IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Inter Partes Review of:

U.S. Patent No. 8,612,536

Filed: June 30, 2011

Issued: December 17, 2013

Named Inventor(s): Steven L. Pope, David J. Riddoch

Recorded Assignee: Solarflare Communications, Inc.

Title: User-Level Stack

DOCKE

Δ

Mail Stop *Inter Partes* Review Commissions for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 311 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100

R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction1					
II.	Man	Mandatory Notices1				
	A.	Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))1				
	B.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))1				
	C.	Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel and Service Information (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3)-(4))1				
III.	Fee for Inter Partes Review (37 C.F.R. § 42.103)2					
IV.	Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))2					
V.	Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b))					
VI.	Relevant Background on the '536 Patent5					
	A.	Level of Ordinary Skill5				
	B.	Description of the Alleged Invention of the '536 Patent5				
VII.	Claim Construction12					
VIII. Reasonable Likelihood that Claims 1–17 are Unpatentable14						
	A. GROUND 1: Claims 1–3, 5–6, 10–11, and 13–14 are obvious in view of the combination of Druschel & Andjelic					
		1. Background of Druschel14				
		2. Background of Andjelic17				
		3. Claim 1 is obvious				
		4. Claim 2 is obvious				
		5. Claim 3 is obvious				
		6. Claim 5 is obvious				
		7. Claim 6 is obvious32				

		8.	Claim 10 is obvious	33		
		9.	Claims 11 and 13–14 are obvious	40		
	B.		UND 2: Claims 4 and 7–9 are obvious in view of the bination of Druschel, Andjelic, and Chesson	40		
		1.	Background on Chesson	40		
		2.	Claims 4 is obvious	44		
		3.	Claim 7 is obvious	49		
		4.	Claim 8 is obvious	51		
		5.	Claim 9 is obvious	51		
	C.	GROUND 3: Claims 12 and 15–17 are obvious in view of the combination of Druschel, Andjelic, and Maquelin				
		1.	Background on Maquelin	52		
		2.	Claim 12 is obvious	53		
		3.	Claim 15 is obvious	57		
		4.	Claim 16 is obvious	59		
		5.	Claim 17 is obvious	60		
IX.		•	Considerations Do Not Support The Non-Obviousness Patent Claims	60		
X.	Cond	clusior	1	60		

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

I. INTRODUCTION

Exablaze Pty. Ltd. ("Petitioner") requests *inter partes* review of Claims 1– 17 of U.S. Patent No. 8,612,536 ("the '536 Patent"). (Ex. 1002.)

II. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))

Exablaze Pty Ltd. and Zomojo Pty. Ltd. are the real parties-in-interest for Petitioner.

B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))

Solarflare Communications, Inc. ("Solarflare") has asserted the '536 Patent

against Petitioner in: Solarflare Comms. v. Exablaze Pty. Ltd., Case No. 16-cv-

01891 (D. NJ). Solarflare amended its complaint on July 14, 2016, to allege, for

the first time, infringement of the '536 Patent.

This case may affect, or be affected by, decisions in this proceeding.

C. Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel and Service Information (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3)-(4))

Lead Counsel	Backup Lead Counsel
Russell Levine (Reg. No. 32,153) russell.levine@kirkland.com	Eugene Goryunov (Reg. No. 61,579) egoryunov@kirkland.com
Postal and Hand-Delivery Address:	Postal and Hand-Delivery Address:
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
300 North LaSalle Street	300 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60654	Chicago, Illinois 60654
Telephone: (312) 862-2000	Telephone: (312) 862-2000
Fax: (312) 862-2200	Fax: (312) 862-2200

Petitioner concurrently submits a Power of Attorney, 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b), and consents to service by email at Exablaze_IPR_Service@kirkland.com.

III. FEE FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW (37 C.F.R. § 42.103)

The undersigned authorizes the PTO to charge the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a) for this Petition to Deposit Account No. 506092. Review of seventeen (17) claims is requested and an excess claim fee is submitted. The undersigned further authorizes payment for any additional fees that may be due in connection with this Petition to be charged to the above-referenced Deposit Account.

IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A))

Petitioner certifies that they have standing to request, and are not barred or estopped from requesting, an IPR of the '536 Patent. Petitioner certifies: (1) Petitioner is not the owner of the '536 Patent; (2) Petitioner (or any real partyin-interest) has not filed a civil action challenging the validity of any claim of the '536 Patent; (3) Petitioner files this Petition within one year of the date it was served with a complaint asserting infringement of the '536 Patent; (4) the estoppel provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(1) do not prohibit this IPR; and (5) this Petition is filed after the '536 Patent was granted.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.