

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TWILIO INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

TELESIGN CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01688
Patent 9,300,792 B2

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY and JUSTIN T. ARBES, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ARBES, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Petitioner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Jay B. Schiller
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Petitioner filed a Motion requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Jay B. Schiller, and provided an Affidavit from Mr. Schiller in support of the request. Paper 12 (“Mot.”).¹ Petitioner’s lead counsel, Wayne O. Stacy, is a registered practitioner. Patent Owner did not file an opposition to the Motion. Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and Affidavit, we conclude that Petitioner has established good cause for Mr. Schiller’s *pro hac vice* admission. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639, slip op. at 3–4 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (setting forth the requirements for *pro hac vice* admission). We note, however, that the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct are set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901, and it is those rules to which Mr. Schiller will be subject. See Mot. ¶ 10 (citing “37 C.F.R. §§ 10.20 et seq.”).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Jay B. Schiller is *granted*, and Mr. Schiller is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel in the instant proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in the instant proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Schiller is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

¹ Petitioner filed the Motion and Affidavit as a single paper in the Patent Trial and Appeal Board End to End (PTAB E2E) system. The parties are reminded that affidavits and declarations must be filed as exhibits so that they may be referenced individually by exhibit number. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.63.

IPR2016-01688
Patent 9,300,792 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Schiller is subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901 and the Office’s disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

IPR2016-01688
Patent 9,300,792 B2

PETITIONER:

Wayne O. Stacy
Eliot D. Williams
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
wayne.stacy@bakerbotts.com
eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com

PATENT OWNER:

Jesse Camacho
Elena McFarland
Ryan Dykal
Christine Guastello
Mary Peal
Amy Foust
SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
jcamacho@shb.com
emcfarland@shb.com
rdykal@shb.com
cguastello@shb.com
telesignipr@shb.com
afoust@shb.com