

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND NETWORKS US LLC; AND  
NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND NETWORKS OY,  
Petitioners

v.

HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO. LTD.,  
Patent Owner

---

Case: IPR2017-00658  
U.S. Patent No. 8,537,779

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**  
**UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
US Patent and Trademark Office  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>LIST OF EXHIBITS.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>ACRONYM GLOSSARY .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....</b>	<b>1</b>
A. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST .....	1
B. RELATED MATTERS .....	1
C. DESIGNATION OF COUNSEL.....	1
D. SERVICE INFORMATION.....	2
<b>II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>III. PAYMENT OF FEES .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>IV. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGES AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>V. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>VI. BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY.....</b>	<b>6</b>
A. 3GPP ORGANIZATION.....	6
B. THE 3G 3GPP PACKET-SWITCHED NETWORK.....	7
C. THE ATTACH PROCEDURE AT THE TIME OF THE INVENTION .....	12
<b>VII. THE '779 PATENT .....</b>	<b>23</b>
A. OVERVIEW OF THE '779 PATENT.....	23
B. PURPORTED PROBLEM AND ALLEGED NOVELTY .....	23
C. PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE '779 PATENT.....	26
<b>VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....</b>	<b>31</b>
A. “OBTAINING UNIT,” “IDENTIFYING UNIT,” AND “PROCESSING UNIT” IN CLAIM 11 .....	31
B. “CREATE BEARER REQUEST MESSAGE” IN CLAIM 4.....	33
<b>IX. PRIOR ART REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>35</b>
A. THE '779 APA (NSN779-1002, AT 1019-40).....	37
B. SODERBACKA (NSN779-1007).....	38
C. THE NOKIA SUBMISSION (NSN779-1008).....	39

D.	THE MOTOROLA SUBMISSION (NSN779-1009).....	40
<b>X.</b>	<b>INVALIDITY OF CLAIMS 1, 4 AND 9-11 OF THE '779 PATENT ....</b>	<b>40</b>
A.	COUNT 1: CLAIMS 1, 4, AND 9-11 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) OVER THE '779 APA IN LIGHT OF SODERBACKA .....	41
B.	COUNT 2: CLAIMS 1, 4, AND 9-11 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) OVER THE '779 APA IN LIGHT OF THE NOKIA SUBMISSION.....	54
C.	COUNT 3: CLAIMS 1, 4, AND 9-11 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) OVER THE MOTOROLA SUBMISSION IN LIGHT OF THE NOKIA SUBMISSION .....	62
D.	COUNT 4: CLAIMS 1, 4, AND 9-11 ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) OVER THE MOTOROLA SUBMISSION IN LIGHT OF SODERBACKA .....	74
E.	THE GROUNDS ARE NOT REDUNDANT .....	78
<b>XI.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>79</b>

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Cassidian Commc'ns, Inc. v. MicroDATA GIS, Inc.</i> , No. 2:12-CV-162-JRG, D.I. 71 (E.D. Tex. June 20, 2013).....	34
<i>Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices, Inc.</i> , 848 F.2d 1560 (Fed. Cir. 1988) .....	38
<i>Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. v. T-Mobile US, Inc. and T-Mobile USA, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:16-cv-0056 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 15, 2016) .....	1
<i>In re NTP</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	38
<i>Lextron Sys., Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. C-04-0588 VRW, D.I. 74 (N.D. Cal. June 1, 2005) .....	34
<i>LG Elecs. v. Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L.</i> , IPR2015-01988, Paper 7 (PTAB Apr. 1, 2016) .....	36
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 25, 2012) .....	78, 79
<i>PharmaStem Therapeutics, Inc. v. ViaCell, Inc.</i> , 491 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	38
<i>Tokyo Keiso Co., v. SMC Corp.</i> , 307 F. App'x 446 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	38
<b>STATUTES</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 102 .....	35, 38
35 U.S.C. § 103(a) .....	passim
35 U.S.C. § 365(c) .....	35

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

37 C.F.R. § 42.8 ..... 1

37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b) ..... 1

37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)..... 2

37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(1)..... 2

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) ..... 31

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)..... 2

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)-(2)..... 2

77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,766 (Aug. 14, 2012) ..... 31

77 Fed. Reg. 48,766 (Aug. 14, 2012)..... 32

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.