Paper No. 12 Date Entered: June 15, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SOCIETÀ ITALIANA PER LO SVILUPPO DELL'ELETTRONICA S.P.A.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00565 Patent 6,754,580 B1

Before MITCHELL G. WEATHERLY, JAMES A. WORTH, and MICHAEL L. WOODS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WOODS, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION
Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Anita Binayi-Ghiam 37 C.F.R. § 42.10



As authorized by the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition (Paper 4), Patent Owner, Società Italiana Per Lo Sviluppo Dell'Elettronica S.p.A., filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Anita Binayi-Ghiam ("Motion," Paper 6). Petitioner, Unified Patents Inc., did not oppose the Motion. The Motion is *granted*. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); *see also* IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (setting forth requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).²

It is

ORDERED that the Motion seeking admission *pro hac vice* for Anita Binayi-Ghiam is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Anita Binayi-Ghiam may not act as lead counsel in the proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that a registered practitioner must remain as lead counsel throughout the proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Anita Binayi-Ghiam is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of the C.F.R.; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Anita Binayi-Ghiam is to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the

² Available at http://www.uspto.gov/ip/boards/bpai/ptab_trials.jsp, "Representative Orders, Decisions, and Notices," "Other Representative Orders and Decisions").



2

¹ Paper 6 also includes a declaration from Anita Binayi-Ghiam, identified as Exhibit A. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3), combined documents are not permitted. All evidence, including declarations, must be filed separately in the form of an exhibit and properly labelled. For purposes of this Decision, we will not require refiling of the Declaration as an exhibit, however, all future filings shall comply with the requirements of § 42.6.

IPR2017-00565 Patent 6,754,580 B1

USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.*

For PETITIONER:

Kevin Laurence Matthew Phillips LAURENCE & PHILLIPS IP LAW LLP kevin.laurence@renaissanceiplaw.com matthew.phillips@renaissanceiplaw.com

Jonathan Stroud UNIFIED PATENTS INC. jonathan@unifiedpatents.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Timothy Devlin, Reg. No. 41706 DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC tdevlin@devlinlawfirm.com

