bonuses that are accused of being used to sometimes infringe method claims in the 8 9 patents at issue in this case. In preparation for that deposition, I operated gaming machines 10 programmed with each of those four bonus rounds until each bonus was triggered. The following statements are based upon my personal knowledge of the operation of those games and, if called as a 12 witness, I could competently testify thereto. I was prepared to testify thereto at my May 28, 2009 13 deposition and did testify to their operation to the extent I was asked about it.

14 2. Exhibit 1 hereto is a true copy of a video and audio recording showing me operating 15 an IGT gaming machine programmed with both the original (GK000560) and current (GK001723) 16 release of IGT's Cleopatra multiline five reel base game with the Ft. Knox multilevel progressive 17 bonus. The recording was made on May 19, 2009, at IGT's offices, under my direct supervision, 18 while I operated the gaming machine. The recording is of me merely simulating game play. No 19 wager was made and no prize was awarded. Credits were added to the machine by inserting money 20 into it, but no wager was made and no gambling took place because I then opened the machine and 21 removed the money, and nobody paid or was obligated to pay me any winnings based on credits 22 added to the win and credit meters.

23 3. The Exhibit 1 recording accurately shows the operation of the Cleopatra base game 24 and Ft. Knox bonus round as they appear, display and play during gambling by casino patrons on 25 IGT gaming machines programmed with the Ft. Knox bonus.

The Exhibit 1 recording shows examples of base game play both with and without a 4. - 1 -Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS) MICHAELSON DEC. ISO IGT'S MOTION

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Ft. Knox bonus win, and both with and without base game line wins. Specifically:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

27

a. Starting at time 0:00, Exhibit 1 shows three game plays on original game release version GK000560: first with no wins; second with a Ft. Knox bonus but no base game line win; and third with base game line wins but no Ft. Knox bonus.

b. Starting at time 1:15, Exhibit 1 shows three more game plays on original game release version GK000560: first with base game line wins but no Ft. Knox bonus; second with a Ft. Knox bonus and base game line wins; and third with no wins.

c. Starting at time 2:42, Exhibit 1 shows two game plays on current game release version GK001723: first with a Ft. Knox bonus and no base game line wins; and second with base game line wins and no Ft. Knox bonus.

d. Starting at time 4:20, Exhibit 1 shows three more game plays on current game release version GK001723: first with no wins; second with a Ft. Knox bonus and base game line wins; and third with a base game scatter win, no line wins and no Ft. Knox bonus.

5. Each game with a Ft. Knox, Party Time, Jackpot Hunter or Wheelionaire bonus distributed by IGT operates similarly to the Ft. Knox game play described above and shown in the Exhibit 1 recording, in that the first indication to the player that a bonus round of any of those four kinds will appear is when the bonus round does appear and is displayed. There is no prior "alert" of any kind, visible or audible. When the bonus round does appear, the display and play of the bonus continues uninterrupted until its completion.

6. As shown in Exhibit 1's video and audio recording, after the base game reels spin and
stop, if a Ft. Knox bonus is won, the Ft. Knox bonus round immediately appears with a large vault
door screen and accompanying sounds. The bonus round continues with the display of smaller vault
doors, from which some are selected by the player to determine which of the bonus prizes is to be
awarded. After all credits awarded in the bonus round have been added to the win and credit meters,
the screen returns to the base game reels, any winning base game lines or other wins (such as

MICHAELSON DEC. ISO IGT'S MOTION - 2 -

Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS)

scatters) are identified to the player, and credits from those base game wins are added to the win and credit meters one credit at a time. After all credits won are awarded to both meters, the screen says "game over" to indicate that the game is now complete. The screen also summarizes the line and bonus wins from the prior game.

As shown in Exhibit 1, prior to the appearance of the Ft. Knox vault doors and 7. accompanying sounds, there is no alert to the player, visible or audible or of any other kind, that informs the player that a bonus round will appear after the base game is complete. There is no further completion of the base game between the vault doors' appearance and the continued display, play and completion of the bonus round. The bonus round continues uninterrupted from the vault doors' first appearance through the player selection of safes, the identification of the progressive prize won, and the crediting of the player's win and credit meters with the progressive prize.

In some current base game release versions distributed after January 2007, in the rare 12 8. 13 situation where a player in a single play has three wins, a base game line win, a progressive bonus, and a non-progressive bonus or feature (such as certain free spins), the totaling of base game line 14 15 wins precedes the Ft. Knox bonus. Specifically, with that rare triple win: first the base game line wins are credited; second the non-progressive bonus or feature is played and any wins credited; third 16 the progressive bonus appears, is displayed and played, and the win is credited to the win and credit 17 meters; and fourth the screen returns to the base game reels and says "game over." Because this 18 triple win is so rare, taking on average thousands of game plays to trigger, I did not trigger it in my 19 play of the current Cleopatra and Ft. Knox game release shown in Exhibit 1 (which is the same 20 21 program used in casinos), nor in my play of the current release version of Lion Dance and Ft. Knox. But I did view this triple win and the sequence in which each win is then displayed and credited to 22 the win and credit meters on a PC computer running IGT emulator software for a current release of 23 the Lion Dance base game and Ft. Knox bonus. That computer software simulates game play but 24 allows all three wins (base game line wins, free spin feature and Ft. Knox bonus) to be quickly 25 26 triggered in one game play. Using that emulator software I verified that the base game line wins are 27

MICHAELSON DEC. ISO IGT'S MOTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS)

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

N-INFRINGEMENT RECAUSE

- 3 -

Case 5:06-cv-03717-RMW Document 527 Filed 06/02/09 Page 5 of 6

1

2

3

4

5

6

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

credited to the win and credit meters before the free spin feature is played, and wins in the free spin feature are credited to the win and credit meters before the Ft. Knox bonus is played.

9. I have reviewed Figures 1-12 and the accompanying text in Defendants' Motion For
Summary Judgment Of Non-infringement Because The "Indicating Step Is Never Performed During
Play Of The Accused Games. They accurately illustrate and describe the operation of the accused
gaming machines with the Ft. Knox bonus.

7 10. The other accused games with multi-level progressive bonuses operate similarly to 8 the game with the Ft. Knox bonus shown in the Exhibit 1 recording attached hereto, with respect to 9 the sequence in which the game portions appear and are displayed and played. In Party Time! and 10 Jackpot Hunter the bonus rounds consist of multiple free spins of five reels with different symbols than the base game, where non-progressive awards can be won based on, for example, winning line 11 12 combinations (as in the base game) in addition to the progressive prize award. In the Wheelionaire 13 bonus round, the player selects positions on a wheel which reveal gemstones, and when three gems 14 of the same kind are revealed, the prize associated with that gem is awarded. The top "diamond" 15 prize is a non-progressive fixed amount. Either it or one of several smaller progressive prizes is 16 awarded.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that theforgoing is true and accurate.

Executed this <u>29</u>^{r#} day of May, 2009, at Reno, Nevada.

<u>R_Q</u>

Richard Michaelson

N DEC. ISO IGT'S MOTION

- 4 -

Case No.: C-06-3717-RMW (RS)

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.