

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SK HYNIX INC., SK HYNIX AMERICA INC., and
SK HYNIX MEMORY SOLUTIONS INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

NETLIST, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00561,
Patent 8,001,434 B1,

Case IPR2017-00562¹
Patent 8,359,501 B1

Before BRYAN F. MOORE, MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, and
SHEILA F. McSHANE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MOORE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Request for Oral Argument
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

¹ This Order applies to each of the listed cases. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be docketed in each case. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this caption for any subsequent papers.

IPR2017-00561 (Patent 8,001,434 B1)

IPR2017-00562 (Patent 8,359,501 B1)

The parties have each requested an oral hearing for *inter partes* review proceedings IPR2017-00561, -00562 pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70. Papers 20, 22.² Upon consideration by the panel, the parties' requests are *granted*.

Each party will have one hour of total time to present arguments in both cases. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that Patent Owner's claims at issue in this review are unpatentable. Petitioner will, therefore, begin by presenting its case regarding the challenged claims and grounds for which the Board instituted trial in the proceeding. Patent Owner will then respond to Petitioner's arguments. Petitioner may reserve time to respond to arguments presented by Patent Owner. There is no motion to amend pending in the subject proceeding.

There is a strong public policy interest in making all information presented in these proceedings public, as the review determines the patentability of claims in an issued patent and, thus, affects the rights of the public. This policy is reflected in part, for example, in 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(1) and 35 U.S.C. § 326(a)(1), which provide that the file of any *inter partes* review or post grant review be made available to the public, except that any petition or document filed with the intent that it be sealed shall, if accompanied by a motion to seal, be treated as sealed pending the outcome of the ruling on the motion. Accordingly, we exercise our discretion to make the oral hearing publically available via in-person attendance.

² For expediency, IPR2017-00561 is representative and these citations are to IPR2017-00561.

IPR2017-00561 (Patent 8,001,434 B1)

IPR2017-00562 (Patent 8,359,501 B1)

Specifically, the hearing will commence at 1:00 PM Eastern Time, on April 6th, 2017, on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance that will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis.

The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing, and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served *seven* (7) business days before the hearing. The parties may refer to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, IPR2013-00041, slip op. 2–5 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014) (Paper 65), regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits. The parties are reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript. The parties also shall provide the demonstrative exhibits to the Board at least *two* business days prior to the hearing by emailing them to Trials@uspto.gov. The parties shall email demonstrative exhibits to the Board but shall not file any demonstrative exhibits in this case without prior authorization from the Board. A hard copy of the demonstratives should be provided to the court reporter at the hearing.

The parties shall confer and attempt to resolve any objections to demonstratives prior to involving the Board. For any issue regarding the proposed demonstrative exhibits that cannot be resolved after conferring with the opposing party, the parties may file jointly a one-page list of objections at least *two* business days prior to the date of the hearing. Any

IPR2017-00561 (Patent 8,001,434 B1)

IPR2017-00562 (Patent 8,359,501 B1)

such list should identify with particularity which demonstrative exhibit(s) is (are) subject to objection and include a short statement (no more than one concise sentence) of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted.

We will consider the objections and schedule a conference call, if necessary, to discuss them. Otherwise, we may strike demonstrative exhibits that we find objectionable or reserve ruling on the objections until the hearing or after the hearing. Any objection to a demonstrative exhibit that is not presented in a timely-filed list will be considered waived. Regardless of any objections raised by the parties, the Board may expunge any demonstrative exhibits that it finds excessive in number or content.

Questions regarding specific audio-visual equipment should be directed to the Board at (571) 272-9797. Requests for audio-visual equipment are to be made five (5) days in advance of the hearing date. The request is to be sent to Trials@uspto.gov. If the request is not received timely, the equipment may not be available on the day of the hearing.

The parties also should note that at least one member of the panel will be attending the hearing electronically from a remote location, and that if a demonstrative is not made fully available or visible to the judge participating in the hearing remotely, that demonstrative will not be considered. If the parties have questions as to whether demonstrative exhibits would be sufficiently visible and available to all of the judges, the parties are invited to contact the Board at (571) 272-9797. The parties are also reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript and the ability of

IPR2017-00561 (Patent 8,001,434 B1)

IPR2017-00562 (Patent 8,359,501 B1)

the judge participating in the hearing remotely to closely follow the presenter's arguments.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present in person at the oral hearing. However, lead or backup counsel may present the party's argument. If either party anticipates that its lead counsel will not be attending the oral argument, the parties should initiate a joint telephone conference with the Board no later than two business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.