

Case No.: IPR2016-_____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

IRWIN SEATING COMPANY
Petitioner

v.

CAMATIC PROPRIETARY LIMITED
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-_____
Patent 7,073,858

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,073,858**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction 1

II. Formalities 2

III. Overview and Relief Requested 3

IV. Factual Background 4

 A. Declaration Evidence 4

 B. The Fisher Patent 4

 C. Fisher’s Prosecution History 8

V. Prior Art Supporting the Petition..... 9

 A. Background of the Art 9

 B. Head (PCT Application WO 92/20263) 11

 C. Allison (U.S. Pat. No. 5,645,318) 13

 D. Burdick (U.S. Pat. No. 4,382,642) 15

 E. Piretti (U.S. Pat. No. 3,762,765) 17

 F. Magnuson (U.S. Pat. No. 5,655,816)..... 17

 G. Head, Allison, Burdick, Piretti, and Magnuson Are Analogous to Fisher 18

 H. Admitted Prior Art 19

VI. Claim Construction 20

 A. “Mounted” (claims 13, 17-19, 27, and 31-32) 20

 B. “Overhang” (claims 13, 20, 27-29, 33, and 35) 21

 C. “Undercut Surface” (claim 13) 21

..

Case No.: IPR2016-_____

D.	“Fastener” (claims 13 and 27-28).....	22
E.	“Return” (claims 13, 20, 27, 29, and 33).....	22
F.	“Track Portion” (claims 20-22, 26, 30, 33, and 36).....	22
G.	“Unitarily Formed” (claim 21).....	23
H.	“Angular Cross-Sectional Profile” (claim 28)	23
I.	“Toggle” (claim 35).....	24
VII.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	25
VIII.	Specific Grounds for Invalidity.....	26
A.	Ground 1: Claims 13, 17-22, and 27-32 Are Obvious Over Head, Allison, and Burdick.	26
B.	Motivation to Combine	27
C.	Claim 13 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled.....	37
D.	Claim 17 (Depends from Claim 13) Should Be Cancelled	43
E.	Claim 18 (Depends from Claim 13) Should Be Cancelled	43
F.	Claim 19 (Depends from Claim 13) Should Be Cancelled	43
G.	Claim 20 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled.....	44
H.	Claim 21 (Depends from Claim 20) Should Be Cancelled	48
I.	Claim 22 (Depends from Claim 20) Should Be Cancelled	49
J.	Claim 27 (Depends from Claim 20) Should Be Cancelled	50
K.	Claim 28 (Depends from Claim 27) Should Be Cancelled	51
L.	Claim 29 (Depends from Claim 27) Should Be Cancelled	51
M.	Claim 30 (Depends from Claim 20) Should Be Cancelled	52

Case No.: IPR2016-_____

N.	Claim 31 (Depends from Claim 20) Should Be Cancelled	52
O.	Claim 32 (Depends from Claim 31) Should Be Cancelled	53
P.	Ground 2: Claims 33 and 36 Are Obvious Over Head, Allison, and Burdick, in Further View of Piretti.....	53
Q.	Motivation to Combine	54
R.	Claim 33 (Independent) Should Be Cancelled.....	56
S.	Claim 36 (Depends from Claim 33) Should Be Cancelled	58
T.	Ground 3: Claim 35 is Obvious Over Head, Burdick, and Allison, in Further View of Piretti and Magnuson.....	59
U.	Motivation to Combine	60
V.	Claim 35 (Depends from Claim 33) Should Be Cancelled	62

Case No.: IPR2016-_____

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>In re Fisher</i> , 427 F.2d 833 (C.C.P.A. 1970).....	11
<i>Spectra-Physics, Inc. v. Coherent, Inc.</i> , 827 F.2d 1524 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.