

Paper No. ____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

FUJIFILM CORPORATION
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2017-00625
Patent No. 6,641,891

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR REFUND

Pursuant to the Patent and Trademark Office's Final Rule Setting and Adjusting Patent Fees, 78 Fed. Reg. 4211, 4233-34 (Jan. 18, 2013), Petitioner hereby requests a refund of the *Inter Partes* Review Post Institution Fee in the amount of \$14,000.00 to be paid to Petitioner's credit card.

On January 7, 2017, Petitioner filed a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of claims 1,4-9,11,14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,641,891 with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board that was assigned case number IPR2017-00625. In accordance with the fee schedule specified in 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a), Petitioner submitted payment in the amount of \$9,000.00 with the Board at the time of filing of its Petition to cover fees associated with Petitioner's *Inter Partes* Review request, and a further \$14,000.00 in Post-Institution fees.

On July 10, 2017, the Board entered a Decision denying institution of the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (Paper No. 8). On August 8, 2017 Petitioner filed a request for rehearing of the Decision Denying Institution (Paper No. 9). On October 20, 2017, the Board issued a Decision Denying Petitioner's Request for Rehearing (Paper No. 11). Accordingly, Petitioner requests a refund of \$14,000.00 for the post-institution fees that they have paid to the USPTO in connection with the instant proceeding. See 78 Fed. Reg. 4211, 4233-34 ("The Office also chooses to return fees for post institution services should a review not be institutedThe USPTO also sets the inter partes review post-institution fee at \$14,000 for a review

of up to 15 claims. This fee would be returned to the petitioner if the Office does not institute a review. Likewise, the Office sets a per claim fee of \$400 for review of each claim in excess of 15 during the post-institution trial. The entire post-institution fee would be returned to the petitioner if the Office does not institute a review.”).

Dated: 4/30/2018

Respectfully submitted,
Sony Corporation

/Randy J. Pritzker/

By _____
Richard F. Giunta, Reg. No. 36,149
James M. Morris, Reg. No. 34,681
Randy J. Pritzker, Reg. No. 35,986
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
600 Atlantic Ave.
Boston, MA 02210-2206
Tel: 617-646-8000/Fax: 617-646-8646

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.6 (e)(4)

I certify that on April 30, 2018, I will cause a copy of the foregoing document, including any exhibits or appendices referred to therein, to be served via electronic mail, as previously consented to by Patent Owner, upon the following:

Eliot D. Williams
Robert C. Scheinfeld
Neil P. Sirota
Eric J. Faragi

eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com
robert.scheinfeld@bakerbotts.com
neil.sirota@bakerbotts.com
eric.faragi@bakerbotts.com

Date: April 30, 2018

/MacAulay S. Rush/
MacAulay S. Rush
Patent Paralegal
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.