Trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822 Paper 10 Entered: February 22, 2017

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SEMICONDUCTOR COMPONENTS INDUSTRIES, LLC (d/b/a ON SEMICONDUCTOR), Petitioner,

v.

POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC., Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2016-01598 Patent 6,107,851

Before THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, BRIAN J. McNAMARA, and LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETTIGREW, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108

# I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner, Semiconductor Components Industries, LLC, d/b/a ON Semiconductor, filed a Petition for *inter partes* review of claims 12, 16, 18,

A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,107,851 (Ex. 1001, "the '851 patent").<sup>1</sup> Paper 1 ("Pet."). Patent Owner, Power Integrations, Inc., filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 9 ("Prelim. Resp."). Institution of an *inter partes* review is authorized by statute when "the information presented in the petition . . . and any response . . . shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition." 35 U.S.C. § 314(a); *see* 37 C.F.R. § 42.108. Upon consideration of the Petition and Preliminary Response, we conclude the information presented does not show there is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner would prevail in establishing the unpatentability of any of the challenged claims of the '851 patent.

# A. Related Matters

Petitioner identifies as related matters the following two district court proceedings: *Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int'l, Inc.*, No. 1:04-cv-01371 (D. Del.), and *Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int'l, Inc.*, No. 1:08-cv-00309 (D. Del.). Pet. 2. The United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit reviewed district court decisions in those two cases in *Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int'l, Inc.*, 711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013), and *Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int'l, Inc.*, 843 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2016). *See* Pet. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Of the claims challenged in the Petition, claims 16 and 20 were introduced or amended during reexamination of the '851 patent (*see* Reexamination Application No. 90/008,324) and appear in Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate US 6,107,851 C1. Ex. 1002. Thus, all references to those claims of the '851 patent are to the claims as issued in the Reexamination Certificate.

Petitioner also identifies as a related matter the reexamination of the '851 patent. *Id.* In addition, Petitioner concurrently filed a petition (IPR2016-01599) for *inter partes* review of claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 10, and 19 of the '851 patent. *Id.* at 2–3.

# B. The '851 Patent

The '851 patent relates to switch mode power supplies, which convert, for example, an AC voltage at a wall socket to a DC voltage used to power an electronic device. Ex. 1001, 1:5–21. A switch mode power supply may incorporate a pulse width modulated (PWM) switch to maintain a steady DC voltage. *Id.* The PWM switch uses an oscillator and related circuitry to vary the frequency of the switch. *Id.* 

According to the '851 patent, a common problem with switch mode power supplies is the electromagnetic interference (EMI) generated at the switching frequency of the switch. *Id.* at 1:22–40. The '851 patent explains that, at the time of the invention, it was known that EMI could be reduced by varying, or jittering, the frequency of the oscillator contained in the PWM switch controller. *Id.* at 3:9–30. Jittering allows the switching frequency of the switch to be spread over a larger bandwidth, which minimizes the peak value of the EMI generated by the power supply at each frequency. *Id.* at 3:22–25.

Figure 1 of the '851 patent illustrates a known power supply using a PWM switch and frequency jitter circuitry external to the PWM switch for varying the switch frequency. *Id.* at 3:12–17, 4:37–39, Fig. 1 (labeled "PRIOR ART"). The '851 patent describes shortcomings of the EMI reduction scheme shown in Figure 1. For example, the amount of frequency

### IPR2016-01598 Patent 6,107,851

jitter itself will vary due to variations in the line voltage and output load. *Id.* at 3:31–34, 6:13–17.

The '851 patent purports to overcome shortcomings of external frequency jitter circuitry by including a frequency variation circuit that is internal to the PWM switch itself. According to the '851 patent, an internal frequency variation signal has an advantage over the frequency jitter operation of Figure 1 "in that the frequency range of the presently preferred pulse width modulated switch is known and fixed, and is not subject to the line voltage or load magnitude variations." Id. at 6:13–17 (reference numeral omitted). Moreover, the '851 patent continues, a power supply containing a PWM switch with an internally generated frequency variation signal will have a reduced size and overall cost as compared to the prior art power supply shown in Figure 1 with an externally generated frequency variation signal. *Id.* at 6:21–24. The '851 patent also describes an alternative power supply embodiment containing a regulation circuit with a switching frequency that varies according to an internal frequency variation signal and has the same advantages as the disclosed PWM switch with an internal frequency variation signal. Id. at 11:43-50.

#### C. Challenged Claims

Of the challenged claims, only claim 20 is independent. Claim 20 was added as a new claim during reexamination of the '851 patent to replace independent claim 11, which was cancelled. Ex. 1002, 1:19, 2:23–45; Ex. 1016, 2, 6, 8 (Second Amendment and Response After Final, May 9, 2009). Challenged claim 16 was amended during reexamination to depend from claim 20. Ex. 1002, 1:44–47. Claims 12 and 18 were not reexamined

and continue to depend from cancelled claim 11. Ex. 1001, 14:1–3, 14:37–41.

Claim 20 is illustrative of the claimed subject matter and reads:

20. A regulation circuit comprising:

a first terminal;

a second terminal;

a feedback terminal coupled to disable the regulation circuit;

a switch comprising a control input, said switch allowing a signal to be transmitted between said first terminal and said second terminal according to a drive signal provided at said control input;

a frequency variation circuit that provides a frequency variation signal, wherein the frequency variation signal is an internally controlled signal within the regulation circuit;

an oscillator that provides an oscillation signal having a frequency range, said frequency of said oscillation signal varying within said frequency range according to said frequency variation signal, said oscillator further providing a maximum duty cycle signal comprising a first state and a second state; and

a drive circuit that provides said drive signal when said maximum duty cycle signal is in said first state and said regulation circuit is not disabled.

Ex. 1002, 2:13–45.

DOCKE

# DOCKET



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

