Trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822 Paper No. 8 Entered: July 12, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LIVEPERSON, INC., Petitioner,

v.

24/7 CUSTOMER, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00614 Patent 6,975,719 B1

Before CHRISTOPHER L. CRUMBLEY, ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, and GARTH D. BAER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BAER, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKET

DECISION Institution of *Inter Partes* Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108 IPR2017-00614 Patent 6,975,719 B1

LivePerson, Inc. ("Petitioner") filed a Petition (Paper 1, "Pet.") requesting *inter partes* review of claims 1–3, 20, and 46 of U.S. Patent No. 6,975,719 B1 (Ex. 1001, "the '719 patent"). Patent Owner 24/7 Customer, Inc. ("Patent Owner") did not file a Preliminary Response.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), an *inter partes* review may not be instituted unless "the information presented in the petition . . . and any response . . . shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition." For the reasons set forth below, we conclude that there is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner would prevail in establishing the unpatentability of claims 1-3, 20, and 46. Therefore, we institute *inter partes* review of claims 1-3, 20, and 46.

I. BACKGROUND

A. RELATED PROCEEDINGS

Petitioner asserts the '719 patent and patents related to it are involved in 24/7 *Customer, Inc. v. LivePerson, Inc.*, 3:15-CV-05585-JST (N.D. Cal.) and 24/7 *Customer, Inc. v. LivePerson, Inc.*, 3:15-CV-02897-JST (N.D. Cal.). *See* Pet. 2. The following petitions for *inter partes* review are related

to this case:

Case No.	Involved U.S. Patent No.	
IPR2017-00609	U.S. Patent No. 6,970,553	
IPR2017-00610	U.S. Patent No. 9,077,084	
IPR2017-00612	U.S. Patent No. 7,751,552	
IPR2017-00613	U.S. Patent No. 7,027,586	
IPR2017-00615	U.S. Patent No. 7,245,715	
IPR2017-00616	U.S. Patent No. 6,798,876	

B. THE '719 PATENT

The '719 patent is directed to a phone system with an integrated chat client service. Ex. 1001, Abstract. The Specification describes a need for a "mechanism by which a called party can keep his/her side of the conversation private from others who may be present in the room." *Id.* at 1:55–58. When a called party who is subscribed to an integrated chat client service receives a phone call, the system determines whether the caller has an accessible network-attached computer. *Id.* at 3:19–27. If they do, the system prompts the called party to determine whether to chat or talk with the calling party. *Id.* at 3:31–42. If the called party chooses to chat, the system sends a chat invitation to the calling party, and, if the calling party accepts, a chat session may be arranged. *Id.* at 3:46–56.

C. CHALLENGED CLAIMS

Petitioner challenges claims 1–3, 20, and 46. Independent claim 1 is reproduced below.

1. A phone system, comprising:

- a first call processing system element operable to check a chat server for accessibility of a calling party chat client associated with a calling party; and
- a second call processing system element operable to prompt a called party to select to talk or chat.

Ex. 1001, 8:41–47.

RM

Independent claim 2 includes the same elements as claim 1 and adds the following:

wherein the first call processing system element includes a service control point operable to receive a query and to check a chat server for accessibility of a calling party chat client associated with a calling party, the chat server being coupled to the internet and the service control point; and wherein the second call processing system element includes a service node coupled to the service control point and operable to prompt a called party to select either talk or chat.

Id. at 8:49–64.

Claim 3 depends from claim 2 and further requires the chat server to "send chat invitations to the calling party chat client and a called party chat client upon the called party selecting to chat with the calling party." *Id.* at 8:64–67.

Independent claim 20 is a method claim that mirrors the limitations of claim 1. *See id.* at 9:66–10:4. Independent claim 46 is a computer readable medium claim that likewise mirrors the limitations of claim 1. *See id.* at 12:7–13.

D. Asserted Grounds of Unpatentability

Petitioner asserts the following grounds of unpatentability. Pet. 4.

Reference(s)	Basis	Challenged Claims
Luehrig ¹	§ 102(e) ²	1–3, 20, and 46
Truetken ³ and Luehrig	§ 103(a)	1–3, 20, and 46

³ U.S. Patent No. 6,493,324 B1, Dec. 10, 2002 (Ex. 1002, "Truetken").

¹ U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0039339 A1, Pub. Feb. 27, 2003 (Ex. 1004, "Luehrig").

² Although Petitioner characterizes this ground as one based on obviousness, in substance, Petitioner asserts Luehrig anticipates the challenged claims. *See* Pet. 16 (asserting that Luehrig "discloses, and at a minimum renders obvious, the alleged invention claimed by each of the Challenged Claims"); *id.* at 18–31 (not identifying any potential differences between claimed subject matter and Luehrig). We therefore treat Petitioner's challenge as one based on anticipation, not obviousness.

II. ANALYSIS

A. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

Petitioner does not propose any claim constructions, and we conclude no express claim constructions are necessary for our determination of whether to institute *inter partes* review. *See Vivid Techs., Inc. v. Am. Sci. & Eng'g, Inc.*, 200 F.3d 795, 803 (Fed. Cir. 1999) ("[O]nly those terms need be construed that are in controversy, and only to the extent necessary to resolve the controversy.").

B. ASSERTED PRIOR ART

1. Luehrig (Ex. 1004)

Luehrig was filed on March 12, 2002, before the earliest priority date of the '719 patent, and, on the present record, is prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e). Pet. 5. Luehrig addresses a method for facilitating mediated communication. Ex. 1004, Abstract. In Luehrig, a subscriber may accept or defer an inbound communication. *Id.* ¶ 0059. In particular, in response to receiving a request for voice-based communication, an operation is performed that offers an option of sending the caller a text-messaging based response. *Id.* ¶ 0118. When the called party selects this chat option, the system provides a "follow-through action," such as sending a predefined text message. *Id.* ¶ 0120. According to Luehrig, "[t]he text messaging followthrough action enables the subscriber to initiate a notification to the calling device that a text messaging response to the request for voice-based communication is to be used." *Id.*

2. <u>Truetken (Ex. 1002)</u>

Truetken was filed on March 29, 1999, before the earliest priority date of the '719 patent, and, on the present record, is prior art under 35 U.S.C.

5

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.