

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COOK GROUP INCORPORATED
and COOK MEDICAL LLC,
Petitioners,

v.

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2017-00435 (Patent 9,271,731 B2)
IPR2017-00440 (Patent 9,271,731 B2)

Record of Oral Hearing
Held: September 17, 2018

BEFORE JAMES T. MOORE, JAMES A. TARTAL, and
ROBERT L. KINDER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

IPR2017-00435 (Patent 9,271,731 B2)

IPR2017-00440 (Patent 9,271,731 B2)

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

DOMINIC P. ZANFARDINO, ESQ.

JEFFRY M. NICHOLS, ESQ.

JASON W. SCHIGELONE, ESQ.

Brinks Gilson & Lione

455 N. Cityfront Plaza Drive

Suite 3600

Chicago, Illinois 60611

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

DAVID A. CAINE, ESQ.

Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer

3000 El Camino Real

Five Palo Alto Square, Suite 500

Palo Alto, California 94306

--and--

JEFFREY MARTIN, ESQ.

Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer

250 West 55th Street

New York, New York 10019

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Monday, September 17, 2018, commencing at 1:30 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

IPR2017-00435 (Patent 9,271,731 B2)

IPR2017-00440 (Patent 9,271,731 B2)

1 MR. CAINE: Good afternoon, Your Honors. David
2 Caine and Jeffrey Martin, and, again, our paralegal Shantell
3 Gutrick, and our client, Denise Lane, our client representative.

4 JUDGE KINDER: All right, thank you.

5 Again, some of the same rules as this morning. When
6 you get up to argue, please make sure that you give your name
7 and then which proceeding the argument is either directed to or in
8 response to, just so when we're going back through the transcript,
9 we can see those clear delineations of when you switch and who
10 is actually speaking at the time. It helps the court reporter and us.

11 If there are no questions at this time, we will proceed
12 with Petitioner, I believe on the '435 case first.

13 MR. ZANFARDINO: Thank you, Your Honors.
14 Dominic Zanfardino on the '435 case, and I should have also
15 introduced my other co-counsel from this morning, Jeff Nichols,
16 and then the client representative, Jack Hunt, and then an
17 observer from my firm, Alex Wang.

18 Okay, so first the '435. The newly instituted grounds
19 are grounds 3 and 4. Ground 3 presents the issue of whether what
20 the parties call Malecki embodiment 2 anticipates the claims that
21 are listed here on slide number 4, and then ground 4 presents the
22 issue of whether that same embodiment in Malecki 2 would
23 render obvious certain claims listed on slide 4.

1 So I am going to jump ahead a few slides here to slide
2 number 12 to re-orient the Board as to what Malecki embodiment
3 2 is. My colleague touched upon it a little bit this morning, but I
4 just want to make sure we all understand what it is.

5 Malecki embodiment 2 is a clamp, and the way it works
6 is there are two jaws. One is referred to as a fixed jaw, 308B.
7 Another is referred to as a pivotally mounted jaw, 310B. Now,
8 it's hard to see, the numbering is small, but to the left of the jaws
9 that are open on the left side, you can see a -- what's called an
10 actuator housing, and that bears reference numeral 324B.

11 And the way that works is there is a separate device,
12 called a clamp positioner, that I believe you all are familiar with,
13 that sort of acts like a socket wrench. It fits over 324B, this
14 actuator housing, and it runs along a set of threaded -- there's a
15 bunch of -- there's some threads here on this jaw extension, 320B,
16 and as you rotate that actuator housing 320B, you either advance
17 it proximally or distally.

18 And when you advance it proximally -- I'm sorry,
19 distally, there's a shoulder portion that's referred to as 325B on
20 that actuator housing, 324 -- I'm sorry, it's 325, that's on 324B,
21 and it starts to push that jaw closed. And then as you rotate in the
22 opposite direction and start pulling that actuator housing 324B
23 back, that jaw -- that top jaw, 310B, can spring back open,
24 because there is a spring sitting there somewhere -- and our

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.