UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY INC.,

Petitioner

v.

POLARIS INNOVATIONS LTD.,

Patent Owner

\_\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2017-00116 Patent 7,334,150

\_\_\_\_\_

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR DIRECTOR REVIEW PURSUANT TO *UNITED STATES v. ARTHREX*, 141 S. Ct. 1970 (2021)



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

			Page
I.	Tecl	nnological And Procedural Background	2
II.	The Director Should Reject The Construction Allowing "One Cop[y] Of The Incoming Clock Signal" To Have A Different Frequency Than "The Incoming Clock Signal," And Find This Limitation Absent In <i>Lee</i> .		
	<b>A.</b>	"Copies" Of "Signals" Have The Same Frequency As The Signals	4
	В.	Lee's 1/2-Frequency WCLK/2 Is Not A Copy Of A Clock Signal.	9
III.	Reg	Director Should Reject The Finding That <i>Lee</i> Teaches The ister Generating Copies Of The Incoming Command And ress Signals.	12
IV.	The Director Should Reject The Finding That <i>Lee</i> Teaches Claim 5's "Clock Signal Regeneration Circuit AndRegister Circuit [That] Are Integrated On A Common Chip In The Common Chip Packing." 13		
V.	The	Director Should Vacate And Remand.	14
VI.	A Principal Officer Or His Delegate Must Perform Director Review15		
VII	Con	clusion	15



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
COURT DECISIONS	
Aspex Eyewear v. Marchon Eyewear, 672 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	11
In re Benno, 768 F.2d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	11
In re Chu, 66 F.3d 292 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	12
Fin Control Sys. Pty., Ltd. v. OAM, Inc., 265 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	5
L.MM. v. Cuccinelli, 442 F. Supp. 3d 1 (D.D.C. 2020)	15
NTP, Inc. v. Res. In Motion, Ltd., 418 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	6
United States v. Arthrex, 141 S. Ct. 1970 (2021)	1, 15
STATUTES	
5 U.S.C. § 554(b)	2
5 U.S.C. § 554(c)	2
5 U.S.C. § 556(d)	2
5 U.S.C. § 556(e)	2
5 U.S.C. § 557(b)	2
5 U.S.C. § 557(c)	2
35 U.S.C. § 317(a)	14
RULES, RULEMAKING, AND OTHER AGENCY AUTHORITIES	
USPTO, Arthrex Q&As, Question A1 (July 20, 2021)	2



EXHIBIT LIST		
2001	Excerpt of Markman Hearing Transcript, IpLearn-Focus, LLC v. Microsoft Corp., No. 14-00151 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2014)	
2002	Excerpt from Joint Claim Construction Statement & Appendix	
2003	Excerpt from Kingston Preliminary Invalidity Contentions	
2004	Sample of Petition and Expert Declaration Mirror	
2005	Excerpt from Wiley Elec. & Electronics Eng'g Dictionary (2004)	
2006	Excerpt from The Illustrated Dictionary Of Electronics (8th ed. 2001)	
2007	Excerpt from R. Graf, Modern Dictionary Of Electronics (7th ed. 1999)	
2008	Excerpt from Newnes Dictionary Of Electronics (4th ed. 1999)	
2009	Excerpt from Oxford English Dictionary (2d ed. 1989)	
2010	Micron Technical Note 4721	
2011	Declaration of Nathan Nobu Lowenstein ISO Motion for PHV	
2012	Subramanian Deposition Exhibit 2012 (Jun. 27, 2017)	
2013	Subramanian Deposition Exhibit 2013 (Jun. 27, 2017)	
2014	Subramanian Deposition Exhibit 2014 (Jun. 27, 2017)	
2015	Subramanian Deposition Exhibit 2015 (Jun. 27, 2017)	
2016	Subramanian Deposition Exhibit 2016 (Jun. 27, 2017)	



2017	Subramanian Deposition Exhibit 2017 (Jun. 27, 2017)
2018	Transcript of Deposition of Vivek Subramanian, Ph.D. (Jun. 27, 2017)
2019	Declaration of Prof. Joseph Bernstein, Ph.D.
2020	Scott Mueller, "Upgrading and Repairing PCs: Memory," InformIT (Jan. 6, 2010) <i>available at</i> http://www.informit.com/articles/article.aspx?p=1416688&seqNum=4
2021	Tomek Jasionowski, "RDIMMs Maximize Server Performance, Reliability, and Scalability," EE Times (Mar. 26, 2012), available at http://www.eetimes.com/document.asp?doc_id=1279507&print=yes
2022	Ex parte Kim, Appeal No. 2014-005357 (PTAB Jun. 24, 2016)
2023	Ex parte Tapler, Appeal No. 2013-004822 (PTAB Jun. 22, 2015)
2024	Arteris, Inc. v. Sonics, Inc., Appeal No. 2014-006504 (PTAB Oct. 8, 2014)
2025	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2005/0008114 A1 ("Moon")
2026	European Patent No. 1,046,996 A1 ("Scherzer")
2027	U.S. Patent No. 7,266,786 B2 ("Chou")
2028	Julio Sanchez and Maria P. Canton, Embedded Systems Circuits and Programming (2012)
2029	PC2-4300 Specification Sheet
2030	PC4 Diagram
2031	PC4 Bill of Materials



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

