

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

TIVO INC.
Patent
Owner.

Case IPR2016-01524 Patent 6,233,389
Case IPR2016-01552 Patent 7,558,472
Case IPR2016-01553 Patent 7,558,472
Case IPR2016-01554 Patent 8,457,476
Case IPR2016-01555 Patent 8,457,476
Case IPR2016-01712 Patent 6,233,389

Before JENNIFER S. BISK, GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, and CARL L.
SILVERMAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PER CURIAM

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

Case IPR2016-01524 Patent 6,233,389
Case IPR2016-01552 Patent 7,558,472
Case IPR2016-01553 Patent 7,558,472
Case IPR2016-01554 Patent 8,457,476
Case IPR2016-01555 Patent 8,457,476
Case IPR2016-01712 Patent 6,233,389

On December 30, 2016, counsel for the parties requested authorization to file a Joint Motion to Dismiss in each of the following IPR proceedings: IPR2016-01524, IPR2016-01552, IPR2016-01553, IPR2016-01554, IPR2016-01555, and IPR2016-01712. According to counsel, the motion would be for dismissal prior to institution based on the Board's authority under at least 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.5(a) and 42.71(a). Counsel states the parties have conferred and agree on this motion.

A joint motion for dismissal should: (1) include a brief explanation as to why dismissal is appropriate; (2) identify all parties in any related litigation involving the patent at issue in this proceeding; (3) identify any related proceedings currently before the USPTO; and (4) discuss specifically the current status of each such related litigation or proceeding with respect to each party to the litigation or proceeding. The joint motion for dismissal must be accompanied by a true copy of the settlement agreement between the parties, as required by 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b). A redacted version will not be accepted as a true copy of the settlement agreement.

Therefore, it is

ORDERED that the parties are authorized to file a joint motion to dismiss this proceeding in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.20.

Case IPR2016-01524 Patent 6,233,389
Case IPR2016-01552 Patent 7,558,472
Case IPR2016-01553 Patent 7,558,472
Case IPR2016-01554 Patent 8,457,476
Case IPR2016-01555 Patent 8,457,476
Case IPR2016-01712 Patent 6,233,389

PETITIONER:

W. Karl Renner,
Jeremy Monaldo
Stuart A. Nelson
Timothy Riffe
Brian Goldberg
I-Wei Hsieh
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
axf-ptab@fr.com
jjm@fr.com
snelson@fr.com
riffe@fr.com
bgoldberg@fr.com
ahsieh@fr.com

PATENT OWNER:

Ben J. Yorks
Benjamin Haber
IRELL & MANELLA LLP
byorks@irell.com
bhaber@irell.com