

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Nokia Solutions and Networks US LLC; and
Nokia Solutions and Networks OY,
Petitioners

v.

Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-00658
Patent 8,537,779

**PATENT OWNER HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES'
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Pages</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. SUMMARY OF THE '779 PATENT	3
III. SUMMARY OF CITED REFERENCES	5
A. '779 APA.....	5
B. Motorola Submission	6
C. Soderbacka	7
D. Nokia Submission.....	9
IV. STANDARD FOR GRANTING <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW	10
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	11
A. “obtaining unit,” “identifying unit,” and “processing unit” (Claim 11)	11
B. “Create Bearer Request message” (Claim 4)	12
VI. GROUNDS 1-4 FAIL BECAUSE PETITIONER HAS NOT MET ITS BURDEN OF SHOWING THAT THE NOKIA SUBMISSION, THE MOTOROLA SUBMISSION, AND THE '779 APA ARE PRIOR ART PRINTED PUBLICATIONS	13
A. Petitioner Has Failed to Show That the Nokia Submission and the Motorola Submission Satisfy All Requisite Characteristics of a Prior Art “Printed Publication”	13
1. Petitioner Failed to Show Public Accessibility/Dissemination from the 3GPP FTP Server before May 11, 2007.....	14
2. Petitioner Failed to Show Indexing/Searchability of the ZIP Files Containing the Nokia Submission and Motorola Submission Via a “search engine” Before May 11, 2007	24

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	<u>Pages</u>
3. Petitioner Failed to Show Evidence of Actual and Sufficient Dissemination of the Nokia Submission and Motorola Submission to Members of the Public	26
B. Petitioner Has Failed to Show That the '779 APA is a Prior Art Printed Publication	28
1. Petitioner Has Failed to Show that the '779 APA Qualifies Under Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §102	28
2. Petitioner Has Failed to Show that the '779 APA Qualifies as a Prior Art Patent or Printed Publication	32
VII. GROUND 1 FAILS BECAUSE PETITIONER HAS NOT MET ITS BURDEN OF SHOWING THAT THE '779 APA IN VIEW OF SODERBACKA RENDERS THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OBVIOUS.	38
A. The '779 APA in View of Soderbacka Fails to Disclose “the attach request message comprises an information element (IE) indicating handover” and identifying that “the attach request message is due to the handover according to the IE indicating handover” (Claim Elements 1b, 11b, 11c).....	38
B. The '779 APA in View of Soderbacka Fails to Disclose the Claimed Units (“obtaining unit” “identifying unit” and “processing unit” of Claim 11).....	45
VIII. GROUND 2 FAILS BECAUSE PETITIONER HAS NOT MET ITS BURDEN OF SHOWING THE '779 APA IN VIEW OF THE NOKIA SUBMISSION RENDERS THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OBVIOUS....	50
A. The '779 APA in View of the Nokia Submission Fails to Disclose “the attach request message comprises an information element (IE) indicating handover” and identifying that “the attach request message is due to the handover according to the IE indicating handover” (Claim Elements 1b, 11b, 11c).....	50
B. The '779 APA in View of the Nokia Submission Fails to Disclose the Claimed Units (“obtaining unit” “identifying unit” and “processing unit” of Claim 11).....	55

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	<u>Pages</u>
IX. GROUND 3 FAILS BECAUSE PETITIONER HAS NOT MET ITS BURDEN OF SHOWING THE MOTOROLA SUBMISSION IN VIEW OF THE NOKIA SUBMISSION RENDERS THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OBVIOUS.....	55
A. The Motorola Submission in View of the Nokia Submission Fails to Disclose “the attach request message comprises an information element (IE) indicating handover” and identifying that “the attach request message is due to the handover according to the IE indicating handover” (Claim Elements 1b, 11b, 11c).....	56
B. The Motorola Submission in View of the Nokia Submission Fails to Disclose the Claimed Units (“obtaining unit” “identifying unit” and “processing unit” of Claim 11).....	57
C. The Motorola Submission in View of the Nokia Submission Fails to Disclose “sending, by the MME, a Create Bearer Request message <i>to the PDN GW</i> ” (Claim 4).....	58
X. GROUND 4 FAILS BECAUSE PETITIONER HAS NOT MET ITS BURDEN OF SHOWING THE MOTOROLA SUBMISSION IN VIEW OF SODERBACKA RENDERS THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OBVIOUS.	62
A. The Motorola Submission in View of Soderbacka Fails to Disclose “the attach request message comprises an information element (IE) indicating handover” and identifying that “the attach request message is due to the handover according to the IE indicating handover” (Claim Elements 1b, 11b, 11c).....	62
B. The Motorola Submission in View of Soderbacka Fails to Disclose the Claimed Units (“obtaining unit” “identifying unit” and “processing unit” of Claim 11).....	63
C. The Motorola Submission in View of Soderbacka Fails to Disclose “sending, by the MME, a Create Bearer Request message <i>to the PDN GW</i> ” (Claim 4).....	63

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	<u>Pages</u>
XI. CONCLUSION.....	64

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.