

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DISH NETWORK L.L.C.
Petitioner

v.

TQ DELTA LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-01470
Patent 8,611,404

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

Table of Contents

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	1
A. Patent Owner’s Proposed Construction For “Synchronization Signal” Should Be Rejected	1
B. No Construction Is Necessary For “Parameter Associated with the Full Power Mode Operation”	6
III. THE COMBINATION OF BOWIE, VANZIELGHEM AND THE 1995 ADSL STANDARD RENDER THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OBVIOUS.....	7
A. The Declaration of Dr. Chrissan should be entitled little to no weight.	7
B. Patent Owner’s arguments related to the first part of the “storing” element of claims 6, 11 and 16 are meritless and irrelevant.....	8
C. The combination of Bowie and the 1995 ADSL Standard discloses “stor[ing], in the low power mode ... at least one of a fine gain parameter and a bit allocation parameter.”	10
1. The combination of Bowie and the 1995 ADSL Standard is not the result of hindsight bias.	10
2. Bowie’s teachings do not undermine Petitioner’s stated motivations for combining Bowie and the 1995 ADSL Standard	13
D. Bowie discloses “exit[ing] from the low power mode ... without needing to reinitialize the transceiver.”	14
1. The ADSL unit in Bowie does not re-initialize every time it wakes up from its low power mode.....	14
2. Bowie does not teach away from the claimed invention.	15
E. The combination of Bowie and Vanzieleghem disclose “receiving, in the low power mode, a synchronization signal”	16

Table of Contents
(continued)

	Page
1. Bowie does not teach away from modification by Vanzielegem for the “synchronization signal” element.	16
2. The proposed modification does not render Bowie’s low power mode inoperable.....	19
IV. CONCLUSION.....	21

List of Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description of Document
1058	Deposition Transcript of Dr. Douglas A. Chrissan on August 11, 2017.
1059	Copy of Deposition Transcript of Dr. Douglas A. Chrissan filed in IPR2016-01466 on August 23, 2017 as Ex. 1011.
1060	Dictionary of Networking, Third Edition (1999).
1061	Claim Construction Order filed in <i>TQ Delta, LLC v. Comcast Cable Communications, LLC</i> , Case Nos. 15-cv-611, 15-cv-612, 15-cv-613, 15-cv-614, 15-cv-615, 15-cv-616 (D. Del.) dated Dec. 6, 2016.

I. INTRODUCTION

Patent Owner's Response ("POR") sets forth new unsupportable proposed claim constructions and uses them to argue that the combination of Bowie, Vanzielegem, and the 1995 ADSL Standard fails to teach the limitations "stor[ing], in a low power mode, at least one parameter associated with the full power mode operation" (herein, the "storing" claim element), "exit[ing] from the low power mode ... without needed to reinitialize the transceiver" (herein, the "exiting" claim element), and "receiv[ing], in the low power mode, a synchronization signal" (herein, the "synchronization signal" claim element). Patent Owner's arguments are based on erroneous claim constructions, mischaracterizations of the prior art and a misinterpretation of the rules of obviousness so they should respectfully be rejected.

II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

A. Patent Owner's Proposed Construction For "Synchronization Signal" Should Be Rejected

Patent Owner ("PO") proposes a construction for the claimed term "synchronization signal" for the first time in its Response. PO's proposed construction provides for "a signal used to maintain a timing relationship between transceivers by correcting errors or differences between a timing reference of the

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.