

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

**KAZ USA, INC.**

Petitioner,

v.

**BRITA LP,**

Patent Owner

---

*Inter Partes* Case No. IPR2016-01893

Patent No. **8,167,141**

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,167,141**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
A.	Preliminary Statement .....	1
B.	Overview .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	4
A.	Real Parties in Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) .....	4
B.	Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....	4
C.	Lead and Back-up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....	5
D.	Service Information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	5
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 .....	5
IV.	CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH WORD COUNT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.24.....	6
V.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	6
A.	Grounds for Standing under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	6
B.	Challenge under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested.....	6
VI.	SUMMARY OF THE PATENT .....	7
A.	Background of the Art.....	7
B.	The Alleged Invention of the '141 Patent .....	9
C.	Summary of the Prosecutions of the '141 Patent and Related Family Members.....	11
1.	The '141 Patent Family.....	11
2.	The Prosecution History of the '141 Patent Family .....	13
D.	The Challenged Claims Are Not Entitled to a Priority Date Earlier than the Filing Date of the '284 Application That Issued As the '141 Patent .....	17
E.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	20
F.	Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3) .....	20

1.	“Filter Rate and Performance (FRAP) Factor”	20
2.	“Block”	22
VII.	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	23
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-12, 16-19, and 22-24 Are Anticipated by Knipmeyer (KAZ-1009)	23
1.	Independent Claim 1 and Dependent Claim 2 (“the Filter Achieves a FRAP Factor of Less than about 200”)	24
a.	“Gravity-fed Water Filter”	24
b.	“Filter Media including at Least Activated Carbon and a Lead Scavenger”	24
c.	“Volume”	25
d.	“Average Filtration Unit Time”	26
e.	“Effluent Lead Concentration at End of Lifetime ...”	27
f.	“Filter Usage Lifetime ...”	27
g.	“The Filter Achieves a Filter Rate and Performance (FRAP) Factor of about 350 or Less”	28
2.	Dependent Claims 3 (“Volume (V) of the Filter Media Is Less than about 300 cm <sup>3</sup> ”) and 4 (“Volume (V) of the Filter Media Is Less than about 150 cm <sup>3</sup> ”)	29
3.	Dependent Claims 5 (“the Average Filtration Unit Time (f) Is Less Than about 12 Minutes per Liter”) and 6 (“the Average Filtration Unit Time (f) Is Less Than about 6 Minutes per Liter”)	29
4.	Dependent Claim 7 (“the Filter Media Is Present in the Form of a Block”)	30
5.	Dependent Claim 8 (“a Binder Material Interspersed with Particles of the Activated Carbon”)	30
6.	Dependent Claim 9 (“the Binder Material Has a Melt Index That Is Less Than 1.8 g/10 min...”)	30
7.	Dependent Claim 10 (“the Binder Material Has a Melt Index That Is about 1.0 g/10 min...”)	31

8.	Dependent Claims 11 (“the Filter Fits within a Container Having a Volume of Less Than about 20 in <sup>3</sup> ”) and 12 (“Wherein the Filter Fits within a Container Having a Volume of Less Than about 10 in <sup>3</sup> ”) .....	31
9.	Dependent Claim 16 (“the Block Has an Open Top for Receiving Unfiltered Water into a Cavity thereof”).....	31
10.	Dependent Claims 17 (“a Median Sidewall Thickness ... Less Than about 0.6 Inch”) and 18 (“a Median Sidewall Thickness ... Less Than about 0.4 Inch”).....	32
11.	Dependent Claim 19 (“a Structure of the Block Is Characterized by Having Been Compressed No More Than 10% by Volume During Fabrication of the Filter”) .....	32
12.	Dependent Claim 22 (“the Lead Scavenger Is a Zirconia Oxide or Hydroxide”) .....	33
13.	Independent Claim 23 .....	33
	a. “Gravity-flow System for Filtering Water” .....	34
	b. “A Container Having a Source Water Reservoir ... and a Filtered Water Reservoir” .....	34
	c. “A Cartridge in Communication with Both the Source Water Reservoir and the Filtered Water Reservoir” .....	35
	d. “A Filter As Recited in Claim 1” .....	35
14.	Dependent Claim 24 (“Cartridge Has an Aperture through a Sidewall ...”).....	35
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-8, 11-19, and 22-23 Are Anticipated by Cutler ’483 .....	36
	1. Independent Claims 1 (“a Gravity-fed Water Filter” and “Gravity-flow System for Filtering Water”) and 23 (“Gravity-flow System for Filtering Water”) .....	37
	2. Independent Claims 1, 23 (“Filter Media including at Least Activated Carbon and Lead Scavenger”).....	37
	3. Independent Claims 1, 23 (“(FRAP) Factor of about 350 or Less”) and Dependent Claim 2 (“Less Than about 200”) .....	38

4.	Dependent Claims 3 (“the Volume of the Filter Media (V) Is Less Than about 300 cm <sup>3</sup> ”) and 4 (“...Less Than about 150 cm <sup>3</sup> ”) .....	38
5.	Dependent Claims 5 (“the Average Filtration Unit Time (f) Is Less Than about 12 Minutes per Liter”) and 6 (“Less Than about 6 Minutes per Liter”) .....	39
6.	Dependent Claim 19 (“Block Is Characterized by Having Been Compressed No More Than 10% by Volume During Fabrication of the Filter”) .....	39
7.	Claim Chart .....	40
C.	Ground 3: Claims 1-8, 11-19, and 22-23 Are Obvious over Cutler ’483 .....	44
1.	Independent Claims 1, 23 (“(FRAP) Factor of about 350 or Less”), Dependent Claim 2 (“Less Than about 200”) .....	44
2.	Dependent Claim 6 (“the Average Filtration Unit Time (f) Is Less Than about 6 Minutes per Liter”) .....	45
3.	Dependent Claim 19 (“a Structure of the Block Is Characterized by Having Been Compressed No More Than 10% by Volume During Fabrication of the Filter”) .....	46
D.	Ground 4: Claims 9, 10, 20, 21, 23, and 24 Are Obvious over Cutler ’483 in View of One or More of Cutler ’875, Rinker, and Admitted Prior Art.....	47
1.	“Admitted Prior Art” .....	47
2.	Dependent Claim 9 (“the Binder Material Has a Melt Index That Is Less Than 1.8 G/10 min ...”) .....	48
3.	Dependent Claim 10 (“the Binder Material Has a Melt Index That Is about 1.0 g/10 min ...”).....	49
4.	Dependent Claim 20 (“the Filter Media Comprises Primarily Particles That Are Not Bound Together”).....	50
5.	Dependent Claim 21 (“the Filter Media Is Present in the Form of Granular Carbon”) .....	51
6.	Independent Claim 23 .....	52
a.	“Gravity-flow System for Filtering Water” .....	52

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.