

By: Andrew W. Schultz, Reg. No. 66,869
Pepper Hamilton LLP
125 High Street, High Street Tower
Boston, MA 02110
(617) 204-5100 (telephone)
schultza@pepperlaw.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

IXI IP, LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2016-01669
Patent 7,552,124

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
Table of Authorities	iii
Table of Exhibits	vi
I. Statement Of Relief Requested.....	1
II. Overview Of The '124 Patent.....	2
III. Claim Construction And Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	4
A. Person Having Ordinary Skill In The Art	5
B. Claim Construction	6
IV. Petitioner Fails To Establish That The Instituted Claims Are Obvious Over <i>Maes</i> In View Of <i>Preston</i>	6
A. Summary of <i>Maes</i>	7
B. Summary of <i>Preston</i>	8
C. Petitioner fails to establish that <i>Maes</i> discloses “parsing the high-level code for the keywords”	10
D. Petitioner fails to demonstrate that <i>Maes</i> determines and utilizes “implementation” as required by claim 1.....	16
E. Exhibit 1002 is entitled to little, if any, weight.....	22
V. Petitioner Fails To Establish That The Instituted Claims Are Obvious Over <i>Pazandak</i> In View Of <i>White</i> And <i>Manson</i>	23
A. Summary of <i>Pazandak</i>	24
B. Summary of <i>White</i>	26
C. Summary of <i>Manson</i>	27
D. Petitioner fails to establish that <i>Pazandak</i> discloses “receiving a high-level code comprising one or more keywords, wherein the high-level code is provided by a user. . .without having to select from menu items”	28
E. Petitioner fails to establish that <i>Pazandak</i> discloses “parsing the high-level code for the keywords”	32
F. Petitioner fails to establish that <i>Pazandak</i> discloses “determining whether high-level code comprises keywords	

defining one or more relationships and conditions
corresponding to the operative language” 35

G. Petitioner fails to establish that *White* discloses “determining
level of complexity and implementation of the high-level code” 36

H. Petitioner fails to establish that a person of ordinary skill in the
art would have combined *Pazandak, White, and Manson* as
alleged..... 37

VI. *Inter Partes* Review Is Unconstitutional 40

VII. Conclusion 41

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
CASES	
<i>Bicon Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	15, 34
<i>CAE Screenplates, Inc. v. Heinrich Fiedler GmbH & Co. KG</i> , 224 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	17
<i>CallCopy, Inc. v. Verint Americas, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00486, Paper 11 (PTAB Feb. 5, 2014)	20, 37
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. Yieldup Int’l Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	10, 28
<i>Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. v. Int’l Securities Exchange, LLC</i> , 677 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	17
<i>In re Cortright</i> , 165 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	5
<i>Dominion Dealer Solutions, LLC v. Autoalert, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00225, Paper 15 (PTAB Oct. 10, 2013).....	20, 30
<i>Endo Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Depomed, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00656, Paper 12 (PTAB Sep. 29, 2014)	5
<i>Endo Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Depomed, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00652, Paper 12 (PTAB Sep. 29, 2014)	10, 28
<i>Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Applera Corp.</i> , 599 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	19, 21
<i>Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. v. U.S. Surgical Corp.</i> , 93 F.3d 1572 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	17
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	18
<i>Honeywell Int’l. Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 341 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	21
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977, 988 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	39
<i>Kinetic Techs., Inc. v. Skywork Solutions, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00529, Paper 8 (PTAB Sep. 23, 2014)	23

<i>Kinetic Techs., Inc. v. Skywork Solutions, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00530, Paper 8 (PTAB Sep. 29, 2014)	23
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	18, 39
<i>In re Kubin</i> , 561 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	18
<i>In re Magnum Tools Int’l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	21
<i>McCormick Harvesting Mach. Co. v. Aultman & Co.</i> , 169 U.S. 606 (1898).....	40
<i>Medichem, S.A. v. Rolabo, S.L.</i> , 353 F.3d 928 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	19
<i>Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	15, 34
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	5
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , IPR2012-00026, Paper 17 (PTAB Dec. 21, 2012).....	10
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	5
<i>In re Royka</i> , 490 F.2d 981 (CCPA 1974)	10
<i>Stumbo v. Eastman Outdoors, Inc.</i> , 508 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	15, 34
<i>In re Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> , 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	5, 16, 34
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	5, 13, 27
<i>TRW Automotive U.S., LLC v. Magna Electronics, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00949, Paper 7 (PTAB Sep. 17, 2015)	5
<i>United States v. Am. Bell Tel. Co.</i> , 128 U.S. 315 (1888).....	40
<i>Warner-Lambert Co. v. Purepac Pharm. Co.</i> , 503 F.3d 1254 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	14, 34
<i>Wowza Media Sys, LLC et al. v. Adobe Sys. Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00054, Paper 12 (PTAB Apr. 8, 2013)	23

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.