

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC. and EMC CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00477
Patent 8,387,132 B2

Before JEFFREY S. SMITH, GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, and
DANIEL J. GALLIGAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

Per Curiam.

ORDER
Trial Hearing
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

Patent Owner requests oral argument pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70.

Paper 19. The request is GRANTED.

Oral argument will be held on Monday, March 5, 2018 on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia, commencing at 2:45 p.m. Eastern Time.¹ Each party will have 30 minutes of total argument time. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that the claims at issue in this review are unpatentable. 35 U.S.C. § 316(e). Petitioner will proceed first to present its case with regard to the claims on which trial was instituted. Thereafter, Patent Owner may respond to Petitioner's case and argue its own Motions, if any, and then Petitioner may use any of its remaining time for rebuttal regarding the challenged claims. Each party may reserve rebuttal time to address arguments made by the opposing party at the oral hearing with respect to issues on which the party reserving time has the burden of persuasion.

The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing, and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. No live testimony from any witness will be taken at the oral argument. The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance. Attendees will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. If the parties have any concern about disclosing confidential information, they are to contact the Board at least 10 days in advance of the hearing to discuss the matter. Any counsel of record may present the party's argument.

Any demonstrative exhibits must be served seven business days before the hearing. 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b). **Demonstrative exhibits are not evidence and may not introduce new evidence or arguments.** Instead, demonstrative exhibits

¹ In the Scheduling Order, oral argument was set for March 1, 2018. By subsequent Order, the date was changed to March 5, 2018. Paper 18, 2.

should cite to evidence in the record. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, Case IPR2013-00041 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014) (Paper 65), regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits. The parties must file any objections to the demonstratives with the Board at least **two business days** before the hearing. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not presented timely will be considered waived. The objections should identify with particularity which demonstratives are subject to objection and include a short (one sentence or less) statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider the objections and schedule a conference if deemed necessary. Otherwise, the Board will reserve ruling on the objections until after the oral argument. Demonstratives should be filed at the Board no later than two days before the hearing. A hard copy of the demonstratives should be provided to the court reporter at the hearing.

Questions regarding specific audio-visual equipment should be directed to the Board at (571) 272-9797. **Requests for audio-visual equipment are to be made at least five (5) business days in advance of the hearing date. The request is to be sent to Trials@uspto.gov. If the request is not received timely, the equipment may not be available on the day of the hearing.** The parties are reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript. The parties also should note that two members of the panel will be attending the hearing electronically from a remote location and that, if a demonstrative is not filed or otherwise made fully available or visible to the judges presiding over the hearing remotely, that demonstrative will not be considered. If the parties have questions

IPR2017-00477
Patent 8,387,132 B2

as to whether demonstrative exhibits would be sufficiently visible and available to all of the judges, the parties are invited to contact the Board at 571-272-9797.

PETITIONER:

Christopher Centurelli
Benjamin Weed
christopher.centurelli@klgates.com
benjamin.weed.ptab@klgates.com

Peter Dichiara
Arthur Shum
peter.dichiara@wilmerhale.com
arthur.shum@wilmerhale.com

Thomas Brown
tom.brown@emc.com

PATENT OWNER:

Byron Pickard
Lori Gordon
bpickard@skgf.com
gordon-ptab@skgf.com

James Hietala
Tim Seeley
jhietala@intven.com
tims@intven.com