UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DIGITAL CHECK CORP. d/b/a ST IMAGING Petitioner

V.

E-IMAGEDATA CORP.
Patent Owner

CASE: IPR2017-00178 U.S. PATENT NO. 9,179,019

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | | <u>Page</u> | |
|------|---|------|--|-------------|--|
| I. | INT | RODU | ICTION | 1 | |
| II. | PAY | MEN | Γ OF FEES | 2 | |
| III. | MANDATORY NOTICES PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.82 | | | | |
| | A. | Real | Party In Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))2 | | |
| | B. | Rela | ed Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))2 | | |
| | C. | Noti | e Of Lead And Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))3 | | |
| | D. | Serv | ce Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)) | | |
| IV. | STA | NDIN | G | 3 | |
| V. | STA | TEME | MENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED | | |
| VI. | REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF4 | | | | |
| | A. | Sum | mary of '019 Petition | 4 | |
| | B. Ove | | rview of the Prior Art Specifically Cited Below | 4 | |
| | | 1. | Fujinawa | 5 | |
| | | 2. | Kokubo | 5 | |
| | | 3. | Minolta Film Carrier Manuals ("Minolta") | 5 | |
| | C. | Back | aground of the Technology and Summary of '019 Patent | 7 | |
| | | 1. | Chassis Limitation | 10 | |
| | | 2. | Lead Member Limitation | 10 | |
| | | 3. | Fold Mirror Limitation | 11 | |
| | | 4. | Drive Mechanism Limitations | 12 | |
| | | 5. | Carriage Limitations | 12 | |
| | | 6. | Motor Limitations | 13 | |
| | | 7. | Area Sensor Limitation | 13 | |
| | | 8. | Lens Limitation | 14 | |
| | | 9. | Film Carrier Limitation | 14 | |



1

Petition For Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,179,019

| | D. | The I | Relied-On Art Has Not Been Previously Considered | 15 | | |
|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|---|----|--|--|
| VII. | PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART15 | | | | | |
| VIII. | CLAIM CONSTRUCTION | | | | | |
| IX. | PROPOSED GROUNDS OF REJECTION | | | | | |
| | A. | Are | nd 1: Claims 1-3, 5-9, 20-32, 41, 43, 44, 47, 53 and 63 Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Obvious Over www In View Of Kokubu. | 18 | | |
| | | 1. | Claim 1 | 18 | | |
| | | 2. | Claim 2 | 30 | | |
| | | 3. | Claim 3 | 31 | | |
| | | 4. | Claim 5 | 32 | | |
| | | 5. | Claim 6 | 32 | | |
| | | 6. | Claim 7 | 32 | | |
| | | 7. | Claim 8 | 35 | | |
| | | 8. | Claim 9 | 36 | | |
| | | 9. | Claim 20 | 36 | | |
| | | 10. | Claim 21 | 37 | | |
| | | 11. | Claim 22 | 37 | | |
| | | 12. | Claim 23 | 40 | | |
| | | 13. | Claim 24 | 40 | | |
| | | 14. | Claim 25 | 41 | | |
| | | 15. | Claim 26 | 41 | | |
| | | 16. | Claim 27 | 42 | | |
| | | 17. | Claim 28 | 43 | | |
| | | 18. | Claim 29 | 44 | | |
| | | 19. | Claim 30 | 44 | | |
| | | 20. | Claim 31 | 46 | | |
| | | 21 | Claim 32 | 46 | | |



Petition For Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,179,019

| | 22. | Claim 41 | 47 | |
|----|--|------------------|----|--|
| | 23. | Claim 43 | 50 | |
| | 24. | Claim 44 | 51 | |
| | 25. | Claim 47 | 52 | |
| | 26. | Claim 53 | 52 | |
| | 27. | Claim 63 | 52 | |
| B. | Ground 2: Claims 33-35, 37, 39-41, and 54-57 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Obvious Over <i>Fujinawa</i> In View Of Kokubu and <i>Minolta</i> | | | |
| | 1. | Claim 33 | 53 | |
| | 2. | Claim 34 | 58 | |
| | 3. | Claim 35 | 60 | |
| | 4. | Claim 37 | 60 | |
| | 5. | Claim 39 | 64 | |
| | 6. | Claim 40 | 65 | |
| | 7. | Claim 54 | 65 | |
| | 8. | Claim 55 | 65 | |
| | 9. | Claim 56 | 66 | |
| | 10. | Claim 57 | 66 | |
| C. | Ground 3: Claims 64-68, 74, 79, 81, 84-87, 91-96, and 101 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 As Obvious Over <i>Fujinawa</i> In View Of <i>Minolta</i> | | | |
| | 1. | Claim 64 | 66 | |
| | 2. | Claims 65 and 66 | 71 | |
| | 3. | Claim 67 | 71 | |
| | 4. | Claim 68 | 75 | |
| | 5. | Claim 74 | 75 | |
| | 6. | Claim 79 | 76 | |
| | 7 | Claim 81 | 76 | |

Petition For Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,179,019

| | 8. | Claim 84 | 77 |
|---|---------|-----------|------------|
| | 9. | Claim 85 | 77 |
| | 10. | Claim 86 | 77 |
| | 11. | Claim 87 | 78 |
| | 12. | Claim 91 | 78 |
| | 13. | Claim 92 | 78 |
| | 14. | Claim 93 | 78 |
| | 15. | Claim 94 | 79 |
| | 16. | Claim 95 | 79 |
| | 17. | Claim 96 | 80 |
| | 18. | Claim 101 | 80 |
| V | CONCLUS | SION | Q 1 |

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

