

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

GUARDIAN BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC.,

*Petitioner,*

v.

JOHNS MANSVILLE,

*Patent Owner.*

Patent No. 6,245,282

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR FORMING FIBERS FROM  
THERMOPLASTIC FIBERIZABLE MATERIALS

---

*Inter Partes* Review No.: IPR2017-00633

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page No.</u>
<b>I. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. COMPLIANCE WITH IPR PETITION REQUIREMENTS .....</b>	<b>1</b>
A. Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b).....	1
1. Real Party-in-Interest .....	1
2. Related Matters .....	1
3. Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel.....	2
4. Service Information.....	3
B. Grounds for Standing .....	3
<b>III. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED.....</b>	<b>3</b>
A. Identification of Claims Challenged and Relief Requested .....	3
B. Statutory Grounds and Prior Art Relied Upon.....	3
C. Specific Claims and Grounds for Relief .....	4
<b>IV. THE '282 PATENT .....</b>	<b>4</b>
A. Technical Background.....	4
B. Overview of the '282 Patent.....	8
C. Relevant Prosecution History .....	9
D. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	10
<b>V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....</b>	<b>11</b>
A. Claims 11, 22, and 29 do not deserve patentable weight.....	11
<b>VI. OVERVIEW OF THE RELIED UPON PRIOR ART .....</b>	<b>14</b>
B. U.S. Patent No. 3,265,477 (“ <i>McCoppin</i> ”) (Ex. 1005) .....	14
C. U.S. Patent No. 3,227,536 (“ <i>Firnhaber</i> ”) (Ex. 1006).....	16
D. U.S. Patent No. 5,785,996 (“ <i>Snyder</i> ”) (Ex. 1007) .....	18
E. U.S. Patent No. 3,304,164 (“ <i>Charpentier</i> ”) (Ex. 1008).....	19
F. U.S. Patent No. 5,277,706 (“ <i>Blandin</i> ”) (Ex. 1009).....	20
G. Admitted Prior Art from the '282 Patent .....	20

**VII. PRECISE REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED.....23**

A.	Ground 1: Claims 6 and 10 are Anticipated by <i>McCoppin</i> or in the alternative, obvious in view of <i>McCoppin</i> .....	23
1.	Claim 6 .....	23
2.	Claim 10 .....	31
3.	Alternatively, Claims 6 and 10 are Obvious .....	31
B.	Ground 2: Claims 6 and 10 are Anticipated by <i>Firnhaber</i> ; Alternatively, the Claims are Obvious over <i>Firnhaber</i> in view of <i>Snyder</i> .....	32
1.	Claim 6 .....	33
2.	Claim 10 .....	39
3.	Alternatively, the Claims 6 and 10 are Obvious .....	40
4.	Rationale to Combine Prior Art of Ground 2 .....	42
C.	Ground 3: Claims 7 and 8 are Obvious over <i>McCoppin</i> in combination with <i>Charpentier</i> .....	44
1.	Claim 7 (Decreasing Hole Diameter) .....	44
2.	Claim 8 (Mass Flow Rate) .....	45
3.	Rationale to Combine Prior Art of Ground 3 .....	47
D.	Ground 4: Claim 9 is Obvious over <i>McCoppin</i> in combination with <i>Snyder</i> .....	48
1.	Rationale to Combine Prior Art of Ground 4 .....	49
E.	Ground 5: Claims 11 and 12 are Obvious over <i>McCoppin</i> in view of Admitted Prior Art .....	51
1.	Claim 11 (Total Energy) .....	51
2.	Claim 12 (Total Energy Percentages) .....	52
3.	Rationale to Combine Prior Art of Ground 5 .....	54
F.	Ground 6: Claims 13 - 16 and 24 -29 are Obvious over <i>McCoppin</i> in view of the Admitted Prior Art and <i>Blandin</i> .....	56
1.	Claims 13, 14, 24 and 26 (Combustion Velocity) .....	56
2.	Claims 15, 25 and 27 (Velocity of Gaseous Fluid) .....	58
3.	Claims 16 and 28 (Gaseous Fluid is Air).....	59

4.	Claim 29 (Total Energy) .....	60
5.	Rationale to Combine Prior Art of Ground 6 .....	61
G.	Ground 7: Claims 17 -23 are Obvious over <i>McCoppin</i> in view of <i>Charpentier</i> , the Admitted Prior Art, and <i>Blandin</i> .....	62
1.	Claim 17 (Decreasing Hole Size and Combustion Velocity).....	62
2.	Claim 18 (Velocity of Gaseous Fluid).....	63
3.	Claim 19 (Narrowed Combustion Velocity Range) .....	63
4.	Claim 20 (Velocity of Gaseous Fluid).....	64
5.	Claim 21 (Gaseous Fluid is Air).....	64
6.	Claim 22 (Total Energy) .....	64
7.	Claim 23 (Total Energy Percentage) .....	65
8.	Rationale to Combine Prior Art of Ground 7 .....	65
<b>VIII. THE PROPOSED GROUNDS ARE NOT REDUNDANT .....</b>		<b>65</b>
<b>IX. CONCLUSION .....</b>		<b>66</b>

**LIST OF EXHIBITS<sup>1</sup>**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,245,282 (“the ’282 patent”)
Ex. 1002	Declaration of Steve W. Martin, Ph.D.
Ex. 1003	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Steve W. Martin, Ph.D.
Ex. 1004	<i>Reserved</i>
Ex. 1005	U.S. Patent No. 3,265,477 (“ <i>McCoppin</i> ”)
Ex. 1006	U.S. Patent No. 3,227,536 (“ <i>Firnhaber</i> ”)
Ex. 1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,785,996 (“ <i>Snyder</i> ”)
Ex. 1008	U.S. Patent No. 3,304,164 (“ <i>Charpentier</i> ”)
Ex. 1009	U.S. Patent No. 5,277,706 (“ <i>Blandin</i> ”)
Ex. 1010	U.S. Patent No. 4,737,178 (“ <i>Reifschneider</i> ”)
Ex. 1011	U.S. Patent No. 4,058,386 (“ <i>Faulkner</i> ”)
Ex. 1012	U.S. Patent No. 4,689,061 (“ <i>Britts</i> ”)

<sup>1</sup> Citations to patents are to column:line number of the patents while citations to other documents are to the page number added in the lower right hand corner.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.