## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., SNAP INC., FACEBOOK, INC., AND WHATSAPP INC.
Petitioners,

V.

UNILOC USA, INC., AND UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A. Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2017-00221 (Patent 7,535,890) Case IPR2017-00222 (Patent 8,243,723) Case IPR2017-00225 (Patent 8,995,433)

> Record of Oral Hearing Held: February 8, 2018

\_\_\_\_\_

Before: JENNIFER S. BISK, MIRIAM L. QUINN, and CHARLES J. BOUDREAU, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



Case IPR2017-00221 (Patent 7,535,890) Case IPR2017-00222 (Patent 8,243,723) Case IPR2017-00225 (Patent 8,995,433)

## **APPEARANCES:**

## FOR THE PETITIONERS:

Mr. Jason D. Eisenberg Mr. Michael D. Specht Mr. Trent W. Merrell STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN FOX 1100 New York Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 2005 202.371.2600 202.371.2540 (Fax) lgordon@skgf.com Ms. Lisa Fuller Schwier, Ph.D. COOLEY, L.L.P. 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20004 202.842.7876 202.842.7899 (Fax) lschwier@cooley.com

## FOR THE PATENT OWNERS:

Mr. Brett Mangrum
Mr. Ryan Loveless
ETHERIDGE LAW GROUP
2600 East Southlake Boulevard,
Suite 120
Southlake, Texas 76092-6634
817.470.7249



Case IPR2017-00221 (Patent 7,535,890) Case IPR2017-00222 (Patent 8,243,723) Case IPR2017-00225 (Patent 8,995,433)

## ALSO PRESENT:

Steve Pappas, Registered Patent Agent, Sterne Kessler Goldstein Fox Marc Breverman, Apple Corporate Counsel Amirali Sharifi

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on February 8, 2018, commencing at 11:00 a.m. at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 207 S Houston St., Dallas, Texas.



Case IPR2017-00222 (Patent 8,243,723) Case IPR2017-00225 (Patent 8,995,433) PROCEEDINGS 1 2 (Commencing at 11:00 a.m.) 3 JUDGE QUINN: All right. Welcome to the Texas 4 Regional Office. Do we have everybody that we need for the 5 entirety of the proceeding session. 6 MR. EISENBERG: Yes, we do. 7 JUDGE QUINN: So do we have everybody that we 8 need? MR. EISENBERG: The petitioner has everyone 9 10 that they need here. JUDGE QUINN: Okay. Does patent owner have 11 everyone? 12 13 MR. MANGRUM: Your Honor, Brett Mangrum, good 14 morning. Yes, we have everyone we need for the proceeding 15 hearing. 16 THE COURT: Let's start with some preliminaries. I'll do the introduction. You may be seated because I have 17 other issues to discuss with everybody. 18 19 We're here today to hear the oral argument in 20 the inter partes review proceedings docketed as IPR2017-221, IPR2017-222 and IPR2017-225. All three were initially filed by 21 22 Apple. 23 We, subsequently to those cases, had 24 joinders, especially in IPR2017-225 where Facebook, Inc., 25 and WhatsApp have been joined to that case. And the patent owner is Uniloc Luxembourg and Uniloc USA. On this we're going 26

Case IPR2017-00221 (Patent 7,535,890)



Case IPR2017-00221 (Patent 7,535,890) Case IPR2017-00222 (Patent 8,243,723) Case IPR2017-00225 (Patent 8,995,433) to have a conversation with you about who's the rightful 1 2 patent owner. 3 But first let me introduce to you the judges 4 that we have on the panel today. Judge Jennifer Bisk is 5 present in the Courtroom D in Madison Building in the U.S. 6 PTO Alexandria campus. And Judge Charles Boudreau is present 7 in the courtroom of the Silicon Valley Regional Office in San 8 Jose. 9 And I'll be hosting the hearing today. I'm Judge Miriam Quinn at the Texas Regional Office in Dallas. 10 Because we have different groups of entities 11 12 for the different cases, I want counsel for petitioner in the 13 221 case and all the entities that are here for that case to 14 state your appearance for the record. 15 MR. EISENBERG: For petitioner, we have Jason 16 Eisenberg from Sterne Kessler for Apple. And we have Lisa Schwier from Cooley for the other parties. 17 18 JUDGE QUINN: And what other parties would 19 that be? MS. SCHWIER: For the joinder petitioners, 20 your Honor. 21 22 JUDGE QUINN: Which ones? 23 MS. SCHWIER: For -- I represent Facebook,



JUDGE QUINN: All three?

MS. SCHWIER: Yes.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

