Paper No. 14 Filed: February 9, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DISH NETWORK L.L.C., Petitioner,

V.

TQ DELTA, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01470 Patent 8,611,404 B2

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, and TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

DESHPANDE, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION Institution of *Inter Partes* Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108



I. INTRODUCTION

DISH Network L.L.C. ("Petitioner") filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 6, 11, 16, and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 8,611,404 B2 (Ex. 1001, "the '404 patent"). Paper 1 ("Pet."). TQ Delta, LLC ("Patent Owner") filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 10 ("Prelim. Resp."). We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), which provides that an *inter partes* review may not be instituted "unless . . . there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition." After considering the Petition, the Preliminary Response, and associated evidence, we conclude that Petitioner has demonstrated a reasonable likelihood that it would prevail in showing the unpatentability of claims 6, 11, 16, and 20 of the '404 patent. Thus, we authorize institution of an *inter partes* review of claims 6, 11, 16, and 20 of the '404 patent as unpatentable over Bowie, Vanzieleghem, and ANSI T1.413.

A. Related Proceedings

Petitioner indicates that the '404 patent is the subject of several district court proceedings. *See* Pet. 2–3. Petitioner further indicates that the '404 patent is the subject of IPR2016-01160. *See id.* at 3.

B. The '404 Patent (Ex. 1001)

The '404 patent discloses a method and apparatus for establishing a power management sleep state in a multicarrier system. Ex. 1001, 1:31–33. The '404 patent discloses an asynchronous digital subscriber loop (ADSL) system having a first transceiver located at the site of a customer's premises ("CPE transceiver") and a second transceiver located at the local central telephone office ("CO transceiver"). *Id.* at 3:62–67. The transceivers



include a transmitter section for transmitting data over a digital subscriber line and a receiver section for receiving data from the line. *Id.* at 4:14–17. The transceivers further include a clock, controller, frame counter, and a state memory. *Id.* at 4:58–5:15. Typically, data is communicated in the form of a sequence of data frames, sixty-eight frames for ADSL, followed by a synchronization frame. *Id.* The sixty-nine frames comprise a "superframe." *Id.*

The power down operation of the CPE transceiver begins on receipt of a power-down indication. *Id.* at 6:27–30. The CPE transceiver responds to the power down indication by transmitting to the CO transceiver an "Intend to Enter Sleep Mode" notification. *Id.* at 6:39–42. The CO transceiver responds by transmitting an "Acknowledge Sleep Mode" notification to the CPE transceiver, and the CPE transceiver transmits an "Entering Sleep Mode" notification to the CO transceiver. Id. at 6:53-65. The CO transceiver detects the notification and transmits its own "Entering Sleep Mode" notification. *Id.* at 6:65–67. The CO transceiver stores its state in its own state memory corresponding to the state memory of the CPE transceiver. *Id.* at 6:67–7:2. The CO transceiver continues to advance the frame count and the superframe count during the period of power-down in order to ensure synchrony with the CPE transceiver when communications are resumed. *Id.* at 7:9–12. The CO transceiver further continues to monitor the subscriber line for an "Exiting Sleep Mode" notification, and the CPE transceiver transmits this signal when it receives an "Awaken" indication. *Id.* at 7:57–64. In response to the "Awaken" signal, CPE transceiver retrieves its store state from state memory and restores full power to its



circuitry. *Id.* at 7:64–66. CO Transmitter detects "Exit Sleep Mode" notification and restores its state and power. *Id.* at 8:1–4.

C. Illustrative Claim

Petitioner challenges claims 6, 11, 16, and 20 of the '404 patent. Pet. 18–58. Claims 6, 11, and 16 are independent claims. Claim 6 is illustrative of the claims at issue and is reproduced below:

6. An apparatus comprising a transceiver operable to: receive, in a full power mode, a plurality of superframes, wherein the superframe comprises a plurality of data frames followed by a synchronization frame;

receive, in the full power mode, a synchronization signal; transmit a message to enter into a low power mode; store, in a low power mode, at least one parameter associated with the full power mode operation wherein the at least one parameter comprises at least one of a fine gain parameter and a bit allocation parameter;

receive, in the low power mode, a synchronization signal; and

exit from the low power and restore the full power mode by using the at least one parameter and without needing to reinitialize the transceiver.

Ex. 1001, 10:29-43.

D. The Alleged Ground of Unpatentability

The information presented in the Petition sets forth a proposed ground of unpatentability of claims 6, 11, 16, and 20 of the '404 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as follows (*see* Pet. 18–58):¹

¹ Petitioner supports its challenge with the Declaration of W. Leo Hoarty. (Ex. 1002).



Δ

References	Claims Challenged
Bowie, ² Vanzieleghem, ³ and ANSI T1.413 ⁴	6, 11, 16, and 20

II. ANALYSIS

A. Claim Construction

The Board interprets claims of an unexpired patent using the broadest reasonable construction in light of the specification of the patent in which they appear. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b); *see Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee*, 136 S. Ct. 2131, 2142–46 (2016). Under the broadest reasonable construction standard, claim terms are given their ordinary and customary meaning, as would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art in the context of the entire disclosure. *In re Translogic Tech., Inc.*, 504 F.3d 1249, 1257 (Fed. Cir. 2007).

1. "Low Power Mode"

Petitioner proposes that the term "low power mode" should be interpreted to mean "a mode in which power to the circuitry is reduced for the purpose of power conservation." Pet. 10. Petitioner argues that such a construction is consistent the '404 patent specification, which describes a "multicarrier transmission system having a low power sleep mode" and the purpose of sleep mode is "power conservation as well as to accommodate

⁴ Network and Customer Installation Interfaces – Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line (ADSL) Metallic Interface, AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS INSTITUTION (ANSI) T1.413-1995 STANDARD (Ex. 1009) ("ANSI T1.413").



² U.S. Patent No. 5,956,323; issued Sep. 21, 1999 (Ex. 1005) ("Bowie").

³ U.S. Patent No. 6,246,725 B1; issued Jun. 12, 2001 (Ex. 1006) ("Vanzieleghem").

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

