

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE

PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

YOTRIO CORPORATION,

Petitioner

v.

LAKESOUTH HOLDINGS, LLC,

Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 6,612,713
Title: Umbrella Apparatus

Case No. IPR2017-_____

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,612,713**

Table of Contents

TABLE OF EXHIBITS -----	v
I. INTRODUCTION -----	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 -----	1
A. Real Party-in-Interest -----	1
B. Related Matters -----	1
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel -----	2
D. Service Information -----	2
E. Electronic Service -----	2
F. Payment of Fees Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 -----	3
III. POWER OF ATTORNEY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 -----	3
IV. REQUIREMENTS UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 AND 42.104 -----	3
A. Grounds for Standing -----	3
B. Statement of Precise Relief Requested and Identification of Challenge (§ 42.104(b)) -----	3
V. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE -----	4
A. The '713 Patent -----	4
1. Overview -----	4
2. Summary of the Prosecution History -----	6
3. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art -----	8
B. Claim Construction -----	10
1. "Recessed" -----	11
C. Description of Prior Art References -----	11

1.	Small -----	13
2.	Hale -----	14
3.	Pan -----	15
4.	Szekely-----	17
5.	Wu I and Wu II -----	18
	D. Ground 1: The Combination of Small and Pan Invalidates the Challenged Claims -----	20
1.	Motivation to Combine Small and Pan -----	20
2.	The Combination of Small and Pan Renders Claim 2 Obvious -----	21
3.	The Combination of Small and Pan Invalidates Claim 4-----	23
4.	The Combination of Small and Pan Invalidates Claim 15 -----	23
5.	The Combination of Small and Pan Invalidates Claim 16 -----	25
6.	The Combination of Small and Pan Invalidates Claim 24 -----	25
7.	The Combination of Small and Pan Invalidates Claim 25 -----	26
8.	The Combination of Small and Pan Invalidates Claim 28 -----	28
	E. Ground 2: The Combination of Small and Pan Further in View of Szekely Invalidates Claims 2, 24, and 25 -----	29
1.	Motivation to Combine Small and Pan and Szekely-----	29
2.	The Combination of Small and Pan with Szekely Invalidates Claim 2 ---	30
3.	The Combination of Small and Pan and Szekely Invalidates Claim 24---	31
4.	The Combination of Small and Pan and Szekely Invalidates Claim 25---	32
	F. Ground 3: The Combination of Small and Pan Further in View of Wu I Invalidates Claims 15, 16, and 28 -----	32
1.	Motivation to Combine Small and Pan and Wu I -----	32

2.	The Combination of Small and Pan and Wu I Invalidates Claims 15, 16, and 28 -----	33
	G. Ground 4: The Combination of Small and Hale Invalidates the Challenged Claims -----	34
1.	Motivation to Combine Small and Hale-----	34
2.	The Combination of Small and Hale Invalidates Claim 2 -----	36
3.	The Combination of Small and Hale Invalidates Claim 4 -----	37
4.	The Combination of Small and Hale Invalidates Claim 15 -----	38
5.	The Combination of Small and Hale Invalidates Claim 16-----	39
6.	The Combination of Small and Hale Invalidates Claim 24-----	39
7.	The Combination of Small and Hale Invalidates Claim 25-----	41
8.	The Combination of Small and Hale Invalidates Claim 28-----	42
	H. Ground 5: Claims 2, 24, and 25 Are Obvious Based on Small in View of Hale and Szekely -----	43
1.	Motivation to Combine Small and Hale with Szekely -----	43
2.	The Combination of Small and Hale and Szekely Invalidates Claim 2 ---	44
3.	The Combination of Small and Hale and Szekely Invalidates Claim 24--	45
4.	The Combination of Small and Hale and Szekely Invalidates Claim 25--	45
	I. Ground 6: The Combination of Small and Wu I Invalidates Claims 2, 4, 15, 16, 24, 25, and 28 -----	46
1.	Motivation to Combine Small and Wu I -----	46
2.	The Combination of Small and Wu I Invalidates Claim 2, 4, 15, 16, 24, 25, and 28 -----	47
VI.	CLAIM CHARTS-----	48
	A. Claim Charts Supporting Invalidity -----	48

1.	Claim 2 -----	48
2.	Claim 4 -----	53
3.	Claim 15-----	54
4.	Claim 24-----	56
5.	Claim 25-----	59
6.	Claim 28-----	60
VII.	CONCLUSION-----	63

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.