UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. Petitioner,

v.

PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Patent Owner

> Case IPR2017-00038 Patent 6,195,302

PATENT OWNER PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 6,195,302

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD Patent Trial and Appeal Board United States Patent and Trademark Office PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313–1450



Patent Owner ProMOS Technologies, Inc. hereby submits this brief reservation of rights.

The Federal Circuit has held IPRs are constitutional. *MCM Portfolio LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Co.*, 812 F.3d 1284, 1288-92 (Fed. Cir. 2015), cert. denied, 137 S. Ct. 292. However, on June 12, 2017, the Supreme Court granted *certiorari* in *Oil States Energy Servs., LLC v. Greene's Energy Grp., LLC*, No. 16-712, 2017 WL 2507340 (U.S. June 12, 2017), to consider the following question: "1. Whether *inter partes* review – an adversarial process used by the Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) to analyze the validity of existing patents – violates the Constitution by extinguishing private property rights through a non-Article II forum without a jury." In the event that the Supreme Court concludes that *inter partes* review proceedings are unconstitutional, Patent Owner reserves its right to argue that this ruling is applicable in the present *inter partes* review, and that the *inter partes* review should be dismissed as unconstitutional.

Dated: July 10, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Craig R. Kaufman</u> Registration No. 34,636 Lead Counsel for Patent Owner TechKnowledge Law Group LLP 100 Marine Parkway, Suite 200 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 650-517-5200

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 10, 2017, a true and correct copy of the foregoing PATENT OWNER PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 6,195,302 and all supporting exhibits were served electronically via email to the Petitioner by serving the correspondence email addresses of record as follows:

Naveen Modi (Reg. No. 46,224) Paul Hastings LLP, 875 15th St. N.W. Washington, DC, 20005 Telephone: 202.551.1990 Fax: 202.551.1705 Email: PH-Samsung-ProMOS1-IPR@paulhastings.com

Chetan R. Bansal (Limited Recognition No. L0667) Paul Hastings LLP, 875 15th St. N.W. Washington, DC, 20005 Telephone: 202.551.1948 Fax: 202.551.1705 Email: PH-Samsung-ProMOS1-IPR@paulhastings.com

DOCKE

RM

Joseph E. Palys (Reg. No. 46,508) Paul Hastings LLP, 875 15th St. N.W. Washington, DC, 20005 Telephone: 202.551.1996 Fax: 202.551.1705 Email: PH-Samsung-ProMOS1-IPR@paulhastings.com

Arvind Jairam (Reg. No. 62,759) Paul Hastings LLP, 875 15th St. N.W. Washington, DC, 20005 Telephone: 202.551.1887 Fax: 202.551.1705 Email: PH-Samsung-ProMOS1-IPR@paulhastings.com

By: <u>/s/ Deborah L. Grover</u> Deborah L. Grover TechKnowledge Law Group LLP 100 Marine Parkway, Suite 200 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 650-517-5200

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH TYPE-VOLUME LIMITATION REQUIREMENTS

The undersigned, an attorney of record, hereby certifies that:

This brief complies with the type-volume limitation of Code of Federal

Regulations 42.24(d). The brief contains 157 words, excluding the parts of the

brief exempted by Code of Federal Regulations 42.24(b).

Date: July 10, 2017

/s/ Craig R. Kaufman

Registration No. 34,636 Lead Counsel for Patent Owner