

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION,  
Petitioner,

v.

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-00060  
Patent 8,992,608 B2

---

Before NEIL T. POWELL, JAMES A. TARTAL, and  
ROBERT L. KINDER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

TARTAL, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Marc A. Cohn,  
Matthew M. Wolf, and Edward Han  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

As authorized by the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to the Petition (Paper 3), Boston Scientific Scimed, Inc., (“Patent Owner”) filed three motions: (1) “Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Marc A. Cohn” (Paper 12); (2) “Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Matthew M. Wolf” (Paper 13); and (3) “Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Edward Han” (Paper 14).<sup>1</sup> Patent Owner represents that Petitioner does not oppose the Motions. Paper 12, 9; Paper 13, 9; Paper 14, 9.

Patent Owner’s Motions are *granted*. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); see also *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Order Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission, Paper 7 (October 15, 2003) (setting forth requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).<sup>2</sup>

It is

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motions for *pro hac vice* admission are *granted*, and Mr. Cohn, Mr. Wolf, and Mr. Han are authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in IPR2017-00060;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in this *inter partes* review proceeding; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Cohn, Mr. Wolf, and Mr. Han are to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of

---

<sup>1</sup> Patent Owner also filed affidavits in support of each Motion: Declaration of Marc A. Cohn in Support of Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission (Ex. 2009); Declaration of Matthew M. Wolf in Support of Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission (Ex. 2010); Declaration of Edward Han in Support of Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission (Ex. 2011).

<sup>2</sup> Available at <http://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/appealing-patent-decisions/decisions-and-opinions/representative-orders>.

IPR2017-00060  
Patent 8,992,608 B2

Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and that Mr. Cohn, Mr. Wolf, and Mr. Han are subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

IPR2017-00060  
Patent 8,992,608 B2

For PETITIONER:

Gregory S. Cordrey  
JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL, LLP  
[gcordrey@jmbm.com](mailto:gcordrey@jmbm.com)

Brian Egan  
MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP  
[began@mnat.com](mailto:began@mnat.com)

Catherine Nyarady  
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP  
[cnyarady@paulweiss.com](mailto:cnyarady@paulweiss.com)

For PATENT OWNER:

Jennifer A. Sklenar  
Wallace Wu  
ARNOLD & PORTER LLP  
[jennifer.sklenar@aporter.com](mailto:jennifer.sklenar@aporter.com)  
[wallace.wu@porter.com](mailto:wallace.wu@porter.com)