

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC USA, INC. and UNILOC LUXEMBOURG, S.A.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00058 (Patent 7,804,948)
Case IPR2017-00198 (Patent 7,853,000)

Before KARL D. EASTHOM, KEN B. BARRETT, and JEFFREY S. SMITH,
Administrative Patent Judges.

SMITH, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER
Oral Argument
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

Case IPR2017-00058 (Patent 7,804,948)

Case IPR2017-00198 (Patent 7,853,000)

The parties filed requests for oral argument. IPR2017-00058, Papers 13, 14; IPR2017-00198, Papers 14, 15. Petitioners request a single consolidated hearing for the two proceedings. IPR2017-00058, Paper 13; IPR 2017-00198, Paper 14. Patent Owner agrees to consolidation. IPR2017-00058, Paper 14; IPR 2017-00198, Paper 15.¹ Oral argument as a single consolidated hearing is granted. The hearing will commence at 1:00 PM Eastern Time, on January 18, 2018.

The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance, on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. Space in the hearing room is limited, and any attendees beyond five per party (including any attorneys who may be appearing) will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis.

For the consolidated proceeding, each party will have thirty (30) minutes of total time to present arguments. Petitioner will proceed first, Patent Owner thereafter will respond, and Petitioner may rebut if it has time remaining.

The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearings, and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearings.

At least seven (7) business days prior to the hearing, each party shall serve on the other party (and not file) any demonstrative it intends to use during the hearing. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b). The parties should attempt to resolve any objections to demonstratives prior to involving the Board. If any objections remain, a party may raise them during the hearing as part of its allotted argument time. At least one (1) business day prior to the hearing, the parties shall provide the demonstratives to the Board by emailing them in portable document format

¹ Regrettably, the panel does not grant Patent Owner's request for the oral argument to occur in Dallas, Texas, as all panel members work at the Alexandria, Virginia site.

Case IPR2017-00058 (Patent 7,804,948)

Case IPR2017-00198 (Patent 7,853,000)

(.pdf) to Trials@uspto.gov. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, Case IPR2013-00041 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014) (Paper 65) for guidance regarding appropriate content of demonstratives.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present at hearing, although any backup counsel may make the actual presentation, in whole or in part. If any lead counsel will not be in attendance at the hearing, the Board should be notified via a joint telephone conference call no later than two days prior to the hearing to discuss the matter.

Any special requests for audio visual equipment should be directed to Trials@uspto.gov.

Case IPR2017-00058 (Patent 7,804,948)

Case IPR2017-00198 (Patent 7,853,000)

For Petitioner (IPR2017-00058):

Heidi Keefe

Phillip Morton

Andrew Mace

COOLEY LLP

hkeefe@cooley.com

pmorton@cooley.com

amace@cooley.com

David McCombs (IPR2017-00198)

Theodore Foster

Dina Blikshteyn

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP

david.mcombs.ipr@haynesboones.com

ipr.theo.foster@haynesboones.com

dina.blikshteyn.ipr@haynesboones.com

For Patent Owner:

Brett Mangrum

brett.mangrum@unilousa.com

Ryan Loveless

ETHERIDGE LAW GROUP

ryan@etheridgelaw.com