UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC., Petitioner,

V.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01404 Patent 6,968,459 B1

Record of Oral Hearing Held: October 5, 2017

Before THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, PATRICK M. BOUCHER and KAMRAN JIVANI, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

LIONEL M. LAVENUE, ESQUIRE Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett and Dunner, LLP Two Freedom Square 11955 Freedom Drive Reston, Virginia 20190 (571) 203-2700

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

DANIEL BLOCK, ESQUIRE Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein, and Fox PLLC 1100 New York Avenue Northwest Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 371-2600

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, October 5, 2017, commencing at 10:00 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JUDGE GIANNETTI: Good morning everyone. We are here for a
4	final hearing in case IPR 2016-01404, Unified Patents versus Intellectual
5	Ventures II. I'm Judge Giannetti, I will be presiding over the hearing today.
6	On the screen to my left are the two other members of the panel appearing
7	remotely, Judge Jivani
8	JUDGE JIVANI: Good morning.
9	JUDGE GIANNETTI: and Judge Boucher. I will note that because
10	we have two remote judges today it's important that you make sure that
11	you're speaking into the microphones so that they can hear you, and also
12	when you refer to your demonstratives please use the page numbers. They
13	have copies of the demonstratives and they'll be able to follow along. All
14	right, so let me get your appearances. Who's appearing today for Petitioner?
15	MR. LAVENUE: Petitioner, Lionel Lavenue,
16	Your Honor.
17	JUDGE GIANNETTI: So, will you be making presentation for the
18	Petitioner, sir?
19	MR. LAVENUE: Yes, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE GIANNETTI: Thank you, and who's here for the Patent
21	Owner?
22	MR. BLOCK: Daniel Block, Your Honor.
23	JUDGE GIANNETTI: I'm sorry, Block, is it?
24	MR. BLOCK: Daniel Block, B-L-O-C-K, from Sterne, Kessler, with
25	me is also I ori Gordon



1	JUDGE GIANNETTI: All right, that's fine. So, to go over a few
2	ground rules and then we can get started, we have alloted 60 minutes per
3	side for argument today, that is a time limit. You are not required to use all
4	60 minutes. The Petitioner can reserve time for rebuttal and you may do that
5	either at the beginning of your presentation or if you prefer you can use the
6	balance of your time. I will be keeping time and I'll try to give you a
7	warning when you get into your rebuttal time, a few minutes before.
8	I want to make a comment about demonstratives. Both sides have
9	submitted demonstratives, we've reviewed them. We will not authorize
10	them to be filed at this stage but you can use them as aids to your argument,
11	but I want to caution and remind you the record of the hearing will be the
12	transcript that the court reporter will prepare and will be uploaded to the case
13	file and not the demonstratives. They are not evidence in this proceeding.
14	So, before we began do you have any questions, either side? Petitioner, any
15	questions, Patent Owner, any questions?
16	MR. BLOCK: No questions from Patent Owner.
17	JUDGE GIANNETTI: All right, I think we're ready to begin. Let me
18	just get organized here for a second. Petitioner, you may proceed.
19	MR. LAVENUE: All set, Your Honor?
20	JUDGE GIANNETTI: You can proceed when you're ready, sir.
21	MR. LAVENUE: Thank you. I have a printout for you, Your Honor,
22	do you need a printout?
23	JUDGE GIANNETTI: Well, I have them but I'm always happy to
24	take a binder if you handthem up.
25	MR. LAVENUE: Thank you, and I also have one for the court
26	reporter I'll hand to her after the hearing. So, Your Honor, I'd like to reserve



1	10 minutes for rebuttal and mainly for the warning because I think that I will
2	conclude well within my 50 minutes, so just in case.
3	JUDGE GIANNETTI: You can proceed, sir.
4	MR. LAVENUE: Thank you. Your Honor, if we go to page 2 of our
5	presentation, 2 of 39, we can see the summary of the instituted grounds and
6	the references you see on the left side, Bensimon and Bensimon and
7	Takahashi, and then the challenged claims are on the right. Basically this
8	dispute boils down to issues about claim consideration and about the
9	disclosure of Bensimon.
10	Takahashi is not really at issue either in the briefing or in the
11	argument as far as we can tell. If it does come up then we'll deal with that in
12	the rebuttal. The summary of the issues is on the next page and basically we
13	have four issues that we believe need to be resolved during this hearing.
14	Each one of these issues, we believe, is an issue that the outcome is strongly
15	in favor of the Petitioner and you'll see that as we explained we believe that
16	there are strained arguments that are presented by the Patent Owner in order
17	to try to create issues for purpose of the hearing.
18	The first issue is the construction of device-specific security
19	information, that is a claim term that is disputed between the parties. The
20	issue is whether or not that claim term has to have the word unique in it and
21	we submit that the answer is, no. That the claim, device-specific security
22	information, does not have to have the word included in it and we will
23	explain why. The second
24	JUDGE JIVANI: Counsel, related to that issue before you continue
25	on we did not see a head-on discussion of which claim construction



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

