

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC USA, INC. and UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.,
Patent Owners.

Case IPR2017-00058
Patent 7,805,948

PATENT OWNER RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION.....	4
II. RELATED MATTER.....	4
III. THE '948 PATENT.....	5
A. Overview of System and Method for Initiating a Conference Call	5
B. The '948 Patent Claims.....	9
C. Priority Claims of the '948 Patent	10
D. Petitioner Oversimplifies the Patented Technology	10
IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	11
V. THE PETITION FAILS TO PROVE UNPATENTABILITY.....	12
A. Claim Construction	12
1. “generating a conference call request responsively to a single request by the conference call requester”.....	13
2. The “conference call request” must identify “each of the indicated potential targets”.....	16
3. “instant messaging”	17
4. “VOIP address” (only dependent Claim 20).....	18
B. The Cited References Fail to Disclose and Teach Away From “generating a conference call request responsively to a single request by the conference call requester”.....	19
1. Petitioner’s proposed modification would change the principle operation of Hamberg’s CALL ALIAS text message.....	20

2.	Hamberg leads away from removing the user-customizable aspect of the CALL ALIAS message.....	25
3.	The Petition cites no teaching from either cited reference satisfying the explicitly claimed interrelationship between the “single request by the conference call requester” and the responsively-generated “conference call request”.....	28
4.	Petitioner’s reliance on multiple user requests does not render obvious a “single request by the conference call requester”.....	31
5.	Hamberg’s CALL ALIAS message, even if modified as Petitioner proposes, does not identify “each of the potential targets”.....	32
6.	Lamb’s “Call” button does not render obvious “a single conference call request ... identifying each of the potential targets”.....	37
7.	Lamb’s distinct “CONF.NOW” feature likewise requires more than a “single request”.....	42
C.	The Proposed Combination Does Not Render Obvious “automatically establishing a conference call connection to ... each of the potential targets”.....	44
D.	The Proposed Combination Does Not Render Obvious “presenting to said conference call requester a display showing a plurality of potential targets”.....	46
E.	The Petition Does Not Prove Obviousness for the Dependent Claims.....	48
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	50

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 313 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.120, Uniloc Luxembourg S.A. (“Patent Owner”) submits this Response to the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (“the Petition”) of U.S. Patent 7,804,948 (“the ‘948 Patent”) filed by Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Petitioner”).

Petitioner has failed to prove that there is a reasonable likelihood that at least one of the claims challenged in the Petition is unpatentable. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c). The Petition does not prove obviousness for at least the following independent reasons: (1) the proposed combination challenging the independent claims would change the principle operation of the primary reference; (2) the teachings in the cited references lead away from the proposed combination; and (3) even if the primary reference did not teach away from the proposed modification (which clearly is not the case), the Petition would nevertheless still fail to “specify where each element of the claim is found in the prior art patents or printed publications relied upon.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4). The Petition should be dismissed in its entirety.

II. RELATED MATTER

Petitioner relies on the exact same combination of references and substantially identical obviousness theories to those presented in IPR2017-00198, filed by the same

Petitioner against U.S. Patent No. 7,853,000, which claims priority to and is a continuation of the '948 Patent.

III. THE '948 PATENT

A. Overview of System and Method for Initiating a Conference Call

In general, the '948 Patent discloses and claims various embodiments for “initiating conference calls via an instant messaging system to reduce the effort required to initiate and manage the call.” EX1001 at Abstract. The '194 Patent claims priority to a provisional application filed on December 22, 2003.

As explained in the Background section of the '948 Patent and summarized in the attached Declaration of Dr. Val DiEuliis (EX2001), the state of the art at the time of the invention for integrating certain telephony products into software was encumbered by multiple deficiencies. EX2001 ¶ 22 (citing EX1001 2:33-47). For example, passing the correct telephony commands via certain technologies, such as private branch exchange or “PBX,” was problematic because “no two PBX’s are alike.” *Id.* Further PBX technology often required “system integrators” and had reduced scalability opportunity. *Id.*

The art at the time of the invention typically required all users who wanted to join a conference call to dial in to a central number and enter a passcode, which

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.