Case: 17-2088 Document: 91 Page: 1 Filed: 02/01/2019

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., BRECKENRIDGE PHARMACEUTICAL, INC., ALEMBIC PHARMACEUTICALS LTD., Appellants

v.

RESEARCH CORPORATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Appellee

2017-2088, 2017-2089, 2017-2091

Appeals from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in Nos. IPR2016-00204, IPR2016-01101, IPR2016-01242, IPR2016-01245.

Decided: February 1, 2019

STEVEN WILLIAM PARMELEE, Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati, PC, Seattle, WA, argued for all appellants. Appellant Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. also represented by MICHAEL T. ROSATO, JAD ALLEN MILLS; ADEN M. ALLEN, NICOLE W. STAFFORD, Austin, TX.

MATTHEW L. FEDOWITZ, Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC, Alexandria, VA, for appellant Breckenridge Pharma-



Case: 17-2088 Document: 91 Page: 2 Filed: 02/01/2019

2 MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. RESEARCH CORPORATION TECHS., INC.

ceutical, Inc.

TODD S. WERNER, Carlson, Caspers, Vandenburgh, Lindquist & Schuman, PA, Minneapolis, MN, for appellant Alembic Pharmaceuticals Ltd. Also represented by SARAH STENSLAND, Patterson Thuente Pedersen, PA, Minneapolis, MN.

JACK B. BLUMENFELD, Morris, Nichols, Arsht & Tunnell LLP, Wilmington, DE, argued for appellee. Also represented by ALEXA HANSEN, Covington & Burling LLP, San Francisco, CA; JENNIFER L. ROBBINS, New York, NY; BETH S. BRINKMANN, PRISCILLA GRACE DODSON, EVAN SMITH KRYGOWSKI, GEORGE FRANK PAPPAS, Washington, DC.

Before LOURIE, BRYSON, and WALLACH, Circuit Judges. LOURIE, Circuit Judge.

Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. ("Mylan"), Breckenridge Pharmaceutical, Inc. ("Breckenridge"), and Alembic Pharmaceuticals, Ltd. ("Alembic") (collectively, "Appellants") appeal from the final written decision of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("the Board") in an inter partes review concluding that claims 1–13 of U.S. Reissue Patent 38,551 ("the '551 patent") are not unpatentable. See Argentum Pharm. LLC v. Research Corp. Techs., IPR 2016-00204, 2017 WL 1096590, at *1–2 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 22, 2017) ("Decision"). For the reasons detailed below, we affirm.

BACKGROUND

Epilepsy is a neurological disorder that affects about one percent of the human population. It is characterized by two or more unprovoked seizures occurring more than 24 hours apart. Epilepsy can be associated with conditions affecting the structure of the brain, but, for the vast



MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. RESEARCH CORPORATION TECHS., INC.

majority of affected individuals, no specific cause can be identified. While there is no known cure for epilepsy, treatment can include both drug therapy and surgery, and most patients are treated via long-term administration of anticonvulsant drugs to prevent seizures. The nature and severity of seizures varies considerably across the patient population, and treatment is typically tailored for each specific patient.

Research Corporation Technologies, Inc. ("RCT") owns the '551 patent, which discloses and claims enantiomeric compounds and pharmaceutical compositions useful in the treatment of epilepsy and other central nervous system ("CNS") disorders. Claim 1 recites:

1. A compound in the R configuration having the formula:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc} Ar & --CH_2NHC & --C & --N & --C & --Q_1 \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

wherein

Ar is phenyl which is unsubstituted or substituted with at least one halo group;

Q is lower alkoxy, and

 Q_1 is methyl.

'551 patent col. 38 ll. 8–23.



4 MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. RESEARCH CORPORATION TECHS., INC.

At issue here are claims 8–13.¹ Claim 8 depends from claim 1 and recites "[t]he compound according to claim 1 which is (R)-N-benzyl-2-acetamido-3-methoxypropionamide," referred to in the patent as "BAMP" and referred to herein as lacosamide:

Claim 9 claims lacosamide in 90 percent or greater purity, claim 10, therapeutic compositions comprising the claimed compounds, and claims 11–13, use of the compounds for treating central nervous system disorders. *Id.* col. 38 ll. 39–51. Because arguments have not been made concerning the separate claims, we will consider them together, as did the Board.

¹ Before the Board, Appellants challenged claims 1–13, but, since this appeal was taken, claims 1–7 have been voluntarily cancelled in a separate, ex parte reexamination proceeding. See Citation of Supplemental Authority, Mylan Pharm. Inc. v. Research Corp. Techs., No. 2017-2088 (Fed. Cir. Apr. 23, 2018), ECF No. 73. Because there is no case or controversy regarding the finally cancelled claims, we rule only on the still-existing claims 8–13. See Fresenius USA, Inc. v. Baxter Int'l, Inc., 721 F.3d 1330, 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2013) (litigation became moot because of the cancellation of claims).

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. RESEARCH CORPORATION TECHS., INC.

On November 23, 2015, Argentum Pharmaceuticals LLC ("Argentum") petitioned for *inter partes* review ("IPR") of the '551 patent. In its petition, Argentum challenged claims 1–13 on eight grounds. The Board only instituted on two grounds involving three references: (1) obviousness of claims 1–9 over Kohn 1991² and Silverman³ and (2) obviousness of claims 10–13 over Kohn 1991, Silverman, and U.S. Patent 5,378,729 ("the '729 patent").⁴ The instituted grounds appear in the petition as ground 3A and ground 3B.

In its argument, Argentum advanced a lead compound analysis. It relied on Kohn 1991 for disclosure of compound 3l, its proffered lead compound. Kohn 1991, authored by the named inventor of the '551 patent, Dr. Harold Kohn, discloses a series of functionalized amino acids ("FAAs") with anticonvulsant activity. Dr. Kohn observed that FAA racemates with N-benzylamide moieties and acetylated amino groups provided potent protection against seizures in mice. For his research presented in the 1991 paper, Dr. Kohn began with (R,S)-2-acetamido-N-benzyl-2-methylacetamide as a lead compound and replaced the α-methyl group, denoted in the structure below as "X," with functionalized nitrogen, oxygen, and sulfur substituents:



² Harold Kohn et al., Preparation and Anticonvulsant Activity of a Series of Functionalized a-Heteroatom-Substituted Amino Acids, 34 J. Medicinal Chemistry 2444 (1991); J.A. 2404–12.

³ Richard B. Silverman, The Organic Chemistry of Drug Design and Drug Action (1st ed. 1992); J.A. 2413–61.

⁴ The application that led to the '551 patent was filed before March 16, 2013, and the pre-Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, Pub L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284 (2011), version of § 103 applies.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

