UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FREDMAN BROS. FURNITURE COMPANY, INC., Petitioner,

v.

BEDGEAR, LLC, Patent Owner.

Cases:

IPR2017-00350 (Patent 8,887,332 B2) IPR2017-00351 (Patent 9,015,873 B2) IPR2017-00352 (Patent 8,646,134 B1) IPR2017-00524 (Patent 9,155,402 B2)

> Record of Oral Hearing Held: March 20, 2018

Before HYUN J. JUNG, BART A. GERSTENBLITH, and AMANDA F. WIEKER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

JASON R. MUDD, ESQUIRE Erise IP 7015 College Blvd. Suite 700 Overland Park, KS 66211

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JOSEPH J. RICHETTI, ESQUIRE ALEXANDER WALDEN, ESQUIRE Bryan Cave LLP 1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10104

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, March 20, 2018, at 10 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



PROCEEDINGS

1	
2	JUDGE JUNG: Good morning. Please take your seats. This is the
3	oral hearing for four related cases, IPRs 2017-00350, 351, 352 and 524. For
4	the record in the '350, Petitioner challenges patent 8,887,332. In the '351,
5	Petitioner challenges claims in patent No. 9,015,883. In the '352, it's
6	8,646,134, and in the '524, U.S. patent No. 9,155,408 is being challenged.
7	Starting with counsel for Petitioner followed by counsel for Patent Owner,
8	please introduce yourselves for the record.
9	MR. MUDD: Yes, Your Honor. Jason Mudd, counsel for Petitioner
10	Fredman Bros. Furniture Company.
11	JUDGE JUNG: Thank you, Mr. Mudd.
12	MR. RICHETTI: Good morning, Your Honors. Joseph Richetti from
13	Bryan Cave representing Bedgear. Here with me is my partner, Alex
14	Walden.
15	JUDGE JUNG: Welcome. And Mr. Mudd, when you're ready you
16	may proceed.
17	MR. MUDD: Thank you, Your Honor. I have hard copies of our
18	slides if the judges would like one.
19	JUDGE JUNG: Yes, you may approach. Do you have one for the
20	court reporter?
21	MR. MUDD: Yes. I gave one to him already, yes.
22	JUDGE JUNG: Before you begin, Mr. Mudd, do you wish to reserve
23	time for rebuttal?



- 1 MR. MUDD: Yes. I'd like to reserve ten minutes for rebuttal of my 2 allotted 45 minutes.
- 3 JUDGE JUNG: Okay. You may begin.
- 4 MR. MUDD: May it please the Board. I'm Jason Mudd for Petitioner
- 5 Fredman Bros. Furniture Company. Today we're here on four related IPR
- 6 proceedings. I'm going to start with the first three, the 350, the 351 and the
- 7 352 which related to what we call the gusset patents, the '332, the '883 and
- 8 the '134 patents.
- 9 Just very briefly to begin, a brief overview of the alleged invention of
- 10 the gusset patents it's quite simple. As the patents say advantageously with
- 11 the subject invention a pillow is provided allowing for lateral ventilation
- between opposing panels. This permits a cooling effect while the user is
- 13 resting or sleeping.
- So the invention is just that. It's providing lateral ventilation between
- opposing panels of a pillow and it does that through the gusset, by using a
- porous material in the gusset and having sufficient width to separate the first
- panel from the second panel, it defines an air flow channel there through to
- provide for cooling in the pillow, and this is generally shown here on slide 5
- in figures 1 and 2. A top panel, a bottom panel and a porous gusset between
- 20 the two.
- This invention is claimed in several different ways across these
- patents, but it's all claimed in a very similar way with the basic components
- of the first panel, the second panel, and the gusset. Here, in claim 1 of the
- 24 '332 patent, we see the gusset has a greater porosity than the material in the



- first and second panels. In claim 34 of the '332 patent we see that it's claimed with reference to a concept of having an open cell construction and said open cell construction is formed by spaced-apart strands.
- 4 Now the open cell construction is recited in slightly different ways 5 across the claims. We see spaced-apart strands. We see interlaced strands. 6 We see strands defining a mesh configuration and importantly the term open 7 cell construction is a term that is coined and defined in the patents and that 8 definition encompasses material that is highly porous. This is important 9 because the Rasmussen prior art reference, which is the primary reference 10 across all the grounds against the gusset patents, uses that exact same term. 11 It teaches sidewalls that are highly porous and not only does it teach that 12 they're highly porous, it teaches that they provide a significant degree of 13 ventilation that allows air to enter and exit the pillow readily through the 14 sides of the pillow.

Now in our grounds we have mapped two aspects of Rasmussen to the claims because it anticipates in two separate and independent ways. First, as to the core 110 itself it has a top panel, a bottom panel and highly porous sidewalls that provide for significant ventilation through a 3D textile sidewall and importantly Rusmussen teaches that the side layer is more permeable than the top and bottom layers. We have also mapped Rasmussen with respect to its cover 190 which has essentially the same components as the core itself. It has side portions and a top and bottom portion that correspond to the same components of the core and, again, with respect to the cover Rasmussen teaches that the sides are highly porous by being made



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

