

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01333 (Patent 9,094,500 B1)
Case IPR2016-01362 (Patent 9,083,850 B1)

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, BARBARA A. BENOIT, and
GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

TURNER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Trial Hearing
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

IPR2016-01333 (Patent 9,094,500 B1)

IPR2016-01362 (Patent 9,083,850 B1)

On January 12, 2017, we entered a Decision to Institute a trial proceeding in IPR2016-01333. Paper 10. A Scheduling Order in the case set the date for oral hearing, if requested by either party, as September 14, 2017. Paper 11. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, both parties have requested an oral hearing in IPR2016-01333. Papers 23, 24. Petitioner's and Patent Owner's requests for oral hearing in IPR2016-01333 are *granted*.

Additionally, on January 9, 2017, we entered a Decision to Institute a trial proceeding in IPR2016-01362. Paper 11. A Scheduling Order in that case set the date for oral hearing, if requested by either party, as September 7, 2017. Paper 12. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, both parties requested an oral hearing in IPR2016-01362. Papers 29, 30. Petitioner's and Patent Owner's requests for oral hearing in IPR2016-01362 are *granted*.

For the sake of judicial economy, the oral arguments for both cases will be heard on the same day and location, specifically on September 14, 2017 on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. The hearing for IPR2016-01333 will commence at 1:00 PM and end at 2:30 PM Eastern Time. The hearing for IPR2016-01362 will commence at 2:40 PM and end at 4:10 PM Eastern Time. Both hearings will be open to the public for in-person attendance. In person attendance will be accommodated on a first-come-first-served basis. If the parties have any concern about disclosing confidential information, they are to contact the Board at least five (5) business days in advance of the hearings to discuss the matter.

Each party will have forty-five (45) minutes of total time to present arguments for each case. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that the claims at issue are unpatentable. Therefore, Petitioner will proceed first

IPR2016-01333 (Patent 9,094,500 B1)

IPR2016-01362 (Patent 9,083,850 B1)

to present its case with regard to the challenged claims and grounds on which we instituted trial in IPR2016-01333. Patent Owner then will argue its opposition to Petitioner's case. Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time.

Subsequently, after a short break, Petitioner will proceed to present its case with regard to the challenged claims and grounds on which we instituted trial in IPR2016-01362. Patent Owner then will argue its opposition to Petitioner's case. Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time.

The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing.

The parties are reminded that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(f)(7), a proponent of deposition testimony must file such testimony as an exhibit. The Board will not consider any deposition testimony that has not been so filed.

Furthermore, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served at least five (5) business days before the hearing. The parties shall provide a courtesy copy of any demonstrative exhibits to the Board at least three (3) business days prior to the hearing by emailing them to Trials@uspto.gov. The parties shall not file any demonstrative exhibits in the records of these proceedings without prior authorization from the Board. The demonstrative exhibits in this case are not evidence and are intended only to assist the parties in presenting their oral argument to the Board.

The parties must, however, file any objections to the demonstratives with the Board at least three (3) business days before the hearing. Any objection to the demonstrative exhibits that is not presented timely will be considered waived. The objections should identify with particularity which demonstratives are subject to objection, and include a short (one sentence or

IPR2016-01333 (Patent 9,094,500 B1)

IPR2016-01362 (Patent 9,083,850 B1)

less) statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider the objections and schedule a conference if deemed necessary. Otherwise, the Board will reserve ruling on the objections until after the oral argument. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, IPR2013-00041 (PTAB January 27, 2014) (Paper 65), for guidance regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present at oral hearing, although any backup counsel may make the actual presentation, in whole or in part. If lead counsel for either party will not be in attendance at oral hearing, the Board should be notified via a joint telephone conference call no later than three (3) business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

Any special requests for audio visual equipment should be directed to Trials@uspto.gov. Requests for special equipment will not be honored unless presented in a separate communication not less than five (5) business days before the hearing directed to the above email address.

At least one judge will be participating remotely via a videoconferencing device and will not be able to view the projection screen in the hearing room. The parties are reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to avoid confusion, and to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript.

IPR2016-01333 (Patent 9,094,500 B1)

IPR2016-01362 (Patent 9,083,850 B1)

PETITIONER:

Lori A. Gordon

Steven M. Pappas

Steven W. Peters

Michael B. Ray

Michael D. Specht

Byron L. Pickard

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC

ptab@skgf.com

lgordon-PTAB@skgf.com

spappas@skgf.com

Speters-ptab@skgf.com

Mray-PTAB@skgf.com

Mspecht-PTAB@skgf.com

bpickard-PTAB@skgf.com

PATENT OWNER (IPR2016-01333):

Erika H. Arner

Darren M. Jiron

Michael Young

Daniel C. Tucker

Brandon Bludau

Jason E. Stach

Benjamin Saidman

Guang-Yu Zhu

Justin Mullen

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP

erika.arners@finnegan.com

darren.jiron@finnegan.com

michael.young@finnegan.com

daniel.tucker@finnegan.com

brandon.bludau@finnegan.com

jason.stach@finnegan.com

benjamin.saidman@finnegan.com

justin.mullen@finnegan.com

guang-yu.zhu@finnegan.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.