

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-01011  
Patent 7,715,324

---

**Patent Owner's Preliminary Response**

## Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	SUMMARY OF THE PATENTED TECHNOLOGY .....	2
III.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	3
A.	<i>Uniform Resource Indicator</i> .....	4
B.	<i>Protocol Attribute Selector</i> .....	8
IV.	STANDARD FOR INSTITUTING <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW .....	10
V.	THE PETITION DOES NOT MEET PETITIONER’S BURDEN TO SHOW A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS ON ANY OF ITS ASSERTED GROUNDS OF INVALIDITY .....	12
A.	<i>ALL GROUNDS: One of Ordinary Skill in the Art Would Not Combine Devanneax with Chu</i> .....	12
B.	<i>ALL GROUNDS: None of the Cited Art Discloses the “protocol attribute selector” of Claims 6, 7, 8, 10 and 11</i> .....	17
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	20

## EXHIBIT LIST

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
2001	Declaration of Dr. Kevin Almeroth (“Dr. Almeroth Declaration”)
2002	Attachment to Dr. Almeroth Declaration – CV of Dr. Kevin Almeroth
2003	Attachment to Dr. Almeroth Declaration – List of Materials Considered”
2004	Microsoft Visual C# 2008 Comprehensive: An Introduction to Object-Oriented Programming by Joyce Farrell, p. 821
2005	Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement, No. 3:15-cv-720-JAG, Dkt. 112 (E.D. Va. June 20, 2016)
2006	U.S. Patent No. 6,108,703
2007	Order, No. 3:15-cv-720-JAG, Dkt. 146 (E.D. Va. Aug. 9, 2016)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Patent Owner, Limelight, Inc. (“Patent Owner”), submits the following Preliminary Response to the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (the “Petition”) filed by Akamai Technologies, Inc. (“Petitioner”) regarding Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, and 11 (“Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 7,715,324 (“the ’324 Patent”). Akamai has alleged that Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 11 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2007/0156845 (“Devanneaux”), and further in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2007/0226375 (“Chu”) (“Ground 1”). (Petition at 14). Additionally, Akamai alleges that claims 4 and 10 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of the Devanneaux and Chu, further in view of the publication titled “Transmission Control Protocol, DARPA Internet Program, Protocol Specification” (“RFC793”) (“Ground 2”) (collectively, “Grounds of Rejection”). (*Id.*).

As a threshold matter, the Petition fails to show how the cited references, alone or in combination, meet all of the limitations of any of the challenged claims. The rules require that “[e]ach petition ... must include ... [a] full statement of the reasons for the relief requested, including a detailed explanation of the significance of the evidence.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(2); *see also* 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)-(5). As explained by the Supreme Court, “rejections on obviousness grounds cannot be sustained by mere conclusory statements; instead, there must be some articulated

reasoning with some rational underpinning to support the legal conclusion of obviousness.” *KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 550 U.S. 398, 418 (2007), quoting *In re Kahn*, 441 F.3d 977, 988 (Fed. Cir. 2006). In this case, the Petition includes unsupported conclusory statements that the cited references disclose various claim elements. The Petition further fails to articulate why it would have been obvious to combine the cited references. Additionally, the Petition relies on an incorrect construction for the term “uniform resource indicator (URI),” which is present in all of the claims. This construction is contradicted by the intrinsic evidence as well as the ordinary and customary meaning the term would have to one of ordinary skill in the art at the relevant time. Accordingly, Petitioner has failed to articulate specific reasoning, based on evidence of record, to support the legal conclusion of obviousness for the Challenged Claims.

## **II. SUMMARY OF THE PATENTED TECHNOLOGY**

The ’324 Patent relates to improvements in the optimization of network protocols (such as TCP) used to connect networked computers—servers and clients in particular. The ’324 Patent discloses systems and methods where a server can actively monitor network connections, such as TCP sockets, for requests. (*See, e.g., Ex. 1001, 2:10-4:52, 23:14-24:48*). When requests come in, the server can analyze the request to determine whether the connection should be optimized. (*Id.*). If the connection should be optimized, the server can re-configure the connection.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.