Paper 24 Date: May 2, 2018

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

SK HYNIX INC., SK HYNIX AMERICA INC., and SK HYNIX MEMORY SOLUTIONS INC., Petitioner,

v.

NETLIST, INC., Patent Owner.

Cases
IPR2017-00561 (Patent 8,001,434 B1)
IPR2017-00562 (Patent 8,359,501 B1)
IPR2017-00577 (Patent 8,516,185 B2)<sup>1</sup>

Before BRYAN F. MOORE, MATTHEW CLEMENTS, and SHEILA F. McSHANE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MOORE, Administrative Patent Judge.

#### **ORDER**

Conduct of the Proceeding 37 C.F.R. § 42.5

<sup>1</sup> This Order addresses an issue that is identical in all three cases. We, therefore, exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.



IPR2017-00561 (Patent 8,001,434 B1) IPR2017-00562 (Patent 8,359,501 B1) IPR2017-00577 (Patent 8,516,185 B2)

On April 24, 2018, the Supreme Court held that a decision to institute under 35 U.S.C. § 314 may not institute on less than all claims challenged in the petition. *SAS Inst., Inc. v. Iancu*, 2018 WL 1914661, at \*10 (U.S. Apr. 24, 2018). In our Decision on Institution, we determined that Petitioner demonstrated a reasonable likelihood that it would establish that at least one of the challenged claims of each of the challenged patents is unpatentable. IPR2017-00561, Paper 7; IPR2017-00562, Paper 7; IPR2017-00577, Paper 8. We modify our institution decisions to institute on all of the challenged claims and all of the grounds presented in the Petition.

The parties shall confer to discuss the impact, if any, of this Order. If, after conferring, the parties wish to submit briefing not set forth in the Scheduling Order, the parties must, within one week of the date of this Order, request a conference call with the panel to seek authorization for such briefing.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that each of our institution decisions is modified to include review of all challenged claims and all grounds presented in the Petition; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner and Patent Owner shall confer to determine whether they desire any briefing, and, if so, request a conference call with the panel to seek authorization for such briefing within one week of the date of this Order.



IPR2017-00561 (Patent 8,001,434 B1) IPR2017-00562 (Patent 8,359,501 B1) IPR2017-00577 (Patent 8,516,185 B2)

#### For PETITIONER:

Joseph Micallef

Steven Baik

Wonjoo Suh

Theodore Chandler

Ferenc Pazmandi

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

jmicallef@sidley.com

sbaik@sidley.com

wsuh@sidley.com

tchandler@sidley.com

fpazmandi@sidley.com

## For PATENT OWNER in IPR2017-00561 and IPR2017-00562:

Thomas J. Wimbiscus

Christopher C. Winslade

Scott P. McBride

Ronald H. Spuhler

Wayne H. Bradley

William Meunier

## MCANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.

twimb is cus@mc and rews-ip.com

cwinslade@mcandrews-ip.com

smcbride@mcandrews-ip.com

rspuhler@mcandrews-ip.com

wbradley@mcandrews-ip.com

wameunier@mintz.com

# For PATENT OWNER in IPR2017-00577:

Mehran Arjomand

**Erol Basol** 

William Meunier

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

marjomand@mofo.com

ebasol@mofo.com

wameunier@mintz.com

