

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PANTIES PLUS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

BRAGEL INTERNATIONAL, INC.
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,144,296

Issue Date: December 5, 2006

Inventors: David E. Chen, Jasper Chang, Alice Chang

Title: ATTACHABLE BREAST FORM ENHANCEMENT SYSTEM

Case Number: To Be Assigned

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,144,296 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311 *ET SEQ.*
AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.***

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	NOTICE OF REAL-PARTY-IN-INTEREST UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)(1)	1
II.	NOTICE OF RELATED MATTERS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)(2)	1
III.	NOTICE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)(3) AND (B)(4)	2
IV.	PAYMENT OF FILING FEE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	2
V.	GROUND(S) FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A)	2
VI.	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED PER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(1)-(2)	3
VII.	INTRODUCTION	3
VIII.	BACKGROUND	5
	A. THE ‘296 PATENT	5
	1. <i>The Specification of the ‘296 Patent</i>	5
	2. <i>Challenged Claims of the ‘296 Patent</i>	9
	B. PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE ‘296 PATENT AND ITS PARENT	10
	C. NEW QUESTIONS OF PATENTABILITY	17
	D. THE SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE PRIOR ART	18
	1. <i>Backless, strapless bras with connectors were known</i>	18
	2. <i>Silicone breast forms with pressure sensitive adhesive were known</i>	21
	3. <i>Bra Center Connectors Were Known</i>	23
	E. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART (“POSITA”)	23
IX.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3)	24
X.	ANALYSIS	26
	A. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1, 2 AND 5 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER LUCKMAN IN VIEW OF DAVIS.	26
	1. <i>Claim 1 is invalid.</i>	26
	2. <i>Claim 2 is invalid.</i>	30
	3. <i>Claim 5 is invalid.</i>	32
	4. <i>Combination of Luckman and Davis is Obvious</i>	33
	B. GROUND 2: CLAIMS 1, 2, AND 5 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER VALENTIN IN VIEW OF CHEN.	37
	1. <i>Claim 1 is invalid.</i>	37
	2. <i>Claim 2 is invalid.</i>	41
	3. <i>Claim 5 is invalid.</i>	42
	4. <i>Combination of Valentin with Chen is Obvious</i>	42
	C. GROUND 3: CLAIMS 1, 2 AND 5 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER NOBLE IN VIEW OF MULLIGAN AND HEDU.	46

1. *Claim 1 is invalid*..... 46
2. *Claim 2 is invalid*..... 50
3. *Claim 5 is invalid*..... 51
4. *Combination of Noble with Mulligan and Hedu is Obvious*..... 52
XI. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS.....55
XII. CONCLUSION61

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,144,296 (“the ‘296 Patent”)
1002	Declaration of Karin Yngvesdotter (“Karin Dec.”)
1003	Prosecution History of the ‘296 Patent (App. Ser. No. 11/053,211), 11/1/2005 Office Action
1004	Prosecution History of the ‘296 Patent (App. Ser. No. 11/053,211), 1/23/2006 Amendment
1005	Prosecution History of the ‘296 Patent (App. Ser. No. 11/053,211), 4/21/2006 Notice of Allowance
1006	Prosecution History of the ‘720 Patent (App. Ser. No. 10/159,251), 12/31/2003 Final Office Action
1007	Prosecution History of the ‘720 Patent (App. Ser. No. 10/159,251), 2/10/2004 Declaration of Commercial Success, Long Felt Need, and Copying Under 37 C.F.R. §1.132.
1008	Prosecution History of the ‘720 Patent (App. Ser. No. 10/159,251), 2/5/2004 Amendment
1009	Prosecution History of the ‘720 Patent (App. Ser. No. 10/159,251), 2/27/2004 Notice of Allowance
1010	CA Application No. 2,101,509 (“Luckman”)
1011	U.S. Patent No. 6,645,042 (“Davis”)
1012	U.S. Patent No. 6,231,424 (“Valentin”)
1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,755,611 (“Noble”)
1014	U.S. Patent No. 6,857,932 (“Chen”)
1015	U.S. Patent No. 5,922,023 (“Mulligan”)
1016	U.S. Patent No. 3,196,878 (“Hedu”)
1017	Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Bragel International, Inc.’s Motion for Summary Judgment of Infringement, <i>Bragel International, Inc. v. Styles For Less, Inc.</i> , et al., 8:15-cv-01756-R (8/11/2016).
1018	BLANK
1019	Select Portions from VideoTaped Deposition Transcript of Frances Harder, <i>Bragel International, Inc. v. Charlotte Russe, Inc.</i> , et al., 2:15-cv-08364-R (9/21/2016).
1020	Claim Construction Order in <i>Bragel International, Inc. v. Telebrands Corporation</i> , Case No. 05-01141, Jan. 18, 2007.
1021	Memorandum Opinion and Order, <i>Randi Black v. Ce Soir Lingerie Co.</i> ,

	<i>Inc., Case No. 2:06-cv-544 (8/15/2008).</i>
1022	Prosecution History of the '720 Patent (App. Ser. No. 10/159,251), 2/26/2004 Interview Summary
1023	Prosecution History of the '296 Patent (App. Ser. No. 11/053,211), 5/3/2005 Office Action
1024	Prosecution History of the '296 Patent (App. Ser. No. 11/053,211), 7/12/2005 Patent Owner's Response and Terminal Disclaimer

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.