

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TCT MOBILE, INC. AND TCT MOBILE (US) INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

WIRELESS PROTOCOL INNOVATIONS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-01865  
Patent 9,125,051 B2

---

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, MITCHELL G. WEATHERLY, and  
KAMRAN JIVANI, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

TURNER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

FINAL WRITTEN DECISION  
Determining Claims 6, 7, 9–12, and 14–19 Unpatentable  
*35 U.S.C. § 318(a)*

## I. INTRODUCTION

### A. BACKGROUND

TCT Mobile, Inc. and TCT Mobile (US) Inc. (collectively “TCT”) filed a petition (Paper 1, “Pet.”) to institute an *inter partes* review of claims 6, 7, 9–12, and 14–19 (the “challenged claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 9,125,051 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’051 Patent”). 35 U.S.C. § 311. TCT supported the Petition with evidence including the declaration of Stuart J. Lipoff (Ex. 1005). Wireless Protocol Innovations, Inc. (“WPI”) timely filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 5 (“Prelim. Resp.”). WPI supported its Preliminary Response with evidence including the declaration of Gary Lomp, Ph.D. (Ex. 2001). On March 24, 2017, based on the record before us at the time, we instituted an *inter partes* review of claims 6, 7, 9–12, and 14–19. Paper 8 (“Decision on Institution” or “Dec.”). We instituted the review on the following challenge:

References	Basis	Claims challenged
International Patent Publication No. WO 99/61993 (Ex. 1022, “Abi-Nassif”) and Data-Over-Cable Service Interface Specifications, <sup>1</sup> Radio Frequency Interface Specification, Second Interim Release, Document Control No. SP-RFIV1.1-I02-990731 (Ex. 1019, “DOCSIS 1.1”)	§ 103	6, 7, 9–12, and 14–19

After we instituted this review, Patent Owner filed a Patent Owner Response in opposition to the Petition (Paper 18, “PO Resp.”) that was supported by a Second Declaration from Gary Lomp, Ph.D. (Ex. 2004).

---

<sup>1</sup> We refer to the first version of the Data-Over-Cable Service Interface Specifications as “DOCSIS.”

IPR2016-017041865  
Patent 9,125,051 B2

Petitioner filed a Reply in support of the Petition (Paper 24, “Reply”).  
Patent Owner did not move to amend any claim of the ’051 Patent.

We heard oral argument on December 7, 2017. A transcript of the argument has been entered in the record (Paper 32, “Tr.”).

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(c). The evidentiary standard is a preponderance of the evidence. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 316(e); 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(d). This Final Written Decision is issued pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73. For the reasons expressed below, we conclude that Petitioner has demonstrated by a preponderance of evidence that claims 6, 7, 9–12, and 14–19 are unpatentable.

#### B. RELATED PROCEEDINGS

TCT and WPI identified as related proceedings the co-pending district court proceedings of *Wireless Protocol Innovations, Inc. v. TCL Corporation, et al.*, Case Number 6:15-cv-918 (E.D. Tex.) and *Wireless Protocol Innovations, Inc. v. ZTE Corporation, et al.*, Case Number 6:15-cv-919 (E.D. Tex.). Pet. 2–3; Paper 4, 2. Additional claims of the instant patent, specifically claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 21–23, 25, and 26 of the ’051 Patent, are the subject of concurrent proceeding for *inter partes* review in IPR2016-01861.

WPI identified three issued U.S. patents and two pending U.S. patent applications as being related to the ’051 Patent including: U.S. Patent Nos. 7,173,921 B2, 8,274,991 B2, and 8,565,256 B2; and U.S. Application Nos. 14/078,246 and 14/805,051. Paper 4, 3. The following *inter partes* reviews initiated by TCT are also considered related:

Proceeding	Patent No.	Status
IPR2016-01492	6,381,211 B2	Motion for adverse judgment granted: June 6, 2017
IPR2016-01494	8,274,991 B2	Final Written Decision issued February 12, 2018
IPR2016-01700	6,381,211 B2	Motion for adverse judgment granted: June 6, 2017
IPR2016-01702	6,381,211 B2	Motion for adverse judgment granted: June 6, 2017
IPR2016-01704	8,565,256 B2	Final Written Decision issued March 1, 2018

### C. THE '051 PATENT

The '051 Patent relates to “point-to-multipoint communication; in particular, the invention relates to control of contention for data slots by customer premises equipment in a wireless point-to-multipoint communication system.” Ex. 1001, 1:37–40. “Contention” is shorthand for the process by which many instances of customer provided equipment (one being a “CPE”) negotiate for assignment of data slots available from a base station controller (“BSC”). *Id.* at 1:44–55. The Specification identifies problems with conventional methods when two CPEs “collide” while requesting a data slot, especially when the traffic from the CPEs is not “bursty” (e.g., traffic generated by online games and voice sources). *Id.* at 1:59–2:12. To address such problems, the Specification suggests a system of “using a new state machine to control a contention state” that “includes a grant pending absent state in which the [CPE] is polled with a unicast

request slot.” *Id.* at 2:23–31. The Specification briefly describes the grant pending absent state as follows:

By virtue of the grant pending absent state, the customer premises equipment can request a data slot without entering into contention and generating excess contention traffic. After a suitable delay without more data being received to send upstream, the state machine can exit the grant pending absent state. This delay preferably is long enough for receipt of new non-bursty data for a communication, for example 50 ms.

*Id.* at 2:36–42.

Of the challenged claims, only claims 6, 11, and 16 are independent, and dependent claims 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, and 17–19 depend directly from one of claims 6, 11, and 16. *Id.* at 10:42–12:27. Claim 6 is representative and recites as follows:

6. A method of operating a customer premises equipment (CPE) unit, comprising:

transmitting a first bandwidth request to a base station controller (BSC) unit in a contention slot;

receiving a bandwidth grant from the BSC unit;

transmitting data to the BSC unit within a transmission resource specified by the bandwidth grant;

if additional pending data is available for transmission to the BSC unit within the transmission resource:

transmitting a second bandwidth request to the BSC unit within the transmission resource, the second bandwidth request requesting a subsequent transmission resource for accommodating transmission of the additional pending data;

receiving a subsequent bandwidth grant from the BSC unit;

transmitting the additional pending data to the BSC unit in the subsequent transmission resource specified by the subsequent bandwidth grant;

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.