

Mark Weinstein

Partner

Palo Alto Office 3175 Hanover Street

Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130

t: +1 650 843 5007

f: +1 650 849 7400

mweinstein@cooley.com

Intellectual Property

Intellectual Property Litigation

Patent Office Litigation

Trade Secret Litigation &

Dispute Counseling

Technology

Internet & Social Media

Software

Semiconductor

Medtech

Mark Weinstein's practice focuses on patent and other complex technology-related disputes. He has handled a number of high-stakes litigations throughout the US involving a variety of technologies, including computer software and hardware, Internet applications, electronic transactions, e-commerce, computer networking, entertainment software and medical devices.

Recent cases include:

Patents

- Facebook in more than a dozen patent infringement actions, including Yahoo! Inc v. Facebook, Inc. (N.D. Cal.), Leader Technologies, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc. (D. Del.), Tele-Publishing, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc. (D. Mass.), Mekiki Co., Ltd. v. Facebook, Inc. (D. Del.), Cross-Atlantic Capital Partners, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc. (E.D. Pa.), Unified Messaging Solutions LLC v. Facebook, Inc. (E.D. Tex.), Walker Digital, LLC v. Facebook, Inc. (D. Del.) and several others.
- HTC Corporation and HTC America in several patent litigations including HTC v. Technology Properties Ltd. (N.D. Cal.), Digitude Innovations LLC v. HTC (D. Del. and U.S. ITC), ADC Technology, Inc. v. HTC et al. (N.D. III.), Microunity Systems Eng'g v. HTC et al. (E.D. Tex.) and BandSpeed, Inc. v. HTC Corp. et al. (W.D. Tex), SP Technologies, Ltd. v. HTC et al. (N.D. III.), Implicit Networks, Inc. v. HTC (N.D. Cal.) and several others.
- LinkedIn in Jaipuria v. LinkedIn Corp. et al. (E.D. Tex.) and Cathas Advanced Technologies LLC v. LinkedIn Corp. (D. Del.)
- EMC Corporation in Hewlett-Packard Company et al. v. EMC Corporation (N.D. Cal.), a patent
 infringement suit involving 13 patents relating to mass data storage systems, servers and
 printers. HP initiated the lawsuit by suing EMC for alleged infringement of seven patents. EMC
 counterclaimed against HP with six of its own patents. Following claim construction proceedings
 and motion practice, the case settled with HP agreeing to pay EMC more than \$325 million, one of
 the largest patent settlements on record.
- In-Three, Inc. in IMAX Corporation v. In-Three, Inc. (C.D. Cal.), a patent infringement suit
 involving software for producing three dimensional motion pictures. In-Three defeated a motion
 for preliminary injunction filed by IMAX that threatened to shut down In-Three's operations.
- eBay in Tumbleweed Communications Corp. v. eBay, Inc. et al. (N.D. Cal.), defended eBay and



its subsidiary PayPal against allegations of infringement of three software patents related to electronic financial transactions. The case settled on favorable terms during the pendency of a summary judgment motion filed by eBay and PayPal that sought to invalidate Tumbleweed's patents in light of the prior art.

Trade Secrets

- Cirrus Logic in Silvaco Data Systems v. Cirrus Logic, Inc. (Santa Clara Sup. Ct.), a trade secret
 lawsuit involving Electronic Design Automation technology. Cirrus Logic obtained summary
 judgment that it did not misappropriate any of the plaintiff's trade secrets, which was affirmed on
 appeal.
- Alstom ESCA in ABB Power T&D Company v. Alstom ESCA Corporation et al. (N.D. Cal.), Mark
 was a member of a team representing Alstom in a six week federal jury trial involving claims for
 trade secret misappropriation, copyright infringement, breach of contract and a variety of
 business torts, which resulted in a unanimous verdict exonerating the client from liability. The
 technologies in the case related to hardware and software systems for the electric power industry.
- Advanced Modular Sputtering (AMS) in Sputtered Films, Inc. v. Advanced Modular Sputtering, Inc. et al. (Santa Barbara Sup. Ct.), a trade secret case involving PVD sputtering technologies.
 The case generated an oft-cited decision clarifying California's statute requiring plaintiffs to identify their trade secrets, Advanced Modular Sputtering v. Superior Court, 132 Cal. App. 4th 826 (2005).
- Minerva Networks in Myrio, Inc. v. Minerva Networks, Inc. (N.D. Cal.), defended Minerva
 against trade secret, unfair competition and false advertising claims involving technologies for
 delivering television and multimedia services over broadband networks. The case settled
 favorably after the court ruled that Myrio had failed to adequately identify its trade secrets.

Technology/IP Licensing

- DVD Copy Control Association (DVD CCA) in RealNetworks, Inc., et al. v. DVD Copy Control Association, Inc. et al. (N.D. Cal.) and DVD Copy Control Association, Inc. v. Kaleidescape, Inc. (Santa Clara Sup. Ct.), represented DVD CCA in two separate actions alleging breach of the technology license that covers use of the Content Scramble System (CSS) technology that is used to prevent copying of motion picture DVDs. DVD CCA obtained an injunction from the trial courts in both actions prohibiting sales of products that did not comply with the license. The Kaleidescape action is currently on appeal.
- Marshal Software a leading producer of Internet security and anti-spam software, in three
 trademark and unfair competition lawsuits against competing companies. All three cases resulted
 in the defendants agreeing to rebrand their products to avoid any use of Marshal's trademarks.

Mark is a frequent lecturer on all aspects of intellectual property protection and has taught classes at Santa Clara University School of Law. Prior to joining Cooley, he was a partner at a large international law firm and served as the managing partner in charge of that firm's Silicon Valley office. He is also a former law clerk for the Honorable Thomas J. Whelan, District Judge, United States District Court for the Southern District of California.

Education

University of San Diego School of Law JD, 1997



University of California, San Diego BS, 1992

Bar Admissions

California

Court Admissions

- U.S. Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit
- U.S. District Court, Central District of California
- U.S. District Court, Northern District of California
- U.S. District Court, Southern District of California
- U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas

